16.0 BUILDING 4821: WATSON LAKE WEIGH SCALES 16.1 Description of Existing Water system

Building 4821, the Watson Lake Weigh Scales, is served by a water system that delivers water from a 28.8 m deep well. The well is equipped with a pitless adapter and is located in the parking lot approximately 30 m west of the weigh scales office building. At the time of inspection it was observed that because of damage to the casing the cap could no longer fit properly to seal the well. The well location, and other site details are provided in Figure 4821-A in Appendix A16. The coordinates of the wellhead, as measured by a handheld GPS device, were recorded as:

- UTM ZONE 9
- Northing: 6657029
- Easting: 519309

A schematic detailing the water system is provided as Figure 4821-B in Appendix A16.

16.2 Description of Existing Wastewater Systems

The septic tank is located north of the weigh scales office approximately 52 m east from the wellhead. The septic tank discharges effluent to a field located north of the tank. A site plan showing the septic system is given by Figure 4821-A in Appendix A16.

16.3 Water Quality Results

16.3.1 Water Quality Results from Previous Sampling

Bacteriological

Four samples were collected from the water system by YTG representatives between September 2004 and March 2005 and were tested for total coliform and *E. coli* by Yukon Environmental Health Services using the presence/absence test method. Results are tabulated in Table 4821-1. Coliform bacteria and *E. coli* were reported as absent in each of the four samples for which results were provided.

1260002002_Eastern_Draft_Report_April_6.doc



Potability

A water sample was collected by YTG representatives from the Watson Lake Weigh Scales water system on November 9, 2004. The sample was submitted to Northwest Labs in Surrey, BC for detailed potability analyses. The results of these analyses are summarized in Table 4821-2 in Appendix A16. Additional baseline results were also provided by YTG for a sample collected on June 21, 2005. EBA reviewed the analytical results to compare them with the Canadian Drinking Water Quality Guidelines (CDWQG) to observe general water quality, identify and recommend additional sampling and analytical, and to identify potential indicators of contamination.

- At 8.6 and 5.29 NTU, the baseline and routine sampling turbidity results exceeded both the CDWQG health based upper limit of 1.0 NTU and aesthetic objective of 5.0 NTU;
- At 27 and 17.9 CU, the colour exceeded the CDWQG aesthetic objective of 15 CU.
- At 0.0078 and 0.00717 mg/L, the arsenic concentrations, though not in excess of the current MAC of 0.025 mg/L, did exceed the proposed new MAC of 0.005 mg/L;
- At 0.079 and 0.0551 mg/L, the manganese concentrations exceeded the CDWQG aesthetic objective of 0.05 mg/L;
- At 0.601 mg/L during the June 21, 2005 sampling event, the total iron concentration exceeded the CDWQG aesthetic objective of 0.3 mg/L; and
- All other health based and aesthetic objectives were met for the parameters analyzed.
- The hardness (as $CaCO_3$) of approximately 140 mg/L is considered moderately hard.

16.3.2 Identification of Additional Analytical Testing Required

Additional analytical for the Watson Lake Weigh Scales that was identified to be included during the water system assessments is detailed below:

- UV absorbance, as well as tannins and lignin, to determine potential for UV treatment as a disinfection option for this water system;
- As turbidity had previously been in exceedence of CDWQG MAC, a sample was obtained to analyze for this parameter;
- Measurements in the field for total dissolved solids, conductivity, pH, and temperature.



Additional Analytical Results

A water sample was obtained by EBA during the field program on June 21, 2005, and was submitted to ALS Environmental in Vancouver, BC for analysis. These results are summarized in Table 4821-2 in Appendix A16 and the laboratory reports are included in Appendix B.

- At 2.1 NTU, turbidity was above the CDWQG health based upper limit; and,
- Field chemistry completed at the time of inspection found the pH to be at 8.56, above the CDWQG aesthetic objective of 8.5, but within the margin of error of the instrument.

16.3.3 Indicators of Potential Contamination

No elevated concentrations of indicator parameters were observed in the sample results reviewed.

16.4 Conceptual Hydrogeology

The log for 4821 indicates that the well is completed at a depth of 28.8 m within a confined clayey gravel aquifer. The static water depth is 3.3 m below grade. The lithology indicates material ranging from clay to gravel, with some permafrost at approximately 15 m below ground. This is consistent with the lithology of most wells in the area, which are completed at depths of less than 30 m within surficial morainic and colluvial deposits. These deposits are described as gravel, sand and silt, with occurrences of silty till sediments. The presence of fine-grained silt and clay from 10.1 to 23.5 m provides a reasonable confining layer and hence some protection from surficial sources of contamination. This well is located on the north side of a groundwater divide nearby a low-lying area of groundwater discharge. The inferred direction of groundwater flow is northeast towards an unnamed creek and low-lying area.



16.5 Potential Contaminant Sources

Potential contaminant sources observed during the site investigation are presented in field notes in Appendix A16. Photos of potential contaminant sources are also provided in Appendix A16. A drainage feature runs directly around the well and during rainy periods surface water flows within 1 m of the wellhead. The drillers log indicates that this is an item of concern and that the sanitary surface seal is not sufficient to protect the aquifer from this surface water feature.

16.5.1 Spills Records and Contaminated Sites Search Results

The Government of Yukon Environment Branch did not identify any recorded spill events or contaminated sites issues for this site or neighbouring properties.

16.6 Identified Water System Deficiencies and Associated Risk

16.6.1 High and Medium Risk Deficiencies

The following deficiencies were identified as high-risk for the Watson Lake Weigh Scales:

- Poor location of the well in the weigh scales parking lot. There is a drainage feature that runs directly around the wellhead. The wellhead is susceptible to damage from vehicle impact. A truck has reportedly already hit the well casing, and the damage prevents the cap from being properly fastened to the top of the casing;
- Surface seal is not sufficient to protect the aquifer from this surface water feature,
- The drillers log indicates that the surface sanitary seal may not be constructed according to standards set by the Canadian Groundwater Association's Well Construction Guidelines;
- By definition of the Draft Yukon GUDI Assessment Guideline, the well is potentially under the direct influence of surface water (because it does not meet the requirements of the Guidelines for Water Well Construction; and,
- The turbidity has been in exceedence of CDWQG MAC; and
- There is no treatment or disinfection system present.

16.6.2 Low Risk Deficiencies

The following deficiencies were identified as low-risk for the Watson Lake Weigh Scales:

• The arsenic concentration, though is not in exceedence of the CDWQG MAC at the present time, is in exceedence of the proposed MAC; and,

1260002002_Eastern_Draft_Report_April_6.doc



• Colour, iron and manganese concentrations are in exceedence of CDWQG aesthetic objectives.

16.7 Mitigative Options for Deficiencies

Mitigative options were developed to address the deficiencies identified in the previous section. Deficiencies are categorized by recommended level of priority (with Priority 1 being most critical). With respect to water treatmetn system recommendations, these are conceptual recommendations based on the information available. Engineering input will be requited for final system desing or selection.

16.7.1 Priority 1

The following Priority 1 mitigative options should be carried out to address deficiencies associated with this water system.

Option 1:

This option involves upgrading the existing well for interim use until such time as a new well is constructed, and would involve the following well rehabilitation and treatment:

- The well and water system should be superchlorinated and the well casing should be repaired. The casing should extend at least 500 mm above grade and be equipped with a proper locking and vented cap;
- A surface-seal to at least 3 m below grade should be installed;
- The ground surface around the wellhead should be graded to promote surface drainage away from the well;
- A proper reinforced barricade and chain-link fence should be placed around the wellhead to prevent damage by vehicles; and,
- An NSF-61 certified filtration system (to 1 micron absolute) followed by a UV disinfection system (or equivalent) should be installed. Pretreatment consisting of a softener may be required to ensure that the UV system operates properly.

Option 2:

In the event that an adequate sanitary surface seal cannot be installed, the second option involves abandoning the existing well and drilling a new well immediately. The new well should be constructed in consideration of the following:

- The well should be equipped with a surface seal to at least 6 m and a pitless unit should be installed with the casing raised above grade (500 mm);
- The well must be located at a distance greater than 30 m and upgradient from any potential source of contamination; and,
- The water from the new well must meet all CDWQG health based guidelines. If there are any exceedences in the CDWQG health-based guidelines then a treatment

1260002002_Eastern_Draft_Report_April_6.doc



system must be designed and installed as necessary. A treatment/disinfection system would consist of a NSF-61 certified filtration system (to 1 micron absolute) followed by a UV disinfection system (or equivalent). Pretreatment would likely be required.

16.7.2 Priority 2

• There are no Priority 2 recommendations for this site.

16.7.3 Priority 3

• A point of use reverse osmosis treatment system should be installed to provide drinking water with arsenic concentrations below the proposed MAC.

16.8 Cost Estimates for Mitigative Options

Engineering costs for mitigative options are estimated to be 20% of construction costs, and would include inspection and completion reporting. The costs for materials and labour (not including engineering) are provided in the sections below. An additional contingency allowance of 20% is suggested for budgetary purposes.

16.8.1 Priority 1

Option 1:

- Superchlorination of the well and casing repair would cost in the order of **\$1,000** for materials and labour;
- Standard wellhead upgrades and regrading around the wellhead to promote surface drainage and rerouting the drainage system to a distance of 10 m north of the wellhead would cost in the order of \$3,000;
- Installing a chain-link fence around the wellhead, assuming a 1.8 m high, 2.5 m by 2.5 m square fence, would cost **\$2,500** for all materials and labour. Installing a concrete barricade would cost in the order of **\$500**;
- A suitable treatment/disinfection system would cost in the order of \$5,200, assuming \$1,500 for the duplex filtration system, \$2,200 for the UV disinfection, and \$1,500 for a residential size water softener.

Option 2:

• A new well, assuming approximately 30 m of overburden, would cost in the order of **\$32,700** to drill, test, and connect; and,

 $1260002002_Eastern_Draft_Report_April_6.doc$



• A suitable treatment/disinfection system would cost in the order of \$5,200, assuming \$1,500 for the duplex filtration system, \$2,200 for the UV disinfection, and \$1,500 for a residential size water softener.

16.8.2 Priority 3

• The installed cost for a reverse osmosis treatment system would be in the order of **\$600**.

1260002002_Eastern_Draft_Report_April_6.doc









Eastern Region – Watson Lake New Weigh Scale Building # 4821

DISTRIBUTION & TREATMENT SYSTEM DATA

Item	Description	Manufacturer	Model	Part No.	Serial No.	Size	
1	Sub. Pump.	?				4"-1/zHP.	
2	PRESSURE TANK	WERLY TROL	WX-203		1230610	4	
3	PRESSURE SWITCH PRESSURE GANGE	SQ.D	FSG-2		-	ZHP 1/4" Fip ZII-1/4"	ア・
4	PRESSURE GAUGE	MARSH	0-100			Z11-1/4 1	FIPT
5							
6							
7							
8					N.		
9							
10							



Building #		Number of Sampling Events	over which Sampling was Done	Any Positive Total Coliform Results? (yes or no)		Any positive E.Coli results? (yes or no)	Most Recent Sampling Event Available for EBA Review	ls Most Recent Result Positive?
4821	New Weigh Scale	4	Sept-04 to Mar-05	no	0/4	no	9-Mar-05	no

TABLE 4821- 1: SUMMARY OF BACTERIOLOGICAL RESULTS



Table 4821-2: Water Quality Results								
SOURCE:	Building Watson La							
Location/ Resident	Watso	n Lake						
Address								
Treatment	N	0						
Disinfection	N	0	G	CDWQ Crite	ria			
Source of Water	On-Sit	e Well						
Purpose of Sampling	Baseline	Additional Sampling						
Sample Location								
Date Sampled	9-Nov-04	21-Jun-05	Lower		Limit			
Physical Tests (ALS)			AO	MAC	AO			
Colour (CU)	27				15			
Total Dissolved Solids	187				500			
Hardness CaCO3	155		AO > 200 = 1	000r, > 500 u	naccentable ^A			
pH	8.20		6.5	1001, - 000 W	8.5			
Turbidity (NTU)	8.6	2.10	0.5	1	5			
UV Absorbance	0.0	0.149		<u> </u>				
UV Absorbance		0.149						
Dissolved Anions (ALS)								
Alkalinity-Total CaCO3	179							
Chloride Cl	0.7				250			
Fluoride F	0.21			1.5				
Sulphate SO4	7.28				500			
Nitrate Nitrogen N	< 0.01			10				
Nitrite Nitrogen N	< 0.005			1				
in the first open								
Total Metals (ALS)								
Aluminum T-Al	0.054							
Antimony T-Sb	< 0.0002			0.006				
Arsenic T-As	0.0078			0.025				
Barium T-Ba	0.094			1				
Boron T-B	0.011			5				
Cadmium T-Cd	< 0.00001			0.005				
Chromium T-Cr	0.0012			0.05				
Copper T-Cu	0.08			1				
Iron T-Fe	0.15	-			0.3			
Lead T-Pb	0.0072			0.01				
Manganese T-Mn	0.079				0.05			
Sodium T-Na	17.5				200			
Uranium T-U	< 0.0005			0.02				
Zinc T-Zn	0.05				5			
Organic Parameters								
Tannin and Lignin		0.71						
Field Chemistry (EBA)					- · ·			
pH		8.56	6.5	1	8.5			
TDS (ppm)	<u> </u>	156		1	500			
EC (uS/cm)		312						
Temperature (°C)		9.70						
Notes:	-							

Guidelines indicated for hardness are not CDWQG, rather they are general aesthetic guidelines

 exceedences are indicated in yellow highlighting.

Italics and underline indicates exceedence of proposed MAC (ie. arsenic)

Bold with Yellow highlighting indicates exceedence of CDWQG Aesthetic Objective (AO)

Bold Underline with Yellow highlighting indicates exceedence of CDWQG MAC

Results are expressed as milligrams per litre except for pH and Colour (CU)

Conductivity (umhos/cm), Temperature (°C) and Turbidity (NTU)

< = Less than the detection limit indicated.

AO = Aesthetic Objective

MAC = Maximum Acceptable Concentration (Health Based)



Table 4821-3: Summary of Well Assessment ResultsSMALL PUBLIC DRINKING WATER SYSTEMS

Well Identification			GPS Coordinates			
Building # Building Name Location		Location	Northing Easting (+/- 10 m) (+/- 10 m)		Grade Elevation (+/- 10 m)	
4821	Watson Lake Scales	Watson Lake	6557029	519309	690	

Well Details								
Well Casing Diameter (mm)	Year Well Installed	Well Log?	Well Depth (m bg)	Reported Low Permeabilty Protective Layer?	bilty Protective Pump Setting (m bg)		Static Water Level Below Ground (m-btwc)	
150	2004	Yes	28.8	Clay and silt from 10.1 m to 28.8 m with some permafrost at approximately 15m	13.2	17 gpm from log	2.765	

Potential Contaminant Sources									
Distance from well to nearest point of septic field (m)	Distance from well to nearest building (m)	Distance to surface water body (m)	AST present on property?	Distance from well to AST (m)	Other potential sources of contamination observed on property, and distance to well				
52	30	Greater than 60 m	AST	38 m	Water drainage from surrounding area runs directly around well				

Well Construction Details								
Wellhead Above ground (m)	Well Cap	Well Screen	Surface Seal	Apron Grading	Comments			
0.55	Pitless unit, cap not on due to damage	20 slot screen from 19.2 to 21.6 m	Yes, but not properly constructed	No. Well is located in a low point between the parking lot and the forested area behind the weigh scales and water streams directly past the wellhead	The well casing had previously been hit by a truck and was samaged. Due to the damage, the cap can no longer be sealed on to the casing and the well is open.			



EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.

Creating and Delivering Better Solutions

SMALL PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM ASSESSMENT

PART A: EBA Site Inspection Inspector: Ryan Martin Date June 21,2005 Lyke Lebel WELL ID # Owner **Location Description** Waitson Lake Weigh Scales YIG 4821 1. Well Location and Potential Contaminant Sources General location of well: (Community, Subdivision, etc.) a. Watson Lake Specific location: (Road or street, Building number, name of owner and/, legal description, b. Watson Lake Alaska Hun, - Eust of town c. GPS location: N 6657029 E 519309 plv. 690 m + 7m 1 Yes Is there electric power? d Yes Yes Is there outside water access? e but locked Does the well system have: f. \Box 15 or more service connections to a piped distribution system ? If so how many_____ Weigh scales building □ 5 or more delivery sites on a trucked distribution system? If so how many Nearest building, specify weigh scales bilding g. Distance from well to building 3° m h. 🖾 Yes □ No N 6657007 If there is an effluent disposal field, is its location known? i. F 519363 Distance from well to nearest point of known field: 52mj. downslope □ lateral upslope Well location relative to field: k. slightly

	BA Engineering Consultants Ltd.
1.	Is there any part of a sewage disposal system(s)or other potential sources of pollution that may pose a
hea	alth and safety risk within 30 m? \Box Yes \Box No
 m.	Is the well located within 300 m from a sewage lagoon or pit? \Box Yes λ No
n.	Is the well located within 120 m from a solid waste site or dump, cemetery? \Box Yes \swarrow No
	Is the infrastructure protecting the wellhead, pumphouse, storage tank and/or water treatment plant designed and secured to prevent:
p.	Unauthorized access by humans? I Yes X No Cap on top of casing is unfastened due to damage to well casing Is well site subject to flooding? X Yes No -> The well is aparently built in a hadwral drainage flow is the well site well drained? X Yes No-> The well is aparently built Is there a buried fuel tank on the property? Yes X No runs around well
q.	Is the well site well drained? Xes IN0-7 ditch in parking of. There is a small stream - with evidence
r.	Is there a buried fuel tank on the property? \Box Yes \boxtimes No run's around well
	If yes, is it in use abandoned
	Is the location known?
s.	Are there any other known contaminant sources on the property?
	Yes No Describe
	If yes, specify the source: \Box dump \Box sewage lagoon \Box cemetery \Box other
	Potential Source 1: <u>AST</u> ; Distance from well to Potential Source 1: <u>38</u> m
	Potential Source 2: parking; Distance from well to Potential Source 2: $\frac{\partial m}{\partial m}$; Distance from well to Potential Source 3: $\frac{\partial m}{\partial m}$ and damaged by a truck
	Potential Source 4:; Distance from well to Potential Source 4:
t.	Are there other wells on this property? \Box Yes \bigvee No \bigvee like γ
	How many? In use abandoned require proper sealing

	BA Engineering Consultants Ltd.
Crea	ating and Delivering Better Solutions
	Vell and Wellhead information:
a.	When was well installed? Year 2009 Month
b.	Type: Adrilled D dug D sand point D other
	Is there a drillers log for the well: \square Yes \square No
d.	Is there a surface seal to 6 m Ves No unknown unlikely -> Appears to be a pvc casing with growt in it, but it is warped Surface casing: Ves Diameter No -> and if it does go to 6 m, it is not a proper seal
e.	Surface casing: Ves Diameter Xonger and if it does go to 6m, it is not a proper sent
f.	Well casing: Diameter <u>15 cm</u> Material: Steel plastic Concrete
g.	Depth of well: $92Ft$ \square measured (if possible) \square reported \bowtie from log
h.	Static water level below ground: 2.765 m bc
	\square measured (if possible) \square reported \square from log \square flowing
i.	(If granular) Is the well completed: \Box open end casing \Box with a well screen
	with slotted pipe unknown other
j.	(If bedrock) Does the well have a liner? $\Box_{yes} \Box$ No $\Box_{steel} \Box$ plastic
k.	If there is a well screen: length Known slot size(s) Location of screen: from to from log reported
1.	Is there a sump below the screen? I Yes I No whown
m.	Is the well head: \Box in pumphouse \Box in pit $\bigotimes_{d \in \mathcal{M}} a g e d$ in a building
	in a wooden enclosure other, describe
n.	If the well head is located in a wooden enclosure,

EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd. Creating and Delivering Better Solutions

	i. Is the well head below grade? describe in detail 0.55 m above grade							
	ii. Are there signs of ponding on the enclosure(e.g. water stains, etc.)? ∑ Yes □ No There is a stream (after rain) running around wellhead							
	iii. Is the wellhead enclosed by fiberglass insulations? \Box Yes \bowtie No							
	iv. Any evidence of rodents? Specify No							
	v. Does the well casing have a proper seal cap? \Box Yes \bowtie No							
	If no, describe condition damage prevents proper sen 1							
<u>3. V</u>	Vater Supplying This Well:							
a.	By definition is the water from a surface water source or under the direct influence of surface water?							
	Yes \square No \square farther investigation required.							
	If yes is there treatment \square Yes \square No							
	Explain (filtration, disinfection etc)							
<u>4. A</u>	Aquifer Supplying This Well:							
a.	The aquifer is: 🗆 bedrock 🛛 granular sediment 🗆 unknown							
b.	Does water level and/or well capacity show seasonal fluctuation? \Box Yes \overleftarrow{ke} No							
<u>5.</u>	Pump Installation:							
a.	Is the well equipped with a pump? \bowtie yes \square No							
b.	Type of pump: hand electric submersible jet							
	□ shallow well centrifugal □ other,							
c.	Description: Manufacturer Model							
	horsepower capacity voltage							

EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd. Creating and Delivering Better Solutions

d.	Date installed: 2004 - If kely By:
e.	Date installed: $2004 - 16 \text{ keV}$ By: For submersible pump, depth of setting below surface $13.785 \text{ m} \text{ bc}$
f.	Drop pipe for submersible pump: \Box steel $\bigotimes_{i \in k \in I_{j}}$ plastic
g.	Pump delivers water to: D pressure tank clevated tank clev
h.	Are there automatic pump controls: X Yes INO
i.	Is there provision for taking water samples before water reaches storage? \Box Yes λ No
j.	Is there a water meter on the system? \Box Yes $\overleftarrow{\boxtimes}$ No
k.	Is the pump and piping protected from freezing? Yes No but should be below frost line If yes, describe:
1.	Comments on pump installation:
	Conclusions Comments on overall installation: The wellhead was damaged - is currently open as the cap cannot properly seal the top of the casing
	cannot properly seal the top of the casing
b.R	ecommendations:

	BA Engineering		Ltd.			
A1 67 (201)	eating and Delivering Better Sc					
	ART B: EBA Site Inspectio spector: Ball ALB		Dat	te June	- 21	p5
	WELL ID #	Owner	I	ocation Desc	ription	
	4821	YTG	WATSON	hake h	ELGH	Same
6.	Water Treatment	1				
a.	Is well water treated?	Yes 🗹 No; Type	of treatment:			
	□ chlorination □ iron	n and or manganese ren	noval 🗆 ot	her		
b.	Is water entering plumbing as effective as chlorine				another tro	eatment that is
	□ Yes □ No	If so how			;	_
c.	If treated with chlorine, is t	he free residual chlorin	e concentration	less than 0.2	mg/L	
	□ Yes □ No _	readir	ıg.			
	Tested at		(location)			
d.	Is testing for chlorine residu points in a piped distribution				or from rep	presentative
	□ Yes □ No	If yes how of	ten?			
e.	If the drinking water is being	ng transported by water	delivery truck	does it have a	minimum	chlorine free
	residual of 0.4 mg/L at t	he time of fill. 🛛 Ye	s 🛛 No			
7.	<u>Water Quality (observati</u>		/			
a.	Does the water stain plumb	oing? 🗆 yes 🗆 No 🗖	slight 🗹 sever	re		
		brown 🗹 red				
b.	Does the water contain sed					
c.	Is there an unpleasant odou	ır? 🗌 Yes 🗹	No \Box H ₂ S	C Other	r	
		6/	11			

EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd. Creating and Delivering Better Solutions

d.	Is there an unpleasant taste? Yes No brackish Other	
e.	Is there a history of bad bacterial analyses? Yes No	
f.	Is there a chemical analysis? Yes No adequate incomplete	
g.	Is there analysis of trihalomethanes (THMs) where the water source is a surface water supply or a well	
	under the direct influence of surface water? \Box Yes \Box No	
h.	Is the drinking water tested daily with an accurate reading chlorine test kit capable of reading in the	
ran	ge 0 to 3.5 mg/L of free chlorine residual in increments of 0.1mg/L? 🛛 Yes 🗹 No 🗍 unknown	
i.	If yes is the test performed in accordance with manufactures directions? \Box Yes \Box No \Box unknown	
j.	Is a record of the date, time, name of person performing the test and results of the drinking water sample	
	kept? I Yes I No	
	TANK AND PIPING DETAILS	
	Tank Room	
	Is there a water tank? Yes No Details:	
	Where is it located?	
	Comments:	
	Is the room in which the water tank is located heated to maintain an optimum temperature of 4°C for stored water?	
	YES NO Comments:	
	Comments:Are there windows in the add-on that may allow direct sunlight onto the water holding tank? YES	
	NO	
	Comments:	
	Are there other heat sources near the tank? YES NO Comments:	
	Is there waterproof flooring with a sealed base to contain spills? YES NO Comments:	

EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.

Creating and Delivering Better Solutions

Overall Tank

What are the tank size and dimensions?

What material is the tank constructed of?

Is tank and associated piping constructed of safe materials (i.e. CSA approved and material that does not affect the taste of the water)? YES NO

Comments:

Tank Inlet, Outlet and Lid

Is there adequate access on the tank for cleaning (i.e. min 15" access lid)? YES NO

Does the lid have a tight seal and is it watertight when closed? YES NO.

Does the tank have an overflow or high level whistle? YES NO

Is the water tank drain accessible? YES NO

WATER TANK AND WATER QUALITY CONDITION

Are there signs of staining or biofouling? YES NO Comments:

Is there any sediment or scum in bottom of tank? YES NO Comments:

Is there any odour associated with the water or tank? YES NO

Have there been any bacteriological analyses conducted previously? YES NO

Does the tank appear that it has been cleaned recently? YES NO

Are the tanks easily assessed for the purpose of cleaning and disinfection? YES NO

EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.

Creating and Delivering Better Solutions

<u>8. Conclusions</u>

a. Comments on overall installation:

NSTALLATION 19 THE INSIDE DONE BOTH MATERIA WORKMINSHIP S ſ b. Recommendations: STOM AS Porc W FIER INSTALL CONTMENT NALYSIS. INSTALL UV BENOND NSTITUTE ENTMEN 1STEM Ware È ALCO SHOCK CHURINATION SUSTEM MAINTEN PLOGRAM. MONITOR CE WATER ALITY IN THE BUILDING ON A (3 KAGULAR mars



Pump Test Log Sheet;
Location; Watson lake weigh scale
Clients Name; Bergeron General Contracting
Job #; WL0402Saturday, August 21, 2004Type and Model of pump used; 10So5-9 Grundfos
Start Time; 9:15 Am.
Static level at Start. 9 feet
Average GPM. Flow. 17 gallons per minute
Name of Pump Tester, Shawn Stone
Signature of pump Tester.Pump depth for test: 49 feet
Min. to recover to static; 5 min

Draw Down Chart

	CONTRACT OF
$\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} $	
min. min. min. min. min. min. min. min.	
$M_{2,0}$ 11 13 22 25 26 28 101 27 26 27 101 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102	
Final 1/ Weither State Provide State	
nume 14 15 18 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 60	
$BT F_{ij} = 38$ Te 28 as an and min. This will find the first sector M and M and M and M and M and M and M and M and M and M	

Recovery Chart

	3 94 94 5 94 97 90 9	
and the second	3 1 3 10 1	2
	<u>min. mm. min. mm. nin. min. min. min. mi</u>	0.600.000
HI 1 39		<u>uu.</u>
	30 29 22 16 14 12 14 9 18 20 25 36 35 46 45 50 60 min. min.	
Lime 14 16	18 29 25 AP 35 26 26 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
min wein		0
	<u>nun, ann, ann, min, min, min, min, min</u> ,	
		CARE .

Special notes, recommendations, or observations during test.

Water showed signs of surging and cloudy bursts effected flow rates. Water was cloudy and cleared enough rok toilet usage. Recommend filter for system and no odour was present. Completed depth was recommended by contractor involved.



Scale well recommendation

Friday, August 20, 2004

Well is finished in an unstable state and could have an undetermined future. During the pull back process it was noted that the well conditions were changing and bottom measurements were inconsistent. At one point the material added was washed away overnight and changed the footage 9 feet overnight. I feel that there is a strong flow at 70 - 80 feet and this water is in motion causing erosion. When this layer was drilled into it was found to be a void of 6 feet and was assessed as being water bearing sand. This may cause problems in the future as it may erode into top surface layers with the assistance of a high static level. At this point Northwind would recommend that this well be sealed to prevent a development of a possible sinkhole. Relocation of the well would be viable as the surface water is still having an effect on the well head as it is still flowing to well head from the parking lot and I do not feel that the surface seal is at adequate depth to stop the contamination of the source. When the well head was landscaped it was noted during work that the water was running under the back. fill and to well head. At present this well it is to be put on line. The well was tested at being 18 gallons per min with the drawdown depth of 32 feet. Water was cloudy and will require filtration to obtain adequate clarity to prevent damage to all auxiliaries. In closing the final recommendation would be to relocate well to a location that would have no influence from the parking lot (salts and road material that wash off trucks) and prepare a larger surface casing to continue through the unstable layers to prevent erosion or contamination of multiple layers of water bearing strata's. This well has had enough violation and is unable to provide accurate information.

Northwind Drilling And Pump Sales

Box 284 Watson Lake, Yukon YOA 1CO

--- --- ----

BC Environment

Groundwater Section

867-536-2009	Water Management Branch
IDENTIFICATION	DATE
Well I.D. No.: 401 Plate #: Name: Bergeron Steve	Started: 6/9/2004 Completed: 7/12/2004 Rig #: 01
(Last) (First) Address: 16 Alaska Hwy./ scale (Street No.) (Street Name)	Other Equipment: pump developement
	DEPTH CREW
Consultant Co.: Na	Overburden: 92 ft. Driller: Don Stone
WELL LOCATION	Bedrock: ft. Helper: Shawn Stone
BCGS: Lot: 50 Plan: 27641 District Lot:	Total: ft.
Location: Watson Lake, Yukon	LITHOLOGY
Section: Township: Range: PID: Land Dist: Block:	Unit of Measurement: FT
PID: Land Dist: Block: Legal Misc.;	FROM TO LITHOLOGY
GPS Lat: GPS Long:	0 27 surface gravels,sand,light grey
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Proposed Use: DOM Type of Work: NEW Owner's Number of Well: 401 Type of Rig: CAB	28 32 hard pan, gravels
DIMENSIONS	33 44 grey sands, fine, sitty
Diameter of Well 6 Inches Drilled: 92 ft. Depth of completed well: 92 ft.	45 52 hardclays,sharp gravels,water bearing,perma frost,small flow, static of 9 feet
Liner From: 0 ft. To: 0 ft. Diameter: in.	53 77 grey sands, silty water bearing, warm
CASING DETAILS 1	78 82 clays,sharp gravels,wood, cold
Material: STL Table Used: SCHEDULE	waterbearing with static of 26 feet
Size: 6" Diameter: 6.625 Wall: 188 From: To: Total Length: 96	CO CO CONTRACTORIO CONTRACTORIO CONTRACTORIO CON CONTRACTORIO CON CONTRACTORIO CONTRACTORICO CONTRACTORIO CONTRACTORIO CONTRACTORICO CONTRACTORIO CONTRACTORIO CONTRACTORICO CONTRACTORIC
i vai Lengin. 30	
CASING DETAILS 2	
Material: Table Used:	
Size: Diameter: Wall:	
From: To: Total Length:	
PERFORATIONS	
Perforations:	
Misc.:	
	Development Total Hrs.: 16
	Development Notes
	All attempts at developement was found to have poor results. Grey sits
SCREENS	cloudy.
Screens: Material: Stainless Steel	
Manufacture: johnson	
Type: Wire: V Wire	
I.D.: inches Slot Size 1: 20 77 ft. to 81 ft.	GENERAL COMMENTS
I.D.:inchesSlot Size 2:ft. toft.Total Length of Assembly Including Blanks and Riser:4ft.	GENERAL COMMENTS
GRAVEL PACKED	
Gravel Packed: Size of Gravel:	L
Gravel placed from: ft. to ft.	PRODUCTION DATA AT TIME OF DRILLING
SURFACE SEAL	Static Level: ft. Tidal:
Surface Seal: Depth:	Rec'd Max. Pump Output: BAIL at at ft.
Material Used in Seal: bentonite	Rec'd Pump Setting: 86 ft. at 12 GPM from GrdLvi
Method of Sealing strata off: bentonite/	

II: DEDito mey An Ender A District DRV. HOLE H Vield. OR Ender DRV. HOLE All responsibility for the accuracy of this information. This information should not be used as a basis for making financial or any other commitments.

Northwind Drilling And Pump Sales

Box 284 Watson Lake, Yukon Y0A 1C0

BC Environment

Groundwater Section

367-536-2009 IDENTIFICATION	Water Management Branch DATE
Well I.D. No.: 4012 Plate #: Name: Bergeron Steve (Last) (First)	Started: 8/5/2004 Completed: 8/10/2004 Rig #: 01
Address: 16 Alaska Hwy/scale (Street No.) (Street Name)	Other Equipment: pumping equipment
Consultant Co.: Na	DEPTH CREW Overburden: 92 ft. Dritter: Shawn Stone
WELL LOCATION	Bedrock: ft. Helper: Roger
BCGS: Lot: 50 Plan: 27641 District Lot:	Total: 92 ft.
Location: Watson Lake, Yukon Section: Township: Range:	LITHOLOGY Unit of Measurement: FT
PID: Land Dist: Block: Land Dist: Land Dist: Block: Land Dist: Lan	FROM TO LITHOLOGY
GPS Lat: n 60 03 00 GPS Long: w128,39.20	0 32 hard pan clays, overburden, permafrost at 32 feet
Proposed Use: DOM Type of Work: NEW Owner's Number of Well: 401 Type of Rig: CAB	33 51 shard gravels with some sand ending in clay silts with large water flow.
DIMENSIONS Diameter of Well 6 inches Drilled: 92 ft. Depth of completed well: 51 ft. Liner From: ft. To: ft. Diameter: in.	
CASING DETAILS 1 Material: STL Table Used: SCHEDULE Size: 6" Diameter: 6.625 Wall: From: 0 To: 51 Total Length: 51	
CASING DETAILS 2	
Material: Table Used: Size: Diameter: Wall: From: To: Total Length:	
PERFORATIONS	
Perforations:	
	Development Total Hrs.: 16 Development Notes
	Cloudy, warm flow intrusion.two destictive static levels.with permafrost.
SCREENS Screens: Material: Stainless Steel 19.2 - 21.6 Manufacture: Johnson Type: continuos stot Wire UD: 55 instee Old Single Continues and Content of States and Content o	
I.D.: 5.5 inches Slot Size 1: 20 71 ft. to 67 ft. I.D.: 5.5 inches Slot Size 2: 20 67 ft. to 63 ft.	GENERAL COMMENTS
Total Length of Assembly Including Blanks and Riser: 8 ft. GRAVEL PACKED	this work was to find an adequit suppy in surface layer of water.
Gravel Packed: Size of Gravel: Gravel placed from: ft. to ft.	PRODUCTION DATA AT TIME OF DRILLING
SURFACE SEAL	Static Level: 13 ft. Tidal:
Surface Seal: Depth: 10 Material Used in Seal: Bentonite/ Method of Sealing strata off: oversized	Rec'd Max. Pump Output: PUMP at 18 GPM at 45 f Rec'd Pump Setting: 45 ft. at 18 GPM from Casing Vield: 4320.2 Unite: Collons for Minute (11.5 (Imperial)

DISCLAIMER: The Province disclaims all responsibility for the accuracy of this information. This information should not be used as a basis for making financial or any other commitments.



~ Commercial ~ Residential ~ Industrial ~ Well Recovery ~ Soil Sampling ~ Consultations~

		Sunday, August 22, 2004	•
ESTIMATE FOR PUMP INSTALL	ATION AT SCALE #3		
1 10SO5- 9 Grundfos ½ horse 230	volt pump	\$808.16	
1 Control Box		\$105.00	
1 Pitless adapter	and the second sec	\$72.85	
1 WX203 Tank and tee package	· · ·	\$689.00	
1 Torque arrestor		\$50.00	
Per foot of horizontal line installation		\$15.50	
Per foot of vertical line		\$8.50	
Approximate backhoe time	7 hours	\$500.00	
Approximate pump labour	12 hours	\$780.00	

This is based on a line length of 150 feet horizontal and 40 feet vertical.

Sub total	\$5670.00
G.S.T.	\$396.90
Total estimate	\$6066.91

Estimate valid for 30 days. Materials will be charged out as actual field footage. Electrician required to hook up to control box also required. Extra materials charged out at cost plus. Horizontal line cost includes Reychem heat trace, wire, service line, insulation, and poly wrap.



Environment Environnement Canada Canada

Spill Report Information

Enforcement and Emergencies Section 91782 Alaska Highway, Whitehorse, YT Y1A 5B7 PH: 867.667.3400 FAX: 867.667.7962

Spill #	8720
Jurisdiction	Yukon
Community	Watson Lake
Address	
Highway	
Milepost	
Feature	Watson Lake
Location and Cause	weigh station - overfill of tank truck - tank leaked
Latitude	60.049775
Longitude	-128.648933
Incident Date	10/20/1987 11:05:00 AM
Lead Agency	Environment Canada - Environmental Protection Service
Other Agency	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Company(s)	Teslin Lake Motors (Walter Duncan)
Amount	9
Units	Litres
Quantity	Estimate
Release Description	Leaked
Additional Quanitit	
Concentration	
Concentration Unit	
Phase	Liquid
Major Contaminant	Diesel
2nd Contaminant	
3rd Contaminant	
4th Contaminant	
Outcome	min hazard to health and env - no surface water affected - weigh stn well approx 50m away - advised to contain spill with absorbant and repair leak



Environment Environnement Canada Canada

Spill Report Information

Enforcement and Emergencies Section 91782 Alaska Highway, Whitehorse, YT Y1A 5B7 PH: 867.667.3400 FAX: 867.667.7962

Spill #	9627
Jurisdiction	Yukon
Community	Watson Lake
Address	
Highway	
Milepost	
Feature	Watson Lake
Location and Cause	200 ft W of Watson Lake Weight Station - product leaking from transport truck - truck carrying load of barrels
Latitude	60.050235
Longitude	-128.649861
Incident Date	5/27/1996 12:20:00 PM
Lead Agency	Yukon Government - Dangerous Goods
Other Agency	Municipality - identified in Community
Company(s)	Northern Industrial Carriers Ltd
Amount	115
Units	Litres
Quantity	Estimate
Release Description	Spilled
Additional Quanitit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Concentration	7
Concentration Unit	%
Phase	Liquid
Major Contaminant	Methanol
2nd Contaminant	
3rd Contaminant	
4th Contaminant	·



