7.0 BUILDING M0133: BEAVER CREEK RCMP RESIDENCE7.1 Description of Existing Water Supply System

Building M0133, the Beaver Creek RCMP Residence, is currently served by a water supply system that delivers water from a 37.4 m deep well. The well is located in an enclosure off from basement of the residence. The well location and other details about the surrounding area are provided in Figure M0133-A in Appendix A7. The coordinates of the wellhead, as measured by a handheld GPS device, were recorded as:

- UTM ZONE 7
- Northing: 6917199
- Easting: 506150

The water system is equipped with an in-line filter and a water softener, however, at the time of the assessment, the softener was being bypassed and was not functioning. The system is not equipped with a disinfection system. A schematic detailing the well supply system is provided as Figure M0133-B in Appendix A7.

There is an abandoned well located in the same enclosure as the well that is currently in use. The abandoned well was not equipped with a proper cap and was open.

7.2 Description of Existing Wastewater Systems

The septic tank that serves the residence is located approximately 20 m west of the well, and the septic effluent discharge field is located approximately 40 m down slope from the well. Although the effluent field is downslope from the well, conceptual hydrogeology (outlined in a following section) indicates that it may be hydraulically upgradient. A site plan showing the septic system is given by Figure M0133-A in Appendix A7.

7.3 Water Quality Results

7.3.1 Water Quality Results from Previous Sampling

Bacteriological

Four samples were collected from the M0133 Beaver Creek RCMP Residence water system between September 2004 and June 2005 and were tested for total coliform and *E. coli* by Yukon Environmental Health Services using the presence/absence test method. Results are tabulated in Table M0133-1 in Appendix A7. Coliform bacteria and *E. coli* were reported as absent in each of the four samples for which results are provided.



Potability

Water samples were previously collected from the M0133 Beaver Creek RCMP Residence water system on September 23, 2004 and June 15, 2005. The samples were submitted to Northwest Labs in Surrey, BC and ALS Environmental in Vancouver, BC for potability analyses. The results of these analyses are summarized in Table M0133-2 in Appendix A7. EBA reviewed the analytical results to compare them with the Canadian Drinking Water Quality Guidelines (CDWQG) to observe general water quality, identify and recommend additional sampling and analytical, and to identify indicators of potential contamination as follows:

- The turbidity of the water during the June 15th, 2005 sampling event was reported at 2.28 NTU, which is in exceedence of the CDWQG MAC of 1.0 NTU;
- The water quality results indicated that all other health based and aesthetic objectives were met for the parameters analyzed;
- The water quality results indicated that the groundwater from which this system receives its water supply is a calcium bicarbonate type water; and,
- The hardness (as CaCO₃) was 151 mg/L during the first sampling event, and is considered hard. During the second sampling event the hardness (as CaCO₃) was 141 mg/L, and is also considered hard.

7.3.2 Identification of Additional Analytical Testing Required

Additional analytical for the M0133 Beaver Creek RCMP Residence that was identified to be included during the water system assessments is detailed below:

- As there had previously been an exceedence of the CDWQG MAC for turbidity, a sample was taken to re-test for turbidity;
- UV absorbance and UV transmissivity, as well as tannins and lignin, to determine potential for UV treatment as a disinfection option for this water system;
- Total organic carbon (TOC); and,
- Measurements in the field for total dissolved solids, conductivity, pH, and temperature.



Additional Analytical Results

A water sample was obtained during the water system assessment on July 27, 2005, and was submitted to ALS Environmental in Vancouver, BC for analysis. These results are summarized in Table M0133-2 in Appendix A7 and the laboratory reports are included in Appendix B. The water quality results from additional analytical sampling indicated that all health based and aesthetic objectives were met for the parameters analyzed.

7.3.3 Indicators of Potential Contamination

Chloride, nitrate and nitrite concentrations can indicate impacts from surfacewater sources or septic waste. Although below the MAC, the chloride concentrations were elevated compared to normal background ranges for groundwater in the area. Nitrate and nitrite concentrations for this sample were low and within the normal background range for this area. These water quality results do not suggest that the aquifer from which the groundwater is obtained for the M0133 Beaver Creek RCMP Residence is under the influence of surfacewater sources or septic wastes. Road salting on the highway adjacent to the well could result in elevated chloride concentrations.

7.4 Conceptual Hydrogeology

The log for this well indicates that the well is completed at a depth of 37.4 m within a gravel aquifer. The lithology indicates mostly gravel with variable till and silty sediments. This is consistent with most well logs in the area, which indicate that discontinuous lenses of finer-grained sediments persist throughout the area, but in general the sediments are dominated by coarse alluvium. Some discontinuous permafrost is also interpreted to persist throughout the Beaver Creek area. The static water level the abandoned well was measured to be 12.1 m below the top of the casing and approximately 13.7 m below grade. The presence of variable interbedded fine grained material overlying the aquifer indicates limited protection from surficial sources of contamination. A study previously completed in the Beaver Creek area by EBA determined that the direction of groundwater flow in the vicinity of the site is north to northeasterly.



7.5 Potential Contaminant Sources

Potential contaminant sources identified during the water system assessment are compiled in field notes in Appendix A7. Photos of potential contaminant sources are also provided in Appendix A7.

Potential contaminant sources within 30 m of the wellhead are:

- An indoor fuel storage tank (AST) at 3 m;
- An abandoned well approximately 1 m from the well that is currently not in use; and
- A septic Tank at 20 m (note that sewage regulation allows tank to be within 15 m).

In addition, the septic field is located 40 m away from the well.

7.5.1 Spills Records and Contaminated Sites Search Results

The Government of Yukon Environmental Programs Branch and Environment Canada Environmental Protection Branch did not identify any recorded spill events or contaminated sites issues for this site or neighbouring sites.

7.6 Identified Water System Deficiencies and Associated Risk

7.6.1 High and Medium Risk Deficiencies

- Poor surface completion of the wellhead (located in an enclosure below grade);
- There is no surface sanitary seal (grout or bentonite seal as required by the Canadian Groundwater Association's Guidelines for Water Well Construction;
- By definition of the Draft Yukon GUDI Assessment Guideline, the well is potentially under the direct influence of surface water because does not meet the requirements of the Guidelines for Water Well Construction.
- The well is located within 30 m of potential sources of contamination including: an abandoned well that is not equipped with a proper cap and is located within 1 m of the current well, and an AST located in the basement of the building; and,
- There is no disinfection system.

7.6.2 Low Risk Deficiencies

• There had been a previous CDWQG MAC exceedence for turbidity, but the most recent sampling event reported turbidity below the CDWQG MAC;



- The plumbing installation was observed to be unprofessional, and the softener discharge is not to code;
- The water softener was not functioning at the time of the water system assessment;
- The in-line filter was observed to need replacing; and,
- There is an indoor fuel storage tank located in the basement of the residence, however, because the floor is concrete the potential risk of impact to the well from a spill or leak event is considered minimal.

7.7 Mitigative Options for Deficiencies

Mitigative options were developed to address the deficiencies identified in the previous section. Deficiencies are categorized by recommended level of priority (with Priority 1 being most critical).

7.7.1 Priority 1

- An NSF/ANSI 55 approved UV disinfection system (or equivalent) should be installed. This is a conceptual design recommendations based on the information available for planning and budgeting purposes. Engineering input will be required for final system specifications; and
- The abandoned well should be properly decommissioned by backfilling it with grout or bentonite, and welding a cover over the casing.

7.7.2 Priority 2

• The wellhead at M0131 should be extended to a minimum of 500 mm above the surrounding grade, and the well pit should be backfilled with a low-permeability material to provide a surface seal around the well casing. A surface sanitary seal (grout or bentonite) should be installed as deep as possible during wellhead improvements.

7.7.3 Priority 3

- Upgrade plumbing to meet code;
- Repair water softener, and replace filter; and,
- Install secondary containment tray around AST.



7.8 Cost Estimates for Mitigative Options

Engineering costs for mitigative options are estimated to be 20% of construction costs, and would include inspection and completion reporting. The costs for materials and labour (not including engineering) are provided in the sections below. An additional contingency allowance of 20% is suggested for budgetary purposes.

7.8.1 Priority 1

- It would cost approximately **\$2,200** for supply and installation of a UV disinfection system; and
- Proper decommissioning of the abandoned well would cost in the order of **\$1,000** for materials and labour.
- 7.8.2 Priority 2
 - Standard wellhead upgrades (casing extension, sanitary seal, plumbing and electrical extensions, and insulation and heat trace as necessary) would cost approximately **\$4,000**; and,
 - Installation of NSF 61 NSF 61 filtration system (to 1 micron absolute) would cost in the order of **\$500.**

7.8.3 Priority 3

- It is estimated that the recommended plumbing upgrades would cost approximately **\$100**;
- To repair the water softener (replace media), and replace filter would cost approximately **\$600** for materials and labour; and
- To supply and install a secondary containment tray around the AST would cost approximately **\$500.**





	RESIDENTIAL
ants Ltd.	SMALL PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS ASSESSMENT WESTERN REGION
	GOVERNMENT OF YUKON HIGHWAYS & PUBLIC WORKS
ublic Works ment Branch	BEAVER CREEK RCMP REVISION ISSUE RESIDENCE BUILDING # M0133 0 SITE LOCATION DIAGRAM FIGURE No. WELL ID: M0133 FIGURE M0133-A





Z:\0201Drawings\1260002 Water Assessment YTG\003 -Western Region\beaver\1260002 B Crk RCMP Res_M0133B Schematic.dwg, 4/4/2006 12:42:28 PM, Adobe PDF, jbuyck

July 2005

ebc

Western Region – R.C.M.P. Housing Building # MO133 ? No2TH

DISTRIBUTION & TREATMENT SYSTEM DATA

Item	Description	Manufacturer	Model	Part No.	Serial No.	Size
1	SUB. Pump	UNKOOWN	3/4HP.		-	4" -3/4HP
2	PRESSURE TANK	JET RITE	JR 15.		, d	
3	PRESSURE TANK		WR-260			
4	PRESSURE SWITZH	SOARE D	F3G-2			ZH 1/4"NPT.
5	INLINE FILTER		10" CLENA		at .	10" 3/4"NPT
6	FILTER CART.	CUNO	AP- 110			10"xZ"/z"
7	WATER SOFTENER	NOVATEK.	HCZOMI		20253	ZoK.
8			r			
9						
10						

Building #		Number of Sampling Events		Any Positive Total Coliform Results? (yes or no)	Fraction of Positive Total Coliform Results vs. Total Sampling Events	Any positive E.Coli results? (yes or no)	Most Recent Sampling Event Available for EBA Review	Is Most Recent Resu Positive?
	Beaver Creek R.C.M.P. Residence	4	Sept-04 to Jun-05	no	0/4	no	16-Jun-05	no

TABLE MO133- 1: SUMMARY OF BACTERIOLOGICAL RESULTS



Table MO133-2: Water Quality Results

				Result		
SOURCE:	Building N RCI	10133 - Bea MP Reside				
Location/ Resident	В	eaver Cree	k			1
Address						
Treatment		Filtration				
Disinfection		None		G	CDWQ Crite	ria
Source of Water		On-site wel				
Purpose of Sampling	Base Line	Base Line	Additional Analytical			
Sample Location			Kitchen tap			
Date Sampled	23-Sep-04	15-Jun-05	27-Jul-05	Lower	Upper	Limit
Physical Tests (ALS)				AO	MAC	AO
Colour (CU)	<5	<5.0	-			15
Conductivity (uS/cm)		309	-			
Total Dissolved Solids	171	189	-			500
Hardness CaCO3	151	141	-	$A \cap > 200 = 1$	poor, > 500 u	naccentable ^A
pH	8.21	8.25		6.5	0001, <u>> 500 u</u>	8.5
Turbidity (NTU)	0.21	2.28	0.360	0.5	1	5
UV Absorbance	0.4	<u>2.20</u>	0.0050			
% UV Transmittance			98.9			
A C T LIMONANCAILE			70.7			
Dissolved Anions (ALS)						
Alkalinity-Total CaCO3	128	138	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Chloride Cl	1.1	0.83				250
Fluoride F	< 0.05	0.057	-		1.5	
Silicate SiO4			-			
Sulphate SO4	32.6	35.2	-			500
Nitrate Nitrogen N	0.2	0.22	-		10	
Nitrite Nitrogen N	< 0.05	< 0.10	-	1	3.2	
Ammonia Nitrogen N			-			
Total Phosphate PO4			-			
Total Metals (ALS)						
Aluminum T-Al	< 0.005	< 0.010	-			
Antimony T-Sb	< 0.0002	< 0.00050	-		0.006	
Arsenic T-As	0.0012	0.00093	<u> </u>		0.025	
Barium T-Ba	0.017	<0.020	-		1	
Boron T-B	0.027	<0.10	-	ļ	5	
Cadmium T-Cd	<0.00001	<0.00020	-	I	0.005	
Calcium T-Ca		45.2	<u> </u>			
Chromium T-Cr	0.0006	< 0.0020	<u> </u>		0.05	
Copper T-Cu	0.053	0.0494			1	
Iron T-Fe	0.03	<0.030			0.01	0.3
Lead T-Pb	< 0.0001	< 0.0010		ļ	0.01	
Magnesium T-Mg	10.000	6.86				0.07
Manganese T-Mn	<0.005	<0.0020			0.001	0.05
Mercury T-Hg	I	<0.00020	-		0.001	
Potassium T-K		1.24			0.01	
Selenium T-Se	l	<0.0010	-		0.01	200
Sodium T-Na	<0.0005	2.8			0.02	200
Uranium T-U	< 0.0005	0.00033			0.02	
Vanadium T-V Zinc T-Zn	0.021	<0.050		1		5
Zuit 1-Zil	0.021	~0.050				
Organic Parameters	·					
Tannin and Lignin			<0.10		1	
Total Organic Carbon C	1		1.03			1
Contraction Calorine	1		1	1		1
Field Chemistry (EBA)						
pH	1		8.34	6.5		8.5
TDS (ppm)	1		51		1	500
EC (uS/cm)	1		104	1	1	
Temperature (°C)			7.8			
Free Available Chlorine	1		1.0			
Notes:					1	

A. Guidelines indicated for hardness are not CDWQG, rather they are general aesthetic guidelines

exceedences are indicated in yellow highlighting.

Italics and underline indicates exceedence of proposed MAC (ie. arsenic)

Bold with Yellow highlighting indicates exceedence of CDWQG Aesthetic Objective (AO)

Bold Underline with Yellow highlighting indicates exceedence of CDWQG MAC

Results are expressed as milligrams per litre except for pH and Colour (CU)

Conductivity (umhos/cm), Temperature (°C) and Turbidity (NTU)

< = Less than the detection limit indicated.

AO = Aesthetic Objective

MAC = Maximum Acceptable Concentration (Health Based)



EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.

Creating and Delivering Better Solutions

SMALL PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM ASSESSMENT

PART A: EBA Site Inspection

Inspector: Ryan Martin, Luke Lebel

Date July 27, 2005

WELL ID #	Owner	Location Description
M0133 .	RCMP	Beaver Creek RCMP Revidences

1. Well Location and Potential Contaminant Sources

- a. General location of well: (Community, Subdivision, etc.) Beaver Creek
- b. Specific location: (Road or street, Building number, name of owner and/, legal description,

h. Distance from well to building		
Is there outside water access? \square Yes \square No Does the well system have: \square 15 or more service connections to a piped distribution system? If so how many	. GPS	location: N 6917199 E 506150 elv 667m ± 6m
 Does the well system have: 15 or more service connections to a piped distribution system? If so how many	Is	there electric power? \square Yes \square No
☐ 15 or more service connections to a piped distribution system ? If so how many	Is	there outside water access? $\boxed{\mathbb{X}}$ Yes \square No
RCMP Residence. \Box 5 or more delivery sites on a trucked distribution system? If so how many Nearest building, specify building, specify It there is an effluent disposal field, is its location known? If there is an effluent disposal field, is its location known? If there is an effluent disposal field, is its location known? If there is an effluent disposal field, is its location known? If there is an effluent disposal field, is its location known? If there is an effluent disposal field, is its location known? If there is an effluent disposal field, is its location known? If there is an effluent disposal field, is its location known? If there is an effluent disposal field, is its location known? If there is an effluent disposal field, is its location known? If there is an effluent disposal field, is its location known? If there is an effluent disposal field, is its location known? If there is a effluent disposal field, is its location known? If there is a effluent disposal field is the known field: $\sim 20 \text{ m} + 6 \text{ field}$ If there is a effluent disposal field is upslope If there is a effluent disposal field is the known field: $\sim 20 \text{ m} + 6 \text{ field}$ If there is a effluent disposal field is upslope If there is a efflue	D	oes the well system have:
☐ 5 or more delivery sites on a trucked distribution system? If so how many Nearest building, specify toca+ed off of basement of MU133 Residen Distance from well to building If there is an effluent disposal field, is its location known?		
Nearest building, specify located off of basement of M0133 Residen Distance from well to building If there is an effluent disposal field, is its location known? X Yes □ No Distance from well to nearest point of known field: 20 m to tank, ~ 40 m to field Well location relative to field: □ upslope ☑ downslope □ lateral		
. If there is an effluent disposal field, is its location known? \boxtimes Yes \square No . Distance from well to nearest point of known field: $\frac{20 \text{ h} + 5 + 4 \text{ h} \text{ h}}{16 \text{ h} \text{ h}} = \frac{10 \text{ h} \text{ h}}{16 \text{ h} \text{ h}} = \frac{10 \text{ h}}{16 \text{ h}}$ c. Well location relative to field: \square upslope \square downslope \square lateral		Nearest building, specify <u>located</u> offof basement of MU133 Residenc
Distance from well to nearest point of known field: $\sim 20 \text{ m}$ to $fank, \sim 40 \text{ m}$ to $field$ Well location relative to field: \Box upslope \Box downslope \Box lateral	D	vistance from well to building
Distance from well to nearest point of known field: 20 h to 4 h $\sqrt{40 \text{ m}}$ to $field$ Well location relative to field: \Box upslope \Box downslope \Box lateral		Sthere is an offluent dimensel field is its location Imourn? Xos
c. Well location relative to field: upslope 🖾 downslope 🗌 lateral		
	Ľ	Distance from well to nearest point of known field: $\sim \omega_{\rm h}$ to tank, ~ 40 m to field
	c. V	Vell location relative to field: upslope I downslope I lateral

1.	Is there any part of a sewage disposal system(s)or other potential sources of pollution that may pose a
hea	Ith and safety risk within 30 m? \square Yes \square No
m.	Is the well located within 300 m from a sewage lagoon or pit? \Box Yes \boxtimes No $_{\cup p}$ $l/\kappa_e /_{\gamma}$
n.	Is the well located within 120 m from a solid waste site or dump, cemetery? \Box Yes \bigtriangledown No $_{Vn}$ likely
0.	Is the infrastructure protecting the wellhead, pumphouse, storage tank and/or water treatment plant designed and secured to prevent:
	Unauthorized access by humans? X Yes I No Entrance by animals? Yes X No located in residence
p.	Is well site subject to flooding? Yes No
q.	Is the well site well drained? I Yes IN No Ground around well is flat
r.	Is there a buried fuel tank on the property? \Box Yes \boxtimes No J_n if $ke//$
	If yes, is it 🗌 in use 🗌 abandoned
	Is the location known? Yes No Distance from the well to known buried tank
s.	Are there any other known contaminant sources on the property?
	Yes No Describe
	If yes, specify the source: \Box dump \Box sewage lagoon \Box cemetery \Box other
	Potential Source 1: Indoor AS7 ; Distance from well to Potential Source 1: $\sim 2m$
	Potential Source 2:; Distance from well to Potential Source 2:
	Potential Source 3:; Distance from well to Potential Source 3:
	Potential Source 4:; Distance from well to Potential Source 4:
t.	Are there other wells on this property? \square Yes \square No
	How many? 1 in use R abandoned R require proper sealing is not equipped with a cap on the casing

-	Vell and Wellhead information:
a.	When was well installed? Year 1992 Month June
b.	Type: Arilled and dug and point other
c.	Is there a drillers log for the well: 🖾 Yes 🗆 No
d.	Is there a surface seal to 6 m 🛛 Yes 🛛 No 🗌 unknown 🗍 unlikely
e.	Surface casing: Yes Diameter No
	Well casing: Diameter <u>15 cm</u> Material: 🔀 steel 🗆 plastic 🗆 concrete
g.	Depth of well: <u>35.90 m</u> [23 ff from log
h.	Static water level below ground: 12.090 m bc 47 Ft from log
	\boxtimes measured (if possible) \square reported \square from log \square flowing
i.	(If granular) Is the well completed: \Box open end casing \bowtie with a well screen
	with slotted pipe unknown other
j.	(If bedrock) Does the well have a liner? yes No steel plastic
k.	If there is a well screen: length slot size(s) $\frac{25 \text{ slot}}{123 \text{ ft}}$ Location of screen: from 121.5 ft to 123 ft from log reported
1.	Is there a sump below the screen? \Box Yes \Box No
m.	Is the well head: \Box in pumphouse \Box in pit \Box pitless adaptor \Box in a building
	Vin a wooden enclosure other, describe of from basement of residence
n.	If the well head is located in a wooden enclosure,

	i. Is the well head below grade? describe in detail ~ 1.85 m below grade						
	ii. Are there signs of ponding on the enclosure (e.g. water stains, etc.)? Yes I No Some dampress on floor						
	iii. Is the wellhead enclosed by fiberglass insulations? Ares I No in walls of enclosure						
	iv. Any evidence of rodents? Specify Access possible						
	v. Does the well casing have a proper seal cap? \square Yes \square No						
	If no, describe condition <u>split</u> gasked cap						
<u>3. V</u>	Vater Supplying This Well:						
a.	By definition is the water from a surface water source or under the direct influence of surface water?						
	Yes No farther investigation required.						
	If yes is there treatment or disinfection Yes 🛛 No						
	Explain (filtration, disinfection etc) filtration						
<u>4. /</u>	Aquifer Supplying This Well:						
a.	The aquifer is: 🛛 bedrock 🕅 granular sediment 🗆 unknown						
b.	Does water level and/or well capacity show seasonal fluctuation? I Yes in No unlikely						
<u>5.</u>	Pump Installation:						
a.	Is the well equipped with a pump? \bowtie yes \square No						
b.	Type of pump: hand Relectric submersible D jet						
	shallow well centrifugal other,						
c.	Description: Manufacturer Model						
	horsepower capacity voltage						
	4/11						

d.	Date installed: By:
e.	For submersible pump, depth of setting below surface
f.	Drop pipe for submersible pump: steel plastic
g.	Pump delivers water to: D pressure tank clevated tank clevated tank
h.	Are there automatic pump controls: \textcircled{V} Yes \square No
i.	Is there provision for taking water samples before water reaches storage? \Box Yes \overleftarrow{k} No
j.	Is there a water meter on the system? \Box Yes \bowtie No
k.	Is the pump and piping protected from freezing? X Yes INO
	If yes, describe: OFF from heated building
1.	Comments on pump installation:
	Conclusions Comments on overall installation:
b.F	Recommendations:

Cre	ating and Delivering Better S	olutions	•	
2	RT B: EBA Site Inspecti	AD		. ,
	pector: BEET ALSIS			Date 27 05
	WELL ID #	Owner		Location Description
	MO133	NTG.	R	2MP RESIDENCE (NORTH)
	· ·			BEVER CREEK
•	Water Treatment			
l.	Is well water treated?	Yes 🗌 No; Ty	pe of tre	reatment: WATER SOFTENER.
	□ chlorination ☑ ire	on and or manganese	removal	al Dother
).				m treated with chlorine or another treatment that is
				a throughout the system?
	□ Yes ☑ No	If so how		
•	If treated with chlorine, is	the free residual chlo	orine co	oncentration less than 0.2 mg/L
	□ Yes I No _	rea	ading.	
	Tested at		((location)
I.	Is testing for chlorine residence points in a piped distribution			e tap (eg. Kitchen faucet) or from representative from tap at the end line
	I Yes I No	If yes how	v often?	9
e.	If the drinking water is be	eing transported by wa	ater deli	livery truck does it have a minimum chlorine free
	residual of 0.4 mg/L a	t the time of fill. \Box	Yes	No No
-	Weter Orelite (charge	tion a).		
7.	Water Quality (observa		/	
a.	Does the water stain plum	nbing? 🗹 yes 🗆 No	I slig	ght 🗆 severe
	Type of stain:	brown 🗹 red		black
b.				□ occasional □ constant
C.	Is there an unpleasant od	our? 🗌 Yes 🔽	No No	\square H ₂ S \square Other
			6/11	

d.	Is there an unpleasant taste? Yes No brackish Other
e.	Is there a history of bad bacterial analyses? Yes No ?
f.	Is there a chemical analysis? Yes INO adequate incomplete
g.	Is there analysis of trihalomethanes (THMs) where the water source is a surface water supply or a well
	under the direct influence of surface water? \Box Yes \Box No
h.	Is the drinking water tested daily with an accurate reading chlorine test kit capable of reading in the
ran	ge 0 to 3.5 mg/L of free chlorine residual in increments of 0.1mg/L? 🗌 Yes 🗹 No 🗌 unknown
i.	If yes is the test performed in accordance with manufactures directions? \Box Yes \Box No \Box unknown
j.	Is a record of the date, time, name of person performing the test and results of the drinking water sample
	kept? 🗌 Yes 🖬 No
	TANK AND PIPING DETAILS
	Tank Room
	Is there a water tank? Yes No Details: PRESSURE TANK.
	Where is it located? Comments: <u><u>+</u>1 IN WELL ENCLORE # 2 IN BASEMENT</u>
	Is the room in which the water tank is located heated to maintain an optimum temperature of 4°C
	for stored water?
	YES NO Comments:
	Are there windows in the add-on that may allow direct sunlight onto the water holding tank? YES
	NO
	Comments:
	Are there other heat sources near the tank? YES NO Comments:
	Is there waterproof flooring with a sealed base to contain spills? YES NO Comments:

EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.

Creating and Delivering Better Solutions

Overall Tank

What are the tank size and dimensions?

What material is the tank constructed of?

Is tank and associated piping constructed of safe materials (i.e. CSA approved and material that does not affect the taste of the water)? YES NO

Comments:

١.

Tank Inlet, Outlet and Lid

Is there adequate access on the tank for cleaning (i.e. min 15" access lid)? YES NO

Does the lid have a tight seal and is it watertight when closed? YES NO

Does the tank have an overflow or high level whistle? YES NO

Is the water tank drain accessible? YES NO

WATER TANK AND WATER QUALITY CONDITION

Are there signs of staining or biofouling? YES NO

Comments:

Is there any sediment or scum in bottom of tank? YES NO Comments:

Is there any odour associated with the water or tank? YES NO

Have there been any bacteriological analyses conducted previously? YES NO

Does the tank appear that it has been cleaned recently? YES NO

Are the tanks easily assessed for the purpose of cleaning and disinfection? YES NO

EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.

Creating and Delivering Better Solutions

8. Conclusions

a. Comments on overall installation:

PIPING AT WELL HEAD 15 UN PROFESSIONAL. 4-THE WATER SOFTENER IS NOT OPERATIONAL AND THE INLING FILTER IS IN NEED OF CHANGING THE SOFFNER DEAN IS NOT TO CODE. b. Recommendations: REPAIR WATER SOFTENER AS NECESSARY INTO SERVICE. CHANGE INLINE BACK SOFTNER DRAIN TO CODE. KEPIPE turn. BRING WULL HEAD PIPING TO CODE.

١.



Field Report 11070018

13 MacDONALD ROAD WHITEHORSE, YUKON Y1A 4L1 PHONE (403) 633-3070 TELEX 036-8496

Started June 11. 19.75

AME AND ADDRESS OF CLIENT					DESCRIPTION OF WORK LOCATION OF WORK					
Yason Contracti					win	Ach	REMP Project			
							r C		•	
					92-17-16					
FORMATION LOG					DESCRIPTION OF WORK		TIME			
MO	TO	FORM	TION			DATE	FROM	T0	HOURS	
				MOVE						
				Ţ.	raveling	Junell	5:00	1:00	_8	
					eon set up	11	2:00	3:00	_1	
2	3	G	r		L silt	./	3:00	8:00	5	
2	14	G.	r	Sanc	1 apps					
1	25	G	c	SANO	silt					
5	29	TI								
9	47	G.		Samo	4					
2	56	ブ	11							
6	100	51	14							
0	103	5/	1F			Suncla	7:30	8:30	1	
3	12	ر کھ	1/4	62.	sand					
	123			5420						
					screen	+1	8:30	9:00	0.1	
					ouclop	11		lliod	~	
					ve off	11		12:00		
				Tro	welto whee	4/		8:00		
					- / /					
. 0	f Casi			Remarks	:					
'e	Туре	Size	Туре		40 GPM.		<u></u>			
<u>'</u>				1-0	ter shoe					
et	Inch	Feet	Inch	25	s/ot screen	C				
1	6			2'	YISON PiPe					
				K	Packer					
				524	"bit Pin					
		· · · · ·								
				Static		Total Rig			hrs.	
				Ground		Total Sta			nrs.	
				Top Of	Casing	Drilling	Mud	S	acks	
					010117115-00					

SIGNATURES

IDNIGHT SUN..... TTLE.....

inters.

CLIENT..... TITLE.....



