17.0 BUILDING 2575: DAWSON CITY WILDLIFE OFFICE 17.1 Description of Existing Water Supply System

The Dawson City Wildlife Office (Building 2575) is currently supplied by water delivery from a Town of Dawson City municipal water supply that is chlorinated at the source. Field chemistry done at the time of the water system assessment indicated that the residual chlorine concentration was 0.19 mg/L, which is just lower than the minimum required concentration of 0.2 mg/L (but within the range of error of the field equipment).

An approximately 9.4 m deep abandoned well is located in a pit north of the building. There is no well cap on the abandoned well and the well has poor wellhead protection. Anecdotal information indicates that the water from this well was of very poor aesthetic water quality. A site plan is provided as Figure 2575-A in Appendix A17. The coordinates of the abandoned well, as measured by a handheld GPS device, were recorded as:

- UTM ZONE 7
- Northing: 7102116
- Easting: 580298

17.2 Description of Existing Wastewater Systems

Wastewater from this building is discharged to a sewage holding tank that is pumped out regularly by a sewage eduction truck. The holding tank is located on the west side of the building approximately 15 m from the abandoned well. Figure 2575-A in Appendix A17 indicates the location of the sewage eduction tank.

17.3 Water Quality Results

17.3.1 Water Quality Results from Previous Sampling

Bacteriological

Eight samples were collected from the Dawson City Wildlife Office water system between October 2004 and June 2005 and were tested for total coliform and E. Coli by Yukon Environmental Health Services using the presence/absence test method. Results are tabulated in Table 2575-1 in Appendix A17. Coliform bacteria and



E. coli were reported as absent in each of the eight samples for which results are provided.

Potability

Water samples were collected from the Dawson City Wildlife Office water system on June 8, 2005. The samples were submitted to ALS Environmental in Vancouver BC for potability analyses. The results of these analyses are summarized in Table 2575-2 in Appendix A17. EBA reviewed the analytical results for comparison with the Canadian Drinking Water Quality Guidelines (CDWQG) to observe general water quality, identify and recommend additional sampling and analytical and to identify potential indicators of contamination. Details are as follows:

- The water quality results indicate that all health based and aesthetic objectives were met for the parameters analyzed on the date sampled;
- The water quality results indicate that the groundwater from which this system receives its delivered water supply is calcium bi-carbonate type with a pH of approximately 7.9; and,
- The hardness (as CaCO₃) was 145 mg/L, and is considered hard.

17.3.2 Identification of Additional Analytical Testing Required

As this system is supplied by bulk water delivery that is treated at the source, and previous sampling indicated that there were no exceedences of CDWQG aesthetic objectives (AO) or health based guidelines, no additional analytical testing was identified.

17.3.3 Indicators of Potential Contamination

Chloride, nitrate and nitrite concentrations can indicate impacts from surface water sources or septic waste. Chloride concentrations were low and are within the normal background ranges for groundwater in the area. Nitrate and nitrite concentrations for the sample collected from this water system are also low and within the normal background range for the Dawson area.



17.4 Conceptual Hydrogeology

The abandoned well located at this site is completed at a depth of 9.4 m, with a static water level of 7.18 m below ground. No well logs were available for the Callison Industrial area, however, based on available well logs for other water wells completed in the Klondike River Valley, and the depth of the well, it is considered very likely that the aquifer in which this well is completed is very vulnerable to potential biological and chemical contamination.

The Town of Dawson City obtains water from pumping wells completed within an unconfined sand and gravel aquifer underlain by bedrock. Most wells in this aquifer are completed at depths ranging between 7.6 m to 20.4 m, with static water levels ranging from 4 m to 5 m below ground. This aquifer consists of floodplain sands and gravels deposited by the Yukon and Klondike Rivers, water levels and groundwater flow directions within the aquifer are closely connected to water levels in both the Rivers. Discontinuous lenses of permafrost have also been encountered in the area. The relatively shallow depth of the aquifer combined with the absence of confining material leaves this aquifer vulnerable to surficial sources of contamination. Well tests completed by Stanley and Associates in 1992 show that the relationship between the Yukon River and the aquifer is virtually 1:1. This implies that ambient groundwater flow direction within the aquifer is most likely north to northeasterly.

17.5 Potential Contaminant Sources

An investigation of potential contaminant sources around the source water supply wells from which water is obtained for bulk water delivery to this site was outside the scope of investigation for this report.

Potential contaminant sources observed during the site investigation surrounding the abandoned well, however, are compiled in field notes in Appendix A17.

Potential contaminant sources within 30 m of the wellhead are:

- A septic holding tank at 15 m; and
- An above ground fuel storage tank (AST) at 9 m.



There are several bulk fuel facilities and industrial operations with 200 m and likely upgradient of the abandoned well.

17.5.1 Spills Records and Contaminated Sites Search Results

Environment Canada has reported several spill events in the Callison Subdivision area that resulted in discharges of hydrocarbon products and industrial chemicals. Spill reports are included in Appendix A17. Given the coarse nature of the soils in the area, and shallow depth to groundwater, these spills may already have impacted on, or may in the future, pose a risk to the quality of groundwater in the abandoned well.

17.6 Identified Water System Deficiencies and Associated Risk

17.6.1 High and Medium Risk Deficiencies

Observed high and medium risk deficiencies are summarized below:

- There is no access port in the water storage tank to make it available for cleaning.
- There is no cap on the fill pipe and no vermin screen on the vent/overfill and insects and vermin could potentially enter the water system.

17.6.2 Low Risk Deficiencies

- The fill pipe and vent are constructed of ABS piping, and are not suitable for drinking water; and,
- The abandoned well has not been properly decommissioned and could potentially provide a conduit for deleterious substances to enter and pose a risk to the aquifer.



17.7 Mitigative Options for Deficiencies

Mitigative options were developed to address the deficiencies identified in the previous section. Deficiencies are categorized by recommended level of priority (with Priority 1 being most critical).

We understand that the City of Dawson is planning to expand the piped drinking water system to include the Callison subdivision. Given the nature of the land use in this area, and the vulnerability of the aquifer that the abandoned well is completed within, it is recommended that the well not be used for a drinking water supply. A preferred option would be to upgrade and maintain the existing water delivery equipment and utilize bulk water delivery until a piped community water system is available.

17.7.1 Priority 1

Recommended upgrades to remedy observed deficiencies that are potentially high or immediate health risks are detailed below:

- Retrofit the water holding tank to make it accessible for routine inspection and cleaning. This may involve reducing the overall height of the tank, or, installing a side access port to facilitate access to the tank;
- Install a cap on the fill pipe and a vermin proof screen on overflow/vent;
- Monitor Free Available Chlorine Concentrations to ensure that they are at least 0.2 mg/L at the point of use; and,
- Institute a routine tank-cleaning program.

17.7.2 Priority 2

No Priority 2 upgrades were identified because all observed medium and high health risks would be mitigated by Priority 1 upgrades.

17.7.3 Priority 3

Recommended upgrades to remediate remaining deficiencies that are considered to be low health risks are:

• Replace ABS piping with PVC.



• Properly decommission abandoned well to prevent contamination of shallow aquifer.

17.8 Cost Estimates for Mitigative Options

Engineering costs for mitigative options are estimated to be 20% of construction costs, and would include inspection and completion reporting. The costs for materials and labour (not including engineering) are provided in the sections below. An additional contingency allowance of 20% is suggested for budgetary purposes.

17.8.1 Priority 1

Class D estimated costs for Priority 1 recommended upgrades are detailed below:

- It is anticipated that the water tank could be altered to install a cleaning access port for approximately \$1,000.
- A cap and vermin proof screen could be supplied and installed for approximately \$50.
- The routine monitoring and cleaning should fall under an operation and maintenance budget.

17.8.2 Priority 2

There are no Priority 2 upgrades recommended at this time.

17.8.3 Priority 3

Class D estimated costs for Priority 3 recommended upgrades are detailed below:

- Decommissioning of the existing well would likely cost in the order of \$500.
- Replacing the ABS piping with PVC piping would cost approximately \$200.





\\eba.local\corp\Whitehorse\Data\0201Drawings\1260002 Water Assessment YTG\004 - Northern Region\dawson\1260002004 Dawson Wildlife Office_2575A Site Plan.dwg, 1/13/2006 11:24:22 AM, Adobe PDF, jbuyck



Storage Building

Storage Building

ants Ltd.	SMALL PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS ASSESSMENT NORTHERN REGION		
	GOVERNMENT OF YUKO HIGHWAYS & PUBLIC WO		
Oh	DAWSON WILDLIFE OFFICE BUILDING # 2575	REVISION ISSUE	
Public Works ement Branch	SITE LOCATION DIAGRAM WELL ID: 2575	FIGURE No. FIGURE 2575-A	





Z:\0201Drawings\1260002 Water Assessment YTG\004 - Northern Region\dawson\1260002004 Dawson Wildlife Office_2575B Schematic.dwg, 4/4/2006 4:48:11 PM, Adobe PDF, jbuyck

Northern Region – Dawson City Wildlife Office Building # 2575

DISTRIBUTION & TREATMENT SYSTEM DATA

ltem	Description	Manufacturer	Model	Part No.	Serial No.	Size
1	WATER STORAGE	NIX	FG VERTICA	r		48" 8' 600 GALLDA
2	JET PYMP	MONARCH	M7C-50		4601	1/2 40.
3	PRESSURE TANK		JR44HS			8.5 GALLON
4	INLINE FILTER	RAINFRESH	10"			3/4" × 10"
5	PRESSURE Switch	SOUNCE D	FSG-Z			2HP - Ya Firt
	PRESSURE GAMGE	MARSH	0-100 PS1			2" - 1/4" Figt
7						
8						
9						
10				z		

Building Name	Number of Sampling Events		Any Positive Total Coliform Results? (yes or no)	Fraction of Positive Total Coliform Results vs. Total Sampling Events	Any positive E.Coli results? (yes or no)	Most Recent Sampling Event Available for EBA Review	Is Most Recent Result Positive?
Dawson City Wildlife Office	8	Oct-04 to Jun-05	no	0/8	no	9-Jun-05	no

TABLE 2575 - 1: SUMMARY OF BACTERIOLOGICAL RESULTS



Table 2575 - 2: Water Quality Results						
		g 2575 -				
		on City				
SOURCE:		Office				
Location/ Resident	Dawso	on City	Į			
Address						
Treatment	N	/A				
Disinfection		/A	G	CDWQ Crite	ria	
		<u> </u>				
Source of Water	Water I	Delivery				
		Additional	1			
Purpose of Sampling	Base Line	Sampling				
		i <u></u> _	1			
Sample Location						
Date Sampled	8-Jun-05	<u>N/A</u>	Lower	Upper	Limit	
Physical Tests (ALS)			AO	MAC	AO	
Colour (CU)	<5.0				15	
Conductivity (uS/cm)	297				500	
Total Dissolved Solids	178				500	
Hardness CaCO3	145			poor, > 500 un		
pH Turbidity OJTUD	7.9		6.5		8.5	
Turbidity (NTU)	0.65			1	5	
UV Absorbance % UV Transmittance						
N G Y Transmittallo						
Dissolved Anions (ALS)						
Alkalinity-Total CaCO3	105					
Chloride Cl	2.56				250	
Fluoride F	0.085			1.5		
Silicate SiO4						
Sulphate SO4	53.7				500	
Nitrate Nitrogen N	0.25			10		
Nitrite Nitrogen N Ammonia Nitrogen N	<0.10			3.4		
Total Phosphate PO4						
Total Metals (ALS)						
Aluminum T-Al	<0.010			0.1		
Antimony T-Sb	< 0.00050			0.006		
Arsenic T-As	0.00013			0.025		
Barium T-Ba	0.079			1		
Boron T-B	<0.10			5		
Cadmium T-Cd	<0.00020			0.005		
Calcium T-Ca Chromium T-Cr	<u>39.7</u> <0.0020			0.05		
Copper T-Cu	0.637			1		
Iron T-Fe	0.037				0.3	
Lead T-Pb	0.0023			0.01		
Magnesium T-Mg	11					
Manganese T-Mn	0.0212				0.05	
Мегсигу Т-Нд	<0.00020			0.001		
Potassium T-K	0.73					
Selenium T-Se	< 0.0010			0.01	000	
Sodium T-Na	2.2			0.02	200	
Uranium T-U Vanadium T-V	0.0007			0.02		
Zinc T-Zn	<0.050				5	
Field Chemistry (EBA)						
pH		7.88	6.5		8.5	
TDS (ppm)		146			500	
EC (uS/cm)		295				
Temperature (°C)		16.4				
Free Available Chlorine		0.19				
Notes:						

Table 2575 - 2: Water Quality Results

A. Guidelines indicated for hardness are not CDWQG, rather they are general aesthetic guidelines - exceedences are indicated in yellow highlighting.

Italics and underline indicates exceedence of proposed MAC (ie. arsenic)

Bold with Yellow highlighting indicates exceedence of CDWQG Aesthetic Objective (AO)

Bold Underline with Yellow highlighting indicates exceedence of CDWQG MAC

Results are expressed as milligrams per litre except for pH and Colour (CU)

Conductivity (umhos/cm), Temperature (°C) and Turbidity (NTU)

< = Less than the detection limit indicated.

AO = Aesthetic Objective

MAC = Maximum Acceptable Concentration (Health Based)



EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.

Creating and Delivering Better Solutions

SMALL PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM ASSESSMENT

PAVRIT AN DIBAY SITE INSPECTOR

Inspector: Ryan Martin, Luke Lebel

Date August 19, 2005

WELL ID #	Owner	Location Description
2575	Y16	Dawson City Wildlife Office

1. Well Location and Potential Contaminant Sources

- a. General location of well: (Community, Subdivision, etc.) Darson City
- b. Specific location: (Road or street, Building number, name of owner and/, legal description,

c. C	SPS location: N 7102116 E 580298 elv 344m ± 9m
d	Is there electric power? Yes No
e	Is there outside water access? Z Yes D No From delivery water supply, not from well
f.	Does the well system have:
	15 or more service connections to a piped distribution system? If so how many Well is abandoned, no longer in use. System is on water delivery 5 or more delivery sites on a trucked distribution system? If so how many Nearest building, specify wildlife office
h.	Distance from well to building $\sim 3 \text{ m}$
i.	If there is an effluent disposal field, is its location known? Yes No
J.	Distance from well to nearest point of known field: <u>Septic holding tank at ~15m</u>
k.	Well location relative to field: upslope downslope lateral

-	BA Engineering Consultants Ltd.
1.	Is there any part of a sewage disposal system(s) or other potential sources of pollution that may pose a
	Ith and safety risk within 30 m? \square Yes \square No
m.	Is the well located within 300 m from a sewage lagoon or pit? \Box Yes \boxtimes No
n.	Is the well located within 120 m from a solid waste site or dump, cemetery? \Box Yes $\bigotimes_{v_n} V_k e_{ly}$
0.	Is the infrastructure protecting the wellhead, pumphouse, storage tank and/or water treatment plant designed and secured to prevent:
	Unauthorized access by humans? I Yes No Entrance by animals? I Yes No Access passible. No corp. Evidence of mouse dropings and features
р.	Is well site subject to flooding? Yes No
q.	Is the well site well drained? Xes INO
r.	Is there a buried fuel tank on the property? \Box Yes \boxtimes No
	If yes, is it \Box in use \Box abandoned $\bigcup_{\lambda} h^{\lambda} ke^{\lambda} \gamma$
•	Is the location known? Yes No Distance from the well to known buried tank
s.	Are there any other known contaminant sources on the property?
	Yes No Describe
	If yes, specify the source: \Box dump \Box sewage lagoon \Box cemetery \Box other
	Potential Source 1: $\underline{A57}$; Distance from well to Potential Source 1: $\underline{\sim^{\circ}}m$
	Potential Source 2: <u>Dredge pond</u> ; Distance from well to Potential Source 2: <u>~ 65 m</u>
	Potential Source 3: ; Distance from well to Potential Source 3: Potential Source 4: ; Distance from well to Potential Source 4:
t.	Are there other wells on this property? \Box Yes \Box No
	How many? in use abandoned require proper sealing

	BA Engineering Consultants Ltd.
	ating and Delivering Better Solutions
<u>2. v</u> a.	When was well installed? Year Unknown Month
u.	
b.	Type: \square drilled \square dug \square sand point \square other
с.	Is there a drillers log for the well: \Box Yes \boxtimes No
d.	Is there a surface seal to 6 m 🗌 Yes 🖄 No 🗍 unknown 🖾 unlikely
e.	Surface casing: Yes Diameter No
f.	Well casing: Diameter <u>15 cm</u> Material: Steel plastic Concrete
	Could be pump Depth of well: 9.375 be measured (if possible) reported from log
g.	
h .	Static water level below ground: 7.180 m b c
	measured (if possible) reported from log flowing
··	
•••	
i.	(If granular) Is the well completed: open end casing with a well screen
	unknown other
j.	(If bedrock) Does the well have a liner? \Box yes \Box No \Box steel \Box plastic
k.	If there is a well screen: length slot size(s)
	Location of screen: from to from log reported
1.	Is there a sump below the screen? \Box Yes \Box No $\lor h_{nown}$
m.	Is the well head: \Box in pumphouse \bowtie in pit \Box pitless adaptor \Box in a building
	in a wooden enclosure other, describe
n.	If the well head is located in a wooden enclosure,

EBA Engineering	Consultants	Ltd.
-----------------	-------------	------

Creating and Delivering Better Solutions

	i. Is the well head below grade? describe in detail No, $\sim 0.7 \text{ m}$ above grade							
	ii. Are there signs of ponding on the enclosure(e.g. water stains, etc.)? \Box Yes \bigotimes No							
	iii. Is the wellhead enclosed by fiberglass insulations? Yes D No							
	iv. Any evidence of rodents? Specify Mase droppings and feathers present							
	v. Does the well casing have a proper seal cap? \Box Yes \bowtie No							
	If no, describe condition <u>None</u> present							
<u>3. V</u>	Water Supplying This Well:							
a.	By definition is the water from a surface water source or under the direct influence of surface water?							
	Yes I No I farther investigation required.							
	If yes is there treatment or disinfection \Box Yes \Box No h/L							
	Explain (filtration, disinfection etc) water from delivery is likely chloring ted							
<u>4.</u>	Aquifer Supplying This Well:							
a.	The aquifer is: \Box bedrock \boxtimes granular sediment \Box unknown							
b.	Does water level and/or well capacity show seasonal fluctuation? \Box Yes \Box No \mathscr{V}_{4}							
<u>5.</u>	Pump Installation:							
a.	Is the well equipped with a pump? \square yes \square No $\rho \circ s S i b \gamma$							
b.	Type of pump: hand Relectric submersible ist							
	□ shallow well centrifugal □ other,							
c.	Description: Manufacturer Model							
	horsepower capacity voltage							

	BA Engineering Consultants Ltd.
re	eating and Delivering Better Solutions
•	Date installed: By:
•	For submersible pump, depth of setting below surface
	Drop pipe for submersible pump: steel plastic
•	Pump delivers water to: pressure tank clevated tank conterned tank hove - net hove here dup
l.	Are there automatic pump controls: \Box Yes \boxtimes No
•	Is there provision for taking water samples before water reaches storage? Yes No
•	Is there a water meter on the system? \Box Yes \bowtie No
5.	Is the pump and piping protected from freezing? \Box Yes \boxtimes No
	If yes, describe:
•	Comments on pump installation:
.	Conclusions
•	Comments on overall installation:
	This system is currently on water delivery. There is an
	abandoned well on the property that is not properly sealed
	······································
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Recommendations:
).F	
.	
•.F	

EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd. Creating and Delivering Better Solutions

PART R. P.BA Stip Insuration

				۵	l
Ins	pector: BERT ALBIA	sget	Date	AUG 19	05
	WELL ID #	Owner	Loca	tion Descript	ion
	2575	YTG	DAWSON CIT	M WILDI	LIFESHOU
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DAWSON CIT CALLISON	SUB DI	41510m
6. ⁻	Water Treatment				
a.	Is well water treated?	Yes 🗹 No; Type	of treatment:		
	\Box chlorination \Box iro	on and or manganese ren	noval 🛛 other		<u> </u>
b.	Is water entering plumbin	g or piped distribution s	ystem treated with c	hlorine or ano	ther treatment that is
	as effective as chlorine	used to achieve disinfe	ction throughout the	system?	
				. ·	
	∐ Yes Ľ No	If so how			·
c.	If treated with chlorine, is	the free residual chlorin	ne concentration less	than 0.2 mg/]	L .
	□ Yes □ No _	readi	ng.		
	Tested at		(location)		94.
<u>ً</u> د					nome nomenogon to timo
	Is testing for chlorine resid points in a piped distributio				iom representative
	points in a piped distribution	n system, meruding a p			
	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	If yes how of	ften?		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
e.	If the drinking water is be			it have a min	imum chlorine free
	residual of 0.4 mg/L at	the time of fill. \Box Ye	es 🗗 No		
			<u> </u>		
7.	Water Quality (observat	tions).			
7.	Water Quality (observal				
a.	Does the water stain plum	bing? 🛛 yes 🖓 No 🗌	slight 🗆 severe		• •
	Type of stain:	brown 🛛 red	black		
				_ _ _	
b.	Does the water contain se	diment? ∟Yes ∟	INO L occasional	i const	ant
c .	Is there an unpleasant odc	our? 🛛 Yes 🗹	No \square H ₂ S	□ Other	

e	ating and Delivering Better Solutions
	Is there an unpleasant taste? Yes No brackish Other
	Is there a history of bad bacterial analyses? $7 \square$ Yes \square No
	Is there a chemical analysis? I Yes I No adequate incomplete
	Is there analysis of trihalomethanes (THMs) where the water source is a surface water supply or a well under the direct influence of surface water? \Box Yes $\overrightarrow{\Box}$ No
	Is the drinking water tested daily with an accurate reading chlorine test kit capable of reading in the
	ge 0 to 3.5 mg/L of free chlorine residual in increments of 0.1 mg/L ? \Box Yes \Box No \Box unknown
шı	
	If yes is the test performed in accordance with manufactures directions? \Box Yes \Box No \Box unknown
	Is a record of the date, time, name of person performing the test and results of the drinking water sample
	kept? 🗌 Yes 🗹 No
	TANK AND PIPING DETAILS
	Tank Room
	Is there a water tank? Yes No Details: FIBRE GLASS SECTION AL
	Where is it located?
•	Comments: - FURVACE ROOM.
	Is the room in which the water tank is located heated to maintain an optimum temperature of 4°C
	for stored water?
	Comments:
	Are there windows in the add-on that may allow direct sunlight onto the water holding tank? YES
	NO
	Comments:
	Are there other heat sources near the tank? YES (NO) Comments:

M ...

EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.

Creating and Delivering Better Solutions

Overall Tank

What are the tank size and dimensions?

4' × 8' HIGH ROUND SECTIONAL

What material is the tank constructed of? FIRE GLASS

Is tank and associated piping constructed of safe materials (i.e. CSA approved and material that does not affect the taste of the water)? $\overrightarrow{\text{VES}}$ NO

Comments:

Tank Inlet, Outlet and Lid

Is there adequate access on the tank for cleaning (i.e. min 15" access lid)? YES
Does the lid have a tight seal and is it watertight when closed? YES NO
Does the tank have an overflow or high level whistle? YES NO
Is the water tank drain accessible? YES NO

WATER TANK AND WATER QUALITY CONDITION

Are there signs of staining or biofouling? YES NO Comments: CLOSED TROCH VO ACCESS	
Is there any sediment or scum in bottom of tank? YES NO Comments:	
Is there any odour associated with the water or tank? YES NO	
Have there been any bacteriological analyses conducted previously? YES NO	
Does the tank appear that it has been cleaned recently? YES NO	
re the tanks easily assessed for the purpose of cleaning and disinfection? YES NO	

EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.

Creating and Delivering Better Solutions

8. Conclusions

a. Comments on overall installation:

ALL KEOUREMENT WITH THE INSTANKITON HEETS THE EXCEPTION OF ACCUSE TO THE TANK TOR INSPECTION à CLEANING b. Recommendations:

REGULAR Schonner FREE CHLORINE INSTITUTE REVOLTING. è ASSURE RUCK DELIVERY 5 PPm FREE ,6 CH ORINE 10-1-INSPECTION 1-CG 0 Andic 1.100 702 Source WATER For ENJING LIVERN City RE OF ANSON TEN



Spill Report Information

Spill #	9122
Jurisdiction	Yukon
Community	Dawson City
Address	
Highway	
Milepost	
Feature	Dawson City
Location and Cause	Callison Bulk Plant - heavy equip lost control and collided with tanker - pushed it over 1.5m bank - ruptured
Latitude	64.034444444444
Longitude	-139.36472222222
Incident Date	9/1/1991 2:00:00 PM
Lead Agency	Yukon Government - Public Safety
Other Agency	
Company(s)	Tesoro Canada
Amount	6800
Units	Litres
Quantity	Estimate
Release Description	Spilled
Additional Quanitit	
Concentration	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Concentration Unit	
Phase	Liquid
Major Contaminant	Diesel
2nd Contaminant	
3rd Contaminant	
4th Contaminant	
Outcome	accident not Tesoro's fault - most fuel recovered or pumped off - contaminated soil piled in yard - no immediate threat to environment or public safety



Spill Report Information

Enforcement and Emergencies Section 91782 Alaska Highway, Whitehorse, YT Y1A 5B7 PH: 867.667.3400 FAX: 867.667.7962

Spill #	9228
Jurisdiction	Yukon
Community	Dawson City
Address	
Highway	
Milepost	
Feature	Dawson City
Location and Cause	Callison Subdivision - Klondike Transport property - 500 ga storage tank being removed - tipped over and spilled residual oil
Latitude	64.034444444444
Longitude	-139.364722222222
Incident Date	7/23/1992
Lead Agency	Environment Canada - Environmental Protection Service
Other Agency	
Company(s)	Petro Canada (McKenzie)
Amount	100
Units	Litres
Quantity	Estimate
Release Description	Spilled
Additional Quanitit	
Concentration	
Concentration Unit	
Phase	Liquid
Major Contaminant	Diesel
2nd Contaminant	
3rd Contaminant	
4th Contaminant	
Outcome	material to be removed to a suitable location for spreading

Page 3 of 7



nt Environnement Canada

Spill Report Information

Spill #	9509
Jurisdiction	Yukon
Community	Dawson City
Address	
Highway	
Milepost	
Feature	Dawson City
Location and Cause	Callison Subdivision lot - line from truck pumping off fuel disconnected at camlock
Latitude	64.034444444444
Longitude	-139.36472222222
Incident Date	4/18/1995 2:30:00 AM
Lead Agency	
Other Agency	
Company(s)	White Pass
Amount	200
Units	Litres
Quantity	Estimate
Release Description	Spilled
Additional Quanitit	
Concentration	
Concentration Unit	
Phase	Liquid
Major Contaminant	Furnace Oil
2nd Contaminant	
3rd Contaminant	
4th Contaminant	
Outcome	soaked up spill - removed contaminated material and burned at dumpsite



Spill Report Information

Enforcement and Emergencies Section 91782 Alaska Highway, Whitehorse, YT Y1A 5B7 PH: 867.667.3400 FAX: 867.667.7962

Spill #	9714
Jurisdiction	Yukon
Community	Dawson City
Address	
Highway	
Milepost	
Feature	Dawson City
Location and Cause	Callison Subdivision - 2nd approach from Whse - 1 block off highway on left - improper fuel storage and overfill of tanker truck
Latitude	64.0370706
Longitude	-139.35275575
Incident Date	4/21/1997
Lead Agency	Yukon Government - Renewable Resources
Other Agency	
Company(s)	North 60 Petroleum
Amount	
Units	
Quantity	Unknown
Release Description	
Additional Quanitit	
Concentration	
Concentration Unit	
Phase	Liquid
Major Contaminant	
Major Contaminant 2nd Contaminant	
2nd Contaminant	

Page 6 of 7



Spill Report Information

Spill #	9933
Jurisdiction	Yukon
Community	Dawson City
Address	
Highway	
Milepost	· · ·
Feature	Dawson City
Location and Cause	Callison Subdivision - John Van Every's yard - release of bulk storage tank contents
Latitude	64.0347
Longitude	-139.3649
Incident Date	8/15/1999
Lead Agency	Yukon Government - Environmental Programs
Other Agency	Environment Canada - Environmental Protection Service
Company(s)	Northern Cross (Yukon) Ltd.
Amount	8500
Units	Litres
Quantity	Estimate
Release Description	
Additional Quanitit	200 L anhydrous ammonia
Concentration	3
Concentration Unit	%
Phase	Liquid
Major Contaminant	Brackish Water
2nd Contaminant	Calcium Chloride
3rd Contaminant	Anhydrous Ammonia
4th Contaminant	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Outcome	contaminant was released by sub-contractor without permission of Northern Cross - hazards not known - 2Km to Klondike River - shallow ground water - YG-EP investigating



Spill Report Information

Spill #	0144
Jurisdiction	Yukon
Community	Dawson City
Address	
Highway	
Milepost	
Feature	Dawson City
Location and Cause	Callison Subdivision - no further information on exact location - leaking truck out of Inuvik
Latitude	64.0347
Longitude	-139.3649
Incident Date	8/26/2001
Lead Agency	Yukon Government - Environmental Programs
Other Agency	
Company(s)	
Amount	50
Units	Gallons (US, liquid)
Quantity	Estimate
Release Description	Leaked
Additional Quanitit	
Concentration	
Concentration Unit	
Phase	Liquid
Major Contaminant	Waste Oil
2nd Contaminant	
3rd Contaminant	
4th Contaminant	
Outcome	cleaned-up by Kluane Frieght Lines - overseen by Fire Dept - product in secure barrell to be shipped - no further information on file



Spill Report Information

Spill #	0211
Jurisdiction	Yukon
Community	Dawson City
Address	
Highway	
Milepost	
Feature	Dawson City
Location and Cause	Callison Subdivision - parked tanker trailer (Earl MacKenzie's) - vandalism - no further details on exact location
Latitude	64.03653782
Longitude	-139.34772962
Incident Date	5/5/2002 8:44:00 PM
Lead Agency	Yukon Government - Renewable Resources
Other Agency	
Company(s)	Earl MacKenzie
Amount	2000
Units	Litres
Quantity	Estimate
Release Description	Spilled
Additional Quanitit	
Concentration	
Concentration Unit	
Phase	Liquid
Major Contaminant	Diesel
2nd Contaminant	
3rd Contaminant	
4th Contaminant	
Outcome	fuel had not yet entered surface water - ran into ditch and through to culverts - YG-RR CO Tory Hunter responding - no further information on file



t Environnement Canada

Spill Report Information

Spill #	0335
Jurisdiction	Yukon
Community	Dawson City
Address	
Highway	
Milepost	
Feature	Dawson City
Location and Cause	Callison Subdivision - Yukon Energy Substation - mechanical failure
Latitude	64.03767917
Longitude	-139.35259699
Incident Date	10/21/2003 2:30:00 PM
Lead Agency	Municipality - identified in Community
Other Agency	
Company(s)	Yukon Energy
Amount	100
Units	Litres
Quantity	Estimate
Release Description	Spilled
Additional Quanitit	
Concentration	
Concentration Unit	
Phase	Liquid
Major Contaminant	Hydraulic Oil
2nd Contaminant	
3rd Contaminant	
4th Contaminant	
Outcome	less than 100 L but more than 50 L - spill contained inside building - floor surface metal - absorbent pads used to clean up spill - no further information on file



