February 23, 2013

HYDROGEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT REPORT

Braeburn Solid Waste Disposal Facility

Submitted to: Ms. Laura Prentice Senior Program Manager Land Development Unit Community Services YG PO Box 2703, Main Administration Building Whitehorse, YT Y1A 2C6

REPORT

Report Number: Distribution: 1114360073-502-R-Rev0-1600

2 copies - Yukon Government Community Services 2 copies - Golder Associates Ltd.





Executive Summary

Golder Associates Ltd. ("Golder") was retained by the Government of Yukon Community Services Infrastructure Branch on September 28, 2011 to complete a groundwater monitoring well network installation and hydrogeological assessment program at up to 20 solid waste facilities located across the Territory. The Braeburn Solid Waste Disposal Facility (the "Facility" or "Site") is one of the sites included in the program. A multiphase approach was implemented at each Facility in order to carry out the hydrogeological assessment. The first phase completed for the program was a review of Site-specific requirements and considerations. The second phase was the preparation of a work plan and schedule. The third phase was the development and presentation of a Background Research and Facility Site Assessment Plan. The fourth phase consisted of the drill program tender specification and tender process management. The fifth phase consisted of the installation of a monitoring well network and collection of data on water levels, water quality, and aquifer parameters. The sixth and final phase resulted in a draft of this Hydrogeological Assessment Report, documenting the results of the investigation.

In summary, the information obtained during the Hydrogeological Site Assessment indicated the following:

- Site Description: The Facility is accessed by a gravel road located off the west side of the Klondike Highway at kilometre 276, approximately 95 km north of the City of Whitehorse and 80 km south of Carmacks, Yukon at latitude 61°16'07" North and longitude 136°45'35" West. No civic address or legal description is available for the Site. It is located on a 0.34 hectare Community Services Reserve (Disposition #105E05-015) in the Champagne and Aishihik First Nations, Ta'an Kwäch'än Council, Kwanlin Dün First Nation and Little Salmon-Carmacks First Nation traditional territory, and is located immediately adjacent to the Ta'an Kwäch'än Council settlement block R-8B. The Facility is currently being used as a transfer station serving between 10 and 15 users from the Braeburn and Fox Lake cottage areas, and is operated by the Government of Yukon, Department of Community Services. Prior to August 16, 2011 a burn vessel was used at the Facility to incinerate domestic, commercial, and demolition waste, which was then landfilled on-site. No evidence of chemical or fuel storage, above or below ground storage tanks, spills or discharges or hazardous materials storage were observed during the Site reconnaissance.
- <u>Topography</u>: The Facility is located at a surface elevation of approximately 760 m above mean sea level (amsl). The cleared area at the Site is generally flat, due to landfill activities, and slopes gently to the west. Surficial geology is characteristic of glacial outwash plain and terraced glaciofluvial sand and gravel deposits. Regional topography around the Site slopes to the northwest towards Braeburn Lake (elevation 695 m amsl).
- Stratigraphy and Hydrogeology:
 - Bedrock outcrops in the vicinity of the Facility are sparse; surface expression is dominated by quaternary, surficial deposits.
 - Subsurface conditions were investigated with the drilling and installation of three monitoring wells (BN-MW12-01, BN-MW12-02, and BN-MW12-03), which were installed between June 7 and 16, 2012 under the supervision of Golder Associates for the establishment of a monitoring well network at the Facility.





- Site stratigraphy was found to consist of approximately 17 m of glaciofluvial outwash deposits (sand and gravel) that overlie bedrock (dacite tuff) of the lower Jurassic Nordenskiold formation.
- A confined aquifer in fractured bedrock was identified at approximately 61.5 metres below grade (m bg), 29.1 m below the overburden/bedrock interface at BN-MW12-01.
- At BN-MW12-02, bedrock was encountered at 17.4 m bg and the borehole was drilled to a depth of 49.7 m bg; groundwater was not encountered at the time of drilling; however, groundwater was present after well installation, and when the well was developed it yielded a relatively small amount of water, indicating that it was completed in very low-permeability bedrock.
- At BN-MW12-03, bedrock was encountered at 17.4 m bg. The well screen was installed in the borehole so that it intersected the unconsolidated sediment/bedrock interface. Although no water was observed during installation, sufficient water was present during development to adequately develop and sample the well.
- A single hydraulic response test was performed on well BN-MW12-01 on July 31, 2012. BN-MW12-01 is screened in a confined water-bearing unit approximately 30 m below the bedrock surface. The horizontal hydraulic conductivity of the fractured bedrock at this location was estimated to be approximately 6 x 10⁻⁶ m/s.
- The horizontal hydraulic gradient could not be determined from the monitoring well network because of varying potentiometric surfaces encountered in the wells and the very slow recovery to static conditions at BN-MW12-02. However, the regional horizontal hydraulic gradient can be estimated from the overlying topography, from the hills to the east of the Site down to Braeburn Lake. This provides an approximate horizontal hydraulic gradient of 0.05 m/m, sloping to the west.
- Based on the inferred direction of the hydraulic gradient, the minimum criteria for one upgradient and two downgradient monitoring wells at the facility were not entirely met. One upgradient well (BN-MW12-01) and one downgradient well (BN-MW12-02) were installed, with a third well (BN-MW12-03) installed side-gradient to the Facility.
- Due to the lack of a surficial aquifer at the Site at the time of drilling and due to the complex nature of groundwater flow in fractured bedrock, additional monitoring well installations may be necessary to more accurately assess groundwater flow direction and potential leachate migration to groundwater underlying the Facility.
- Groundwater Chemistry:
 - A desk-top study and several Site visits were used to evaluate the applicability of Yukon Contaminated Site Regulation (CSR) water quality standards at the Site. It was concluded that Yukon CSR water quality standards for freshwater aquatic life and for drinking water both apply to the Facility.
 - Monitoring wells BN-MW12-01 and BN-MW12-03 were developed and sampled by Golder on July 30 and 31, 2012, approximately six weeks after installation. BN-MW12-02 could not be properly developed and sampled due to a slow hydraulic response in the well. That well was bailed dry and left to recover and the total recovery time remains unknown. However, recovery is very slow and is greater than a single day.





- During this first monitoring event, a water quality assessment was performed on water samples collected from BN-MW12-01 and BN-MW12-03, as well as a small creek located hydraulically and topographically downgradient of the Site.
- All samples showed acceptable levels of all analytical parameters as defined by the Yukon CSR criteria for freshwater aquatic life. However, Yukon CSR standards for drinking water were exceeded in BN-MW12-01 for iron (0.965 mg/L), magnesium (112 mg/L), manganese (0.0527 mg/L), sodium (202 mg/L), and sulphate (966 mg/L). As well, manganese (1.84 mg/L) and sulphate (594 mg/L) exceeded the Yukon CSR for drinking water in BN-MW12-03. Standards for iron, magnesium, manganese, sodium, and sulphate in drinking water are secondary standards intended to prevent taste and odour concerns.
- Detectable levels of naphthalene and phenanthrene were found in BN-BH12-03; however, the concentrations were below CSR standards for aquatic life. These compounds may be associated with oily waste stored and/or disposed of at the site.
- Results of groundwater sampling performed on the monitoring well network and the creek that is down gradient of the Site, indicate low to non-detect levels of analytical parameters typically associated with landfill leachate contamination. This suggests that leachate influence on groundwater at the Site was insignificant at the time of sampling.

The following recommendations are made based on the results of the 2012 hydrogeological investigation:

- As required by the Facilities Solid Waste Disposal Permit, groundwater levels and water quality samples should be collected from the monitoring wells at the Facility twice a year (spring and late summer).
- Groundwater quality at the Facility should be revaluated following an additional round of groundwater monitoring to determine if there is any evidence of potential groundwater impacts.
- The efficacy of BN-MW12-02 for sampling groundwater should be revaluated, and the well should be replaced if low-yield conditions persist.





Study Limitations

This report was prepared for the Government of Yukon, Community Services Infrastructure Development Branch.

The inferences concerning the Braeburn Solid Waste Facility contained in this report are based on information obtained during the assessment conducted by Golder personnel, and are based solely on the condition of the property at the time of the Site reconnaissance, installation of monitoring wells, and groundwater monitoring events, supplemented by historical and interview information obtained by Golder, as described in this report.

This report was prepared, based in part, on information obtained from historic information sources. In evaluating the subject Site, Golder has relied in good faith on information provided. We accept no responsibility for deficiency or inaccuracy contained in this report as a result of our reliance on the aforementioned information.

The findings and conclusions documented in this report have been prepared for the specific application to this project, and have been developed in a manner consistent with that level of care normally exercised by environmental professionals currently practicing under similar conditions in the jurisdiction.

Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. Golder accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.

With respect to regulatory compliance issues, regulatory statutes are subject to interpretation. These interpretations may change over time, and should be reviewed.

If new information is discovered during future work, Golder should be requested to re-evaluate the conclusions of this report and to provide amendments, as required, prior to any reliance upon the information presented herein.





Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION1						
	1.1	Background	. 1				
	1.2	Purpose and Objectives	. 1				
	1.3	Scope and Sequence of Work	. 1				
	1.4	Qualifications of Assessors	.2				
	1.5	Authorization	. 3				
2.0	SITE D	ESCRIPTION AND HISTORY	. 3				
	2.1	Site Location	. 3				
	2.2	Site History	. 3				
3.0	МЕТНО	DDOLOGY	.4				
	3.1	Preliminary Hydrogeological Assessment	.4				
	3.1.1	Data sources	. 4				
	3.1.2	Site Inspection	.5				
	3.1.3	Background Geological Information Sources	.5				
	3.1.4	Contaminated Sites Registry	. 6				
	3.1.5	Review of Waste Management Permit and Waste Management Plan	. 6				
	3.1.6	Review of Environment Yukon Information	. 6				
	3.2	Field Investigations	.7				
	3.2.1	Scope of Field Investigations	.7				
	3.2.2	Groundwater Monitoring Well Network	.7				
	3.2.3	Monitoring Well Surveying	. 9				
	3.2.4	Groundwater Monitoring Event	. 9				
	3.2.5	Rising Head Hydraulic Response Test	10				
	3.3	Laboratory Analysis	10				
	3.3.1	Quality Assurance / Quality Control	1				
	3.4	Application of Applicable Water Quality Standards	12				
4.0	CONCI	EPTUAL HYDROGEOLOGICAL MODEL	3				
	4.1	Setting	13				





	4.2	Climate	13			
	4.3	Geology and Hydrogeology	14			
	4.3.1	Geological Framework	14			
	4.3.2	Principal Regional Aquifers	14			
	4.4	Groundwater Flow Systems	15			
	4.4.1	Regional Groundwater Flow	15			
	4.4.2	Local Groundwater Flow	15			
	4.5	Hydraulic Response Tests	16			
	4.6	Estimated Linear Groundwater Velocity	16			
	4.7	Potential Contamination of Groundwater and Transport Mechanisms	16			
5.0	GROUN	NDWATER IMPACT ASSESSMENT	17			
	5.1	Review of Groundwater Chemistry	17			
	5.2	Interpretation of Groundwater Chemistry	19			
6.0	CONCL	USIONS	20			
7.0	RECOMMENDATIONS					
8.0	CLOSU	IRE	21			



TABLES

Table 1. Summary of Waste Disposal Facility Fermits and Gloundwater Monitoring Requirements	. v
Table 2: Well Construction Details	.9
Table 3: Monitoring Well Locations and Groundwater Elevations from the Monitoring Event on July 30 and 31, 2012	.9
Table 4: Parameters Sampled for – July 2012 1	10
Table 5: Review of QA/QC Procedures Taken 1	11
Table 6: Applicable Water Quality Standards 1	12
Table 7 Aquifer Units Encountered at the Site1	15
Table 8: Estimated Hydraulic Conductivity 1	16
Table 9: Important Groundwater Chemistry Results1	17

FIGURES

Figure 1: Key Plan
Figure 2: Site Plan & Cross Section Location
Figure 3: Regional Surficial Geology
Figure 4: Conceptual Hydrogeological Cross-Section
Figure 5: Regional Drainage and Land Zoning
Figure 6: Borehole Location Map Groundwater Elevation
Figure 7: Schoeller Plot
Figure 8: Piper Plot
Figure 9: Stiff Diagram

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Site Photographs

APPENDIX B Well Construction Logs

APPENDIX C Well Development and Sampling Sheets

APPENDIX D Slug Test Data

APPENDIX E Analytical Reports and Chain of Custody Forms



1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Golder Associates Ltd. ("Golder") was retained by the Government of Yukon Community Services Infrastructure Branch on September 28, 2011 to complete a groundwater monitoring well network installation and hydrogeological assessment program at up to 20 solid waste facilities located across the Territory. The Braeburn Solid Waste Facility (the "Facility", the "Site") is one of the sites included in the program. This Hydrogeological Assessment Report presents the findings of our investigation.

This work have been performed in accordance with the approved scope of work detailed in Golder's proposal (P1-1436-0073) dated August 29, 2011, accepted by Yukon Government Community Services on October 7, 2011, and additional works detailed in our letter dated April 26, 2012 and accepted April 30, 2012.

1.2 Purpose and Objectives

A phased approach is typically implemented in order to develop a site-specific groundwater monitoring program. The following objectives are included in the development of the program:

- Develop a conceptual hydrogeological model of the Site using existing data that identifies contaminant source(s), pathways and receptors;
- Visit the Site to corroborate the hydrogeological model, assess site conditions and identify monitoring well locations;
- Design a monitoring well network and drilling program;
- Install groundwater monitoring wells in accordance with the plan;
- Sample the groundwater and, if applicable, surface water;
- Analyze the data and identify potential impacts;
- With the new data, re-evaluate the conceptual hydrogeological model and groundwater monitoring program; and
- Provide recommendations, if needed, to further assess potential impacts to groundwater quality.

1.3 Scope and Sequence of Work

The following scope of work was proposed to develop the conceptual hydrogeological model for the Site and installation of a monitoring Well network. This work was performed in accordance with the Waste Management Permit (Permit No: 80-009 effective February 29, 2012 to December 31, 2014). No application pertaining to this Facility was available on the YESAB website as of October 5, 2012.



In summary, the work completed at the facility included the following six phases:

- Phase 1 assessed the needs for special considerations at the Site;
- Phase 2 outlined a work plan and schedule;
- Phase 3 consisted of background research and finalization of a draft of the Site Assessment Plan;
- Phase 4 consisted of the drilling program tender specification and tender process management;
- Phase 5 consisted of the installation of a monitoring well network and collection of data on water levels, water quality, and aquifer parameters; and
- Phase 6 comprised preparation of this draft Hydrogeological Assessment Report, documenting the results of the investigation.

1.4 Qualifications of Assessors

Project Manager

The role of Project Manager was filled by Gary Hamilton, P. Geo., of Golder's Burnaby, BC office. Mr. Hamilton is a senior contaminant hydrogeologist and Principal with Golder Associates. He has over 25 years of experience, has completed landfill monitoring projects locally, and is very familiar with Yukon environmental regulations. Mr. Hamilton conducted the initial Site inspections, coordinated the drilling work and reviewed this assessment report.

Project Director

The role of Project Director was filled by Guy Patrick, P. Eng., of Golder's Victoria, BC office. Mr. Patrick is a senior hydrogeologist and a Principal with Golder Associates. He is a Professional Engineer registered with the Association of Professional Engineers of the Yukon Territory. Mr. Patrick has over 30 years of experience in the field of environmental and hydrogeological assessments.

Field Hydrogeologist-Engineer

The role of Project Hydrogeologist was filled by Calvin Beebe of Golder's Nelson, BC office. Mr. Beebe has an M.Sc. degree in Hydrogeology from Saint Francis Xavier University (2012) and has completed numerous projects as a Hydrogeologist with Golder Associates including work on contaminated sites.

Mr. Beebe was assisted in carrying out the field work by Ms. Andrea Badger, who joined Golder in May 2012. She obtained a B.Sc. in Civil Engineering with an Environmental Option, from the University of Alberta, Edmonton (2012) and a Diploma of Northern Studies, Outdoor and Environmental Studies at Yukon College, Whitehorse (2007). She has been involved with monitoring well drilling, development, testing and sampling at landfills across the Yukon since beginning work at Golder. She has also been involved with surface water monitoring at a construction site in Northern British Columbia.



1.5 Authorization

Written authorization and a signed contract to proceed with the work outlined in our proposal dated August 29, 2011 was received by Ms. Laura Prentice, Program Manager, on October 7, 2011. Golder received e-mail authorization to proceed with additional work detailed in out letter dated April 26, 2012 on April 30, 2012. The Change Order for the work was attached to the e-mail message.

2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION AND HISTORY

2.1 Site Location

The Facility is located at kilometre 276 on the Klondike Highway approximately 95 km north of the City of Whitehorse and 80 km south of Carmacks, Yukon. It lies within the Southern Lakes Ecological Region at latitude 61°26'06" North and longitude 135°45'33" West. The Site is located 400 m west of the Klondike Highway, and is accessed by a gravel road off the west side of the highway (Figure 1, Key Plan). No civic address or legal description is available for the Site. It is located on a 0.34 hectare Community Services Reserve (Disposition #105E05-015) in the Champagne and Aishihik First Nations, Ta'an Kwäch'än Council, Kwanlin Dün First Nation and Little Salmon-Carmacks First Nation traditional territory, and is located immediately adjacent to the Ta'an Kwäch'än Council settlement block R-8B.

2.2 Site History

The Facility is currently being used as a transfer station serving between 10 and 15 users from the Braeburn and Fox Lake cottage areas, and is operated by the Government of Yukon Department of Community Services. The Facility was converted to an unattended transfer station that is open to the public 24 hours a day seven days a week on August 16, 2011. Only domestic waste is accepted at this Site (*i.e.*, no batteries, appliances, special waste, *etc.*). Site management has been contracted to a private company that provides transportation of domestic waste and recyclables. The contractor uses a site checklist to ensure that basic maintenance occurs every two weeks.

According to the Solid Waste Operating Plan, the Facility was receiving residential, commercial, and demolition waste type wastes in 2008. A burn cell and waste trench were used for waste disposal at the Site. The domestic waste trench had a reported capacity of approximately 500 m³ and it was estimated that 60 percent of the original capacity remained. Reclamation of the existing burn cell reportedly occurred in accordance with the Department of Environment's reclamation procedures, and the trench was covered sometime between 2008 and the initial Site visit by Golder in 2011. Another garbage burial site (open from 1995 to 1999) is adjacent to the covered domestic garbage trench (Figure 2).



3.0 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Preliminary Hydrogeological Assessment

The preliminary hydrogeological assessment involved a desk-top review and interpretation of existing information followed by an inspection of the Braeburn Solid Waste Disposal facility. The initial inspection of the facility was conducted on October 18, 2011 and a follow-up inspection was completed on June 7, 2012. The purpose of the preliminary hydrogeological assessment was to identify the appropriate drilling methods and equipment, and potential well locations for the installation of a monitoring well network. This portion of the work included the following three tasks:

- Compilation and review of available information;
- Assessment and interpretation of available hydrogeological data; and
- Development of a conceptual hydrogeological model.

Results of the preliminary hydrogeological assessment are documented in our report entitled "Braeburn Solid Waste Disposal Facility: Background Research and Facility Assessment Plan" dated June 7, 2012.

3.1.1 Data sources

The following references were used for compilation and review of information available for the Site:

- Access Consulting Group and G. J. Bull and Associates Inc., Solid Waste Management Plan Braeburn.
 Prepared for Yukon Community Services, Community Development Branch. 2003.
- Bond, J.D. and Lipovsky, P.S., 2011. Surficial geology, soils and permafrost of the northern Dawson Range. In: Yukon Exploration and Geology 2010, K.E. MacFarlane, L.H. Weston and C. Relf (eds.), Yukon Geological Survey, p. 19-32.
- Bouwer, H. and R.C. Rice, 1976. A slug test method for determining hydraulic conductivity of unconfined aquifers with completely or partially penetrating wells, Water Resources Research, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 423-428.
- Environment Canada, Meteorological Service of Canada Last Modified 2011-11-16, Website: http://www.climate.weatheroffice.ec.gc.ca/climate_normals/Canadian Climate Normals or Averages 1971 2000.
- Fetter, C. W., Applied Hydrogeology, Third Edition, PRENTICE HALL, New Jersey. 1994.
- Geological Survey of Canada. Geology, Braeburn, Yukon Territory, 1997.
- Government of Yukon, Department of Community Services, Solid Waste Operation Plan: Braeburn, Prepared for Yukon Government Community Services, Community Infrastructure Branch. 2008.





- Government of Yukon. Environment Act Contaminated Sites Regulation. O.I.C. 2002/171.
 Schedule 3-Generic Numerical Water Standards for Protection of Freshwater Aquatic Life and Drinking Water.
- Government of Yukon, Yukon Environment, Protocol for the Contaminated Sites Regulation Under the Environment Act. 2011.
- Government of Yukon, Yukon Geological Survey, YGS MapMaker Online Website: http://maps.gov.yk.ca/imf.jsp?site=YGS
- Government of Yukon, Yukon Mining and Lands Viewer Website: http://maps.gov.yk.ca/imf.jsp?site=miningLands
- Government of Yukon, Yukon Water, Water Data Catalogue
 Website: http://yukonwater.ca/MonitoringYukonWater/WaterDataCatalogue/
- Government of Yukon, Department of Environment, Compiled from The Yukon Water Well Registry Summary of Yukon Water Wells, May 11, 2006-Website: http://www.env.gov.yk.ca/monitoringenvironment/hydrology.php
- Klassen, R.W., and Morison, S.R., 1987. Surficial geology, Laberge, Yukon Territory, Geological Survey of Canada, Map 8-1985, scale 1:250,000.
- Natural Resources Canada, Groundwater Information Network
 Website: http://ngwd-bdnes.cits.nrcan.gc.ca/service/api_ngwds:gin/en/wmc/aquifermap.html
- Site inspection of October 18, 2011.

3.1.2 Site Inspection

Prior to the Facility reconnaissance, Golder developed a Facility-specific health and safety plan (HASP) for implementation during the work. The health and safety plan included a description of the potential hazards that could be encountered during the Facility reconnaissance and proposed mitigation.

No evidence of chemical or fuel storage, above or below ground tanks, spills or discharges or hazardous materials storage were observed during the reconnaissance. No Site utilities or existing water wells were observed at the Facility. Selected photographs of the Facility were taken during the reconnaissance and are presented in Appendix A.

3.1.3 Background Geological Information Sources

Geological information was obtained through a review of topographic and geological maps from the Department of Energy Mines and Resources Canada, and through the Canadian Geological Survey. Additional data on the subsurface of the surrounding area was obtained through the online Groundwater Information Network (GIN), provided by Natural Resources Canada (NRCAN), and the Yukon Water Well Registry. A search of the Yukon Water online Data Catalogue did not identify water testing results within the vicinity of the Facility.



3.1.4 Contaminated Sites Registry

A Site Registry search was conducted by Yukon Environment on December 1, 2011. The search identified no contaminated sites files or spill reports for the Watson Lake Solid Waste Disposal Facility; however, it was noted that the Facility does not have any analytical results in the file to compare against Yukon CSR standards to determine if any contamination exists. It was also noted that the Facility was largely unmonitored and that there was opportunity for improper disposal or spillage of contaminants during its operation.

3.1.5 Review of Waste Management Permit and Waste Management Plan

Waste Management Permit 80-009 was issued on February 29, 2012 for the Facility. It states that the Facility is to be operated in compliance with any applicable requirements in federal, territorial, and municipal legislation including the Environment Act and Solid Waste Regulations.

Monitoring requirements set out in Waste Management Permit 80-009 include:

- Monitor water levels and collect water samples from groundwater monitoring wells at the Facility twice a year (spring and late summer).
- Sample down gradient surface water bodies concurrently with the groundwater sampling.
- Analyze water samples at a laboratory that is accredited as conforming to ISO/IEC 17025 by an accrediting body that conforms to ISO/IEC 17011 standards.
- Submit monitoring results to Environment Yukon by January 31st each year.

A summary of the facility permits and groundwater monitoring requirements for the Site are summarized in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Summary of Waste Disposal Facility Permits and Groundwater Monitoring Requirements

Site	Site Disposal Facility Permit Number	Permit Type	Solid Waste Management Plan	Required Groundwater Monitoring
Braeburn Solid Waste Disposal Facility	80-009	Transfer Station	Community Services YG (2008)	Twice Per Year

3.1.6 Review of Environment Yukon Information

Golder reviewed documents pertaining to the Beaver Creek Facility on the Yukon Environment and Socioeconomic Board (YESAB) online registry on October 3, 2012. Documents reviewed included: the most current waste facility permit issued for the Facility, the most current Solid Waste Operation Plan, and the YG Environment Decision Document.





3.2 Field Investigations

3.2.1 Scope of Field Investigations

The scope of the field investigations included the following:

- Three on-site groundwater monitoring wells were drilled and installed by Midnight Sun Drilling under the supervision of Golder Associates between June 7 and 16, 2012.
- The wells were developed and sampled by Golder Associates on July 30, and 31, 2012. The water levels in each well were measured prior to purging and sampling, and physiochemical parameters were monitored at each well during development and sampling. Groundwater samples were sent to ALS Environmental Laboratory in Whitehorse, YT for analysis.
- BN-MW12-02 could not be developed or sampled. It was bailed dry and had not recovered by the following day.
- A single well response test (slug test) was carried out on one well (BN-MW12-01) to assess hydraulic conductivity within the bedrock aquifer.
- Results of field and laboratory data are summarized and are interpreted in this report.

3.2.2 Groundwater Monitoring Well Network

Groundwater monitoring well installation was undertaken at the Braeburn Solid Waste Disposal Facility in general accordance with Yukon Contaminated Site Regulation Protocol (Yukon Environment, 2011).

Three (3) groundwater monitoring wells were proposed for installation at the Site to characterize groundwater conditions underlying the waste disposal facility. BN-MW12-02 and BN-MW12-03 were installed with the intent to assess groundwater quality downgradient of the landfill, while BN-MW12-01 was targeted to characterize up-gradient groundwater conditions. Locations of the monitoring wells (Figure 2) were selected based on aerial photography, review of Site history, Site topography and suspected groundwater flow direction, and a Site inspection.

The drilling and monitoring well installation was completed by Midnight Sun Drilling of Whitehorse, Yukon under the direction of Golder Associates from June 7 to 16, 2012. Specifics for each well are listed below:

- BN-MW12-01 was installed on the northeast corner of the Site and advanced to a depth of 63.1 m below grade (m bg);
- BN-MW12-02 was installed on the southwest edge of the Site and advanced to approximately 49.4 m bg; and
- BN-MW12-03 was installed on the southeast edge of the Site and advanced to approximately 23.6 m bg.





All wells were installed using a Driltech Marlin 5 truck-mounted air rotary drill rig.

The locations of newly installed wells were obtained using a Trimble handheld GPS to an accuracy of 0.5 m or better for horizontal control. Elevations of the top of casing (TOC) for all wells were obtained by level survey relative to two legal survey posts at the Facility, with 1 cm accuracy. A site plan showing the monitoring well locations and key Site features is provided in Figure 2. Grab samples of drill cuttings were taken at regular intervals to log the lithology encountered in each borehole. Borehole logs documenting observed lithology along with well construction details are provided in Appendix B, with a summary of well construction details provided in Table 2. Saturated zones were encountered at varying depths throughout the Site, indicating varying hydrogeological conditions. The following is a summary of the depth of saturated zones that were encountered:

- At BN-MW12-01, bedrock was encountered at a depth of 16 m bg. A fractured bedrock confined water-bearing unit was encountered at approximately 61.6 m bg. No surficial water-bearing zone was identified in the overlying sediments, although the potentiometric surface in the bedrock aquifer rose in the well above the bedrock/sediment interface. Casing could not be withdrawn past a depth of 15.4 m and was left overlapping the well screen.
- At BN-MW12-02, bedrock was encountered at an approximate depth of 17.4 m and was drilled to a depth of 49.4 m without encountering groundwater. However, a monitoring well was installed in bedrock at this depth, and when developed, BN-MW12-02 contained approximately 2.9 m of water, indicating that it was likely installed in a low permeability water-bearing zone. It is not known whether this represented static conditions or whether the water level at the time of measurement was still recovering in the well.
- At BN-MW12-03, bedrock was encountered at an approximate depth of 17.4 m, and the borehole was drilled to a total depth of 49.4 m, with the subsequent installation of a monitoring well. Groundwater was not present at the time of installation; however, when the well was developed, it contained 2.1 m of water. The borehole was partially backfilled with bentonite so that the well could be screened at the interface between bedrock and unconsolidated sediments. The completion may allow the well to act as a sump within the top of the bedrock, catching perched groundwater that was ponding on the contact between the unconsolidated sediments and bedrock.

Installation details are included on the borehole logs in Appendix B. Typical monitoring well completion details for all three wells included:

- Monitoring wells were completed with flush-threaded, 50 mm Schedule 40 PVC casing;
- A 3 m long PVC, factory-slotted well screen (10-slot) was installed in all three monitoring wells;
- PVC casing was installed above the well screen to about 0.80 m above grade;
- A silica sand filter pack was used to fill the annulus between the PVC well screen and the borehole wall. The sand pack was extended approximately 1.5 m above the top of the screened interval;
- A bentonite chip seal, approximately 1.5 m thick, was placed directly above the sand pack. The remainder of the annulus was filled with bentonite well grout;





- Each well was covered with a PVC end-cap, and a lockable steel protective casing was installed to protect the wellhead; and
- BN-MW12-01 and BN-MW12-03 were developed by removing a minimum of three well volumes using dedicated Waterra[™] tubing and a Hydrolift[™] pump or hand bailer. BN-MW12-02 was bailed dry and left to recover. Development logs are provided in Appendix C.

Well ID	Drilled Depth (mbg)	Water-Bearing Unit	Well Casing Diameter (mm)	Screened Interval (m bg)	Filter Pack Interval (m bg)
BN-MW12-01	63.1	Bedrock	50	60.0 - 63.1	59.1 – 63.1
BN-MW12-02	49.4	Bedrock	50	46.6 - 49.4	43.3 – 49.4
BN-MW12-03	23.6	Bedrock/Overburden	50	17.4 – 18.9	17.4 – 18.9

Table 2: Well Construction Details

3.2.3 Monitoring Well Surveying

Golder surveyed the vertical elevation of the top of the PVC wellhead (measuring point) for each well on June 16, 2012. Monitoring well elevations were obtained via level survey relative to two benchmarks (1L1063 and 4L1063), for which vertical elevation had been previously surveyed (Quest Engineering, 2006). Horizontal position of wells was obtained using a handheld Trimble GPS system with an accuracy of approximately 0.5 m. Table 3 presents a summary of survey data and water-level measurements.

Table 3: Monitoring Well Locations and Groundwater Elevations from the Monitoring Ever	nt
on July 30 and 31, 2012	

Well ID	GPS Location	Top of PVC Casing Elevation (masl)	Static Water Level (mbtoc ¹)	Groundwater Elevation (masl)
BN-MW12-01	6811541.4 m N 459490.2 m E	756.09	10.52	745.57
BN-MW12-02	6811482.6 m N 459443.5 m E	755.09	47.77	707.32
BN-MW12-03	6811477.8 m N 459483.3 m E	755.90	17.76	738.14

3.2.4 Groundwater Monitoring Event

Monitoring wells BN-MW12-01 and BN-MW12-03 were developed and sampled by Golder on July 30 and 31, 2012, approximately six weeks after installation. Due to logistical constraints, the wells could not be developed immediately following installation. Although BN-MW12-02 contained water at the time of this event, it could not be properly developed or sampled due to a slow hydraulic response in the well (easily bailed dry).



¹ Mbtoc = meters below top of casing

The procedure used for sampling followed Contaminated Sites Regulation Protocol No. 7. Prior to and during development/purging of each well, a water level was measured in each well with an electronic measuring tape. Between 3 and 10 well volumes were purged from each well using 5/8" high density polyethylene (HDPE) Waterra[™] tubing, a foot valve, and a Hydrolift[™] pump or a HDPE hand bailer, prior to a sample being obtained. During purging, physiochemical parameters (pH, temperature, electrical conductance (EC)) were collected at regular intervals using a Hanna Instruments HI 991300 multi-meter, and purging continued until field parameters were stable before sampling. Groundwater Development and Sampling Datasheets are presented in Appendix C. In addition to the three groundwater monitoring wells sampled, a surface water sample from the nearest potential down gradient receptor was acquired during the sampling event. The nearest downgradient receptor was determined to be a small creek running into Braeburn Lake, located approximately 660 m to the west of the Site (Figure 1).

Sample containers and appropriate preservatives were obtained from ALS's Whitehorse laboratory. Samples for dissolved metals were field-filtered using 0.45-micron, in-line filters and preserved with nitric acid. All samples were kept in coolers with ice packs prior to their delivery to ALS's Whitehorse laboratory within appropriate holding times. ALS's laboratory is certified by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation and is accredited as conforming to ISO/IEC 17025 for analysis.

3.2.5 Rising Head Hydraulic Response Test

A single well hydraulic response (slug) test was performed on BN-MW12-01 on July 31, 2012 to assess the hydraulic conductivity of the bedrock aquifer underlying the Site. The slug test was performed using a solid 38 mm diameter PVC slug and a Solinst Levelogger electronic pressure transducer set to measure head fluctuations at one-second intervals. Manual water-level measurements were also recorded throughout the test.

Hydraulic response tests could not performed at BN-MW12-02 and BN-MW12-03, due to very slow response times; days and hours respectively.

3.3 Laboratory Analysis

Parameters included in the laboratory analysis of groundwater and surface water samples collected from the Braeburn Solid Waste Disposal Facility are summarized in Table 3. This list of parameters is in compliance with the Facility's Solid Waste Disposal Permit (Permit No. 80-009). Sampling and analysis of groundwater samples were undertaken in general accordance with Yukon Contaminated Site Regulation Protocols 2 and 5 (CSR, 2011).

Sample ID	General Parameters	Nutrients	Dissolved Metals	PAH & BTEX	DOC
BN-MW12-01	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
BN-MW12-03	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Surface Water	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

Table 4: Parameters Sampled for – July 2012





3.3.1 Quality Assurance / Quality Control

The following section provides a detailed description of the Quality Assurance (QA) and Quality Control (QC) measures taken by Golder to ensure the accuracy and integrity of groundwater quality sample analysis.

The following table provides a summary of QA/QC measures and an evaluation of the ability to uphold standards.

QA/QC Aspect	Evidence and Evaluation							
Data Representativeness								
Sample Integrity	All samples were kept at the appropriate temperature and delivered to the laboratory within the appropriate holding times.							
Background Samples	BN-MW12-01 was installed as an up gradient well of the Facility and is used to provide background levels of physiochemical parameters.							
Field Procedures	Monitoring wells were developed and sampled using dedicated tubing. All equipment used in multiple wells was decontaminated using soap (Alconox [™]) and distilled water. Surface water samples were collected using one-time-use syringes.							
Calibration of Field Equipment	Calibration of field equipment was undertaken daily, prior to sampling wells.							
Data Precision and Accuracy								
Blind Duplicate	One blind duplicate was collected from WL-MW12-01 during the May 2012 groundwater monitoring event. Of the 112 analyte pairs tested, RPD values could not be calculated for 83 of the pairs as both values tested below the laboratory method detection limit (MDL). Of the remaining pairs tested only three analytes (nitrate, nitrite, and total Kjeldahl nitrogen) exceeded the RPD acceptance criteria of ±30%. These exceedances are considered to be generally minor and related to the poor reproducibility of the analytical methods at low analyte concentrations.							
Trip Blanks	A trip blank was not collected during the May 2012 groundwater monitoring event.							
Laboratory Internal QA/QC	Laboratory QA/QC is detailed in the primary laboratory report (Appendix E). Overall, the primary lab showed acceptable testing frequency and results for the method blanks, laboratory duplicates and matrix spikes.							
Holding Times	All maximum holding times were met as required by ASTM, laboratory, and Golder best practice standards.							
Laboratory Detection Limit	Laboratory reports indicate that detection limits were below the standards required for this assessment.							
Completeness of test program	All wells were sampled in accordance with the Site Assessment and Work Plan criteria.							
Validity of Data Set	The data quality review indicates no significant systematic errors in the data collection or analysis process for groundwater. The results of laboratory internal QA/QC and analysis of blind duplicates were acceptable, and therefore, the data set is considered valid and complete for use as the basis for groundwater assessment.							

Table 5: Review of QA/QC Procedures Taken



3.4 Application of Applicable Water Quality Standards

In accordance with the Government of Yukon's solid waste facility monitoring requirements, groundwater wells and the nearest surface water receptor were sampled and tested for the following parameters:

Major ions (Ca, Mg, Na, K, Cl,	Carbonate	Chemical oxygen demand
SO4, N, NO2, NO3 and P)	Bicarbonate	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen
Dissolved Metals	рН	EPHw10-10 & VHw6-10
Mercury	Total dissolved solids	BTEX
Hardness	Ammonia	PAHs

Groundwater and surface water analytical results were compared to the Yukon CSR water quality standards, or to the Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines for analytes in which no Yukon standard was available.

Dissolved organic carbon

The four types of water uses outlined in the CSR, the relevant water quality standards, and their applicability to this assessment are presented in Table 6.

Water Use	Applicable Water Quality Standard	Applicable Plume Radius (km)	Applicability to Assessment
Aquatic Life	Schedule 3 – Contaminated Sites Regulation (O.I.C. 2002/171)	1	Applicable
Drinking Water	Schedule 3 – Contaminated Sites Regulation (O.I.C. 2002/171)	1.5	Applicable
Irrigation	Schedule 3 – Contaminated Sites Regulation (O.I.C. 2002/171)	1.5	Not Applicable
Livestock	Schedule 3 – Contaminated Sites Regulation (O.I.C. 2002/171)	1.5	Not Applicable

Table 6: Applicable Water Quality Standards

The following discusses the applicability of each water quality standard to the Facility.

Aquatic Life

Alkalinity

Aquatic life standards are applied here primarily due to the Facilities proximity to a small creek and wetland approximately 650 m to the east of the Site within the 1 km radius required by the CSR. It was determined, therefore, that aquatic life standards were applicable for the Braeburn Facility.





Drinking Water

A search of drinking water wells on the Groundwater Information Network website (accessed July 10, 2012) showed no drinking water wells located along the predicted down gradient flow path between the Site and the Braeburn Facility, nor in any other area within a 1.5 km radius of the Site. In addition, multiple visits to the Site and review of Google Earth Images from 2012 showed no residences within a 1.5 km radius. However, a review of the solid waste operations plan for the Site indicated that the nearest dwelling used for human habitation was approximately 1 km to the south of the Site, which is within the 1.5 km limit specified by the Yukon CSR. It was therefore concluded that Yukon CSR drinking water standards were applicable for the Braeburn Facility.

Irrigation and Livestock

A review of the Summary of Yukon Water Wells, compiled from The Yukon Water Well Registry, reviewed by Golder on July 10, 2012, showed no irrigation wells or wells for livestock on record for the Braeburn area. It should be noted that this is not a complete record of all wells in the Yukon, and it is possible that there are irrigation wells or wells for livestock use in the area.

A review of Google Earth Images from 2012, conducted by Golder on July 26, 2012, as well as several visits to the Facility conducted in May and July 2012 showed no agricultural land or active livestock or livestock facilities within 1.5 km of the Site. It is therefore concluded that CSR water quality standards for irrigation and livestock are not applicable to the Braeburn Facility.

4.0 CONCEPTUAL HYDROGEOLOGICAL MODEL

4.1 Setting

The Facility is located at an elevation of approximately 760 m above mean sea level (amsl) and lies within the Braeburn Lake watershed. A cleared area is present at the Facility. Site topography slopes gradually to the West. Surficial deposits are characteristic of glacial outwash plain and terraced glaciofluvial sand and gravel deposits. Regional topography around the Site slopes to the northwest towards Braeburn Lake (elevation 695 m amsl).

4.2 Climate

Climate data at the Braeburn climate station (Climate ID 2130167), located approximately 5 km north of the Facility at an elevation of approximately 716.3 m amsl, were used to assess climatic conditions at the Site. Average monthly precipitation reported at the Braeburn station ranges from a low average of 5.5 mm in April to a high average of 58.5 mm in July. The average annual precipitation is approximately 280 mm, including 94.3 cm as snowfall. Temperature ranges from a low average of -21.2°C in January to a high average of 13.6°C in July (Environment Canada, 2011).





Annual precipitation is relatively low (less than 300 mm per year) and would therefore indicate that the degree of infiltration of precipitation through buried waste and into the subsurface soils is relatively low. With a significant portion of the precipitation occurring in the form of snow, and given the relatively cold climate, little infiltration would be expected during the winter months. The greatest potential for infiltration of water through the waste is during spring snow melt; however, a significant portion of the water from snow melt would typically occur as surface runoff during this period.

4.3 Geology and Hydrogeology

4.3.1 Geological Framework

The southern Yukon, including the Braeburn area, has undergone several episodes of glaciation, the most recent being the Quaternary McConnell glaciation (Figure 3, Regional Geology). During that period, sediments such as glacial till, glaciofluvial sediments, and glaciolacustrine sediments were deposited, especially in low elevation areas such as the Braeburn Lake area.

Surficial geology maps published by the Yukon Geological Survey (YGS) indicate natural surficial material at the Facility is representative of glacial outwash plain and terraced glaciofluvial material deposited directly by glacial ice and meltwater, respectively. In general, deposits consist of well compacted to non-compacted material that contains a mixture of sediment particle sizes, and is commonly in a matrix of gravel, sand and silt, supporting cobbles and boulders. The thickness of the unconsolidated sediments was estimated by Klassen (1978) to be between 5 m and 50 m.

Bedrock geology at the Site is mapped as being the Lower Jurrasic Nordenskiold dacite tuff with massive sandstone and interbedded conglomerate.

4.3.2 Principal Regional Aquifers

Regional groundwater occurs in water bearing zones composed of two geological units; a surficial aquifer consisting of permeable unconsolidated materials, and a fractured bedrock aquifer. The surficial aquifer unit is recharged directly from infiltration. Although a very thin groundwater zone was present in BN-MW12-03, perched on top of the bedrock, the unconsolidated sediments underlying the Site appear to be mostly unsaturated. Groundwater was present in fractured bedrock at a depth of 45.6 m in BN-MW12-01 and at a depth of approximately 48 m in BN-MW12-02. Although the groundwater present in these wells would be consistent with the depth of the regional bedrock aquifer, the permeability of the bedrock unit underlying the Site appears to be low at the locations drilled.

For the purpose of this report, aquifer units have been named the Surficial Aquifer and the Regional Bedrock Aquifer for ease of reference.





Unit	Location	Material	Comment	
Surficial Aquifer	BN-MW12-03	Unconsolidated glacial outwash	Perched on bedrock surface at one location only; surficial aquifer not apparent.	
Regional Bedrock Aquifer	BN-MW12-01 BN-MW12-02	Fractured rock	 Deep regional flow in this aquifer Fed by infiltration in outcrop areas and through lateral and vertical flow from the overlying surficial sediments. 	

Table 7 Aquifer Units Encountered at the Site

4.4 **Groundwater Flow Systems**

4.4.1 Regional Groundwater Flow

It can be inferred from the Site topography that regional groundwater likely flows from the mountains (elevation approximately 1100 m amsl) that are located to the east of the Site, in a northwest direction towards Braeburn Lake (elevation approximately 700 m amsl). Groundwater recharges the bedrock aquifer through infiltration of rainfall through surficial sediments and exposed outcrops. Regional groundwater flow occurs mainly through fractures in bedrock and to a lesser extent through unconsolidated sediments where the bedrock aquifer is hydraulically connected to surficial units. Regional groundwater flows towards Braeburn Lake or to one of the numerous creeks draining into Braeburn Lake.

4.4.2 Local Groundwater Flow

There was no identifiable surficial aquifer underlying the Site at the time of well installation in June 2012 or during the monitoring event in July 2012. Only a thin water-bearing zone, between 0 m and 0.5 m in thickness and conforming closely to the top of bedrock, was observed during drilling at BN-MW12-03. The areal extent of this water-bearing zone is not known, although it was not observed at BN-MW12-01 and BN-MW12-02. However, it should be considered a primary initial pathway for leachate migration, in particular, at the location of well BN-MW12-03.

Golder used the groundwater depth data from July 2012 and well survey elevation information collected in June 2012 to calculate the groundwater elevation at each monitoring well. The water-level measurements and groundwater elevations from July 30-31, 2012 are presented in Table 3. Hydraulic gradient and groundwater flow direction underlying the Site could not be ascertained from the monitoring well network because of varying potentiometric surfaces and wells completed at different stratigraphic depths. Based on Site topography, the local groundwater flow direction appears to be to the west, with a maximum hydraulic gradient of approximately 0.05 m/m.

Shallow groundwater underlying the Site likely discharges to a small creek located 600 m to the west and directly downgradient; therefore the creek should be considered a primary receptor.





4.5 Hydraulic Response Tests

Golder Associates conducted a rising head slug test on BN-MW12-01 in July 2012. The slug test was analyzed using AQTESOLV version 4.5 software and the results are included in Appendix D. The following is a summary of the findings.

Table 8: Estimated Hydraulic Conductivity

Monitoring Well ID	Primary Hydrogeological Unit	Solution Used	Estimated Hydraulic Conductivity (m/s)
BN-MW12-01 (Rising Head)	Fractured Rock	Bouwer-Rice (1976)	6 x 10 ⁻⁶

As shown in Table 8, hydraulic conductivity at well BN-MW12-01 was estimated, using the Bouwer-Rice (1976) analytical solution for confined aquifers, to be approximately 6×10^{-6} m/s, which is a reasonable estimate for a fractured bedrock aquifer (Fetter, 1994).

4.6 Estimated Linear Groundwater Velocity

Average linear groundwater velocities can be estimated in aquifers where the hydraulic gradient is known, and where hydraulic conductivity can be estimated. However, at this Site, an estimated hydraulic conductivity is only available for the bedrock aquifer, as the overburden was mostly unsaturated. In addition, the hydraulic gradient was estimated from surface topography only and could not be determined from the installed wells since they were screened in different hydrostratigraphic units. Therefore, there is insufficient information available to estimate the linear groundwater velocity underlying the Site.

4.7 Potential Contamination of Groundwater and Transport Mechanisms

Potential sources and transport mechanisms of groundwater contamination are evaluated based on the Site history, Site inspections, hydrogeological investigations and contaminant transport principals. Potential sources include:

- Leachate from present and former domestic waste, commercial waste, and any other waste disposed at the Facility. Potential contaminants leaching from these sources include: heavy metals, nutrients (NO₃, NH₃), organic hydrocarbons (Fuels, PAHs, chlorinated hydrocarbons), and salts.
- Leakage and spillage from on-site hydrocarbon storage areas.

No off-site sources of pollution have been identified in this report.





Transport mechanisms that may act on these sources of contamination and cause potential contamination of downgradient receptors include:

- Percolation of precipitation from the surface, through the unsaturated zone, and into the saturated zone.
 This includes interflow, or flow of water through the unsaturated zone.
- Transport of contaminants within the saturated zone (aquifer) to other downgradient locations.

5.0 GROUNDWATER IMPACT ASSESSMENT

5.1 Review of Groundwater Chemistry

As discussed in section 3.2.4, one round of groundwater monitoring was conducted on two of the three newly installed wells and one surface water location at the Braeburn Solid Waste Disposal Facility on July 30 and 31, 2012. Chain-of-custody forms for the groundwater samples collected, along with the complete groundwater chemistry results and QA/QC data can be found in Appendix E. Table 9 summarizes important parameters from the groundwater chemistry results that can be used to identify potential leachate contamination.

Sample Location	Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Ammonia (mg/L)	Sulphate (mg/L)	Dissolved Organic Carbon (mg/L)
BN-MW12-01	1900	<0.10	0.692	866	1.68
BN-MW12-03	1170	11.4	0.116	594	14.7
Surface Water	520	1.52	0.0152	164	6.96

Table 9: Important Groundwater Chemistry Results

Total Dissolved Solids

Total dissolved solids (TDS) is a measurement of the total amount of dissolved organic and inorganic material contained within a liquid. Elevated TDS can indicate the presence of groundwater contamination caused by, for example, landfill leachate. Typically, major ions that can comprise TDS include: NO₃, NH₃, Na, K, Mg, Ca, SO₄, Cl, and HCO₃.

Values of TDS in the monitoring well samples ranged from 1,170 mg/L to 1,900 mg/L across the Site. TDS content of the surface water sample was lower (520 mg/L) than concentrations in all of the monitoring well samples. Surface water typically has lower TDS concentrations compared to groundwater because of lower residence times and dilution from direct recharge of rainwater or runoff.

Dissolved Organic Carbon

Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations can be elevated by the presence of leachate originating from decomposed organic matter. Levels associated with landfill leachate can be in the hundreds or thousands of mg/L.





Dissolved organic carbon concentrations at all wells at the Braeburn Site were relatively low, and within values expected for natural background groundwater chemistry. DOC levels were highest in BN-MW12-03 (14.7 mg/L), and lowest in BN-MW12-01 (1.68 mg/L). The slight elevation of DOC in BN-MW12-03 may indicate the presence of minor leachate at this well, but it is also reasonable that this could be the result of natural variation in groundwater chemistry.

Chloride

Chloride is often used as a tracer for anthropogenic influence on groundwater. Elevated chloride levels are associated with a number of sources including sewage, leachate, and road salting. In the case of landfills, elevated chloride might be expected due to degradation of waste with high chloride concentrations. Chloride levels from the monitoring well network ranged from non-detect in BN-BH12-01 to 11.4 mg/L in BN-BH12-03, which is considered reasonable for natural variation in groundwater chemistry.

Ammonia

Ammonia is a typical landfill leachate indicator. Low levels of ammonia were detected in both of the monitoring wells sampled and the surface water sample. Values ranged from 0.0152 mg/L in the surface water sample to 0.692 in BN-MW12-01, which is considered reasonable for natural variation in groundwater chemistry. Levels were well below the limit for ammonia defined by the CSR standard for freshwater aquatic life.

Metals

Concentrations for iron (0.965 mg/L), magnesium (112 mg/L), manganese (0.0527 mg/L) and sodium (202 mg/L) all exceeded the Yukon CSR standards for drinking water in BN-MW12-01. The concentration of manganese (1.84 mg/L) exceeded the Yukon CSR standard for drinking water in BN-MW12-03. No metals concentrations exceeded CSR standards for freshwater aquatic life, and all were within what would be considered a reasonable range for naturally occurring groundwater. In general, metals concentrations were higher in BN-MW12-01.

Organics

Naphthalene and phenanthrene were present in low levels (0.000056 mg/L and 0.000103 mg/L, respectively, in BN-MW12-03). Both of these values are below the Yukon CSR standard for freshwater aquatic life. All other organics were non-detectable at all other sampling locations.

Sulphate

Sulphate concentrations in both BN-MW12-01 (996 mg/L) and BN-MW12-03 (594 mg/L) exceeded the Yukon CSR standard for drinking water of 500 mg/L. BN-MW12-01 is screened in a fractured bedrock aquifer at approximately 63 m bg, and is up-gradient of the facility. This suggests that elevated sulphate in both wells may be representative of background levels within the bedrock aquifer, and not due to landfill leachate.



5.2 Interpretation of Groundwater Chemistry

Four factors that may affect natural groundwater chemistry include:

- The source and chemical composition of recharge water;
- The lithological and hydrological properties of the geologic unit;
- The various chemical processes occurring within the geologic unit; and
- The amount of time the water has remained in contact with the geologic unit (residence time).

These factors may affect the type and quantities of dissolved constituents in groundwater. The ionic composition of water can be used to classify the water into ionic types based on the dominant cation and anion, expressed in milliequivalents per litre (meq/L). These can be compared for different water samples using various types of plots.

The ionic compositions of samples from the Site were compared to discern different water types by plotting the meq/L concentrations of the samples on three types of diagrams: a Schoeller plot (Figure 7), a Piper diagram (Figure 8), and a Stiff diagram (Figure 9).

- Schoeller: The Schoeller semi-logarithmic diagram (Figure 7) shows total concentrations of select cations and anions, and may be used to identify different water types. The Schoeller plot indicates that the groundwater chemistry from BN-MW12-03 and the surface water sample are similar, while the chemistry from BN-MW12-01 is slightly different, and most notably it is absent of chloride. The similarity in chemistry between BN-MW12-03 and the surface water sample provides evidence supporting the transport mechanisms (4.7) and shallow groundwater flow dynamics (4.4.2) described previously. The data also supports the concept of longer residence times in the regional fractured bedrock aquifer.
- Piper: The Piper diagram (Figure 8) illustrates that the groundwater and surface water samples have distinct water chemistry. Groundwater from BN-MW12-01 is classified as Mg-Na-Ca-SO4-HCO₃ type groundwater, groundwater from BN-MW12-03 is classified as Ca-Mg-SO₄-HCO₃ type water, and the surface water sample is classified as Ca-Mg-HCO₃-SO₄ type water.
- Stiff: A visual inspection of the Stiff diagram indicates differences between the groundwater and surface water samples. The primary differences are that the surface water sample is dominated by calcium, magnesium, and bicarbonate, while the groundwater samples are dominated by sulphate.

The presence of elevated DOC and organics at downgradient well BN-MW12-03, along with its position screened at the contact between the surficial aquifer unit and bedrock, suggests that this well may be slightly influenced by low levels of contamination from landfill leachate. Although no contamination was evident in the surface water sample, the lack of sodium and calcium over magnesium suggests that it is more influenced by a surficial flow system than the bedrock aquifer.



6.0 CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions are made based on the results of the 2012 hydrogeological assessment:

- Stratigraphy and Hydrogeology:
 - Site stratigraphy was found to consist of approximately 17 m of glaciofluvial outwash deposits overlying bedrock of the lower Jurassic Nordenskiold formation, which is composed of dacite tuff.
 - A monitoring well network consisting of three wells was installed at the Braeburn Solid Waste Disposal Facility from June 7 to 16, 2012. Two of the three wells (BN-MW12-01 and BN-MW12-03) were developed and sampled on July 30 and 31, 2012. The third well (BN-MW12-02) could not be developed or sampled due to a slow hydraulic response in the well (easily bailed dry).
 - A fractured bedrock flow system was encountered at approximately 61.5 meters below grade (m bg), 29.1 m below the surficial/bedrock interface at BN-MW12-01. At BN-MW12-02, bedrock was encountered at 17.4 m bg and was completed to a depth of 49.7 m bg; groundwater was not encountered at the time of drilling. However, groundwater was present after well installation, and when the well was developed, it yielded a small amount of water, indicating it was installed in very low permeability bedrock. At BN-MW12-03, bedrock was encountered at 17.4 m bg. Well screen was installed in the borehole so that the screen intersected the unconsolidated sediment/bedrock interface. Although no water was observed during installation, sufficient water was present during development to develop and sample the well.
 - A single hydraulic response test was performed on well BN-MW12-01 on July 31, 2012. BN-MW12-01 is screened in a confined water-bearing unit approximately 30 m below the bedrock surface. The horizontal hydraulic conductivity of the fractured bedrock at this location was estimated to be approximately 6 x 10⁻⁶ m/s. Hydraulic conductivity could not be determined for the surficial aquifer, as overburden material at the Site is mostly unsaturated. The hydraulic gradient and groundwater flow direction underlying the Site could not be ascertained from the monitoring well network because of varying potentiometric surfaces and wells completed at different stratigraphic depths. Based on site topography, the local groundwater flow direction appears to be to the west, with a maximum hydraulic gradient of approximately 0.05 m/m.
- Groundwater Chemistry:
 - A water quality assessment was performed on water samples collected from BN-MW12-01 and BN-MW12-03, and a small creek located down gradient of the Site, during this first monitoring event. All samples showed acceptable levels of all chemical parameters as defined by the Yukon Contaminated Sites Regulation (CSR) criteria for freshwater aquatic life.
 - Iron (0.965 mg/L), magnesium (112 mg/L), manganese (0.0527 mg/L), sodium (202 mg/L), and sulphate (966 mg/L) exceeded the Yukon CSR for drinking water in BN-MW12-01. Manganese (1.84 mg/L) and sulphate (594 mg/L) exceeded the Yukon CSR for drinking water in BN-MW12-03.
 - Detectable levels of naphthalene and phenanthrene were found in BN-BH12-03, but the concentrations were below CSR standards and may to be associated with oily waste stored and/or disposed of at the site.
 - Results of groundwater sampling performed on the monitoring well network and the creek, down gradient of the Site, showed either low or non-detectable levels of chemical parameters that are typically associated with leachate contamination. This suggests that leachate influence on groundwater at the Site has not had a noticeable impact at the time of this monitoring event.





7.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations are made based on the results of the 2012 hydrogeological investigation:

- As required by the Facilities Solid Waste Disposal Permit, water levels and water samples should be collected from the groundwater monitoring wells at the Facility twice a year (spring and late summer).
- Groundwater quality at the Facility should be revaluated following an additional round of groundwater monitoring to see if there is any presence of potential impacts from landfill leachate.
- The efficacy of BN-MW12-02 for sampling groundwater should be reevaluated, and the well should be replaced if low flow conditions persist.

8.0 CLOSURE

We trust that this draft report is adequate for your current needs. Should you have any questions or require any additional information, please contact the undersigned at your convenience.

GOLDER ASSOCIATES LTD.

ORIGINAL SIGNED

ORIGINAL SIGNED

Calvin Beebe, M.Sc. Hydrogeologist Gary Hamilton, P.Geo. Principal, Hydrogeologist

Reviewed By:

ORIGINAL SIGNED

Guy Patrick, P.Eng. Principal, Hydrogeologist

CB\GJH\GCP\jcc

Golder, Golder Associates and the GA globe design are trademarks of Golder Associates Corporation.

o:\final\2011\1436\11-1436-0073\1114360073-502-r-rev0-1600\1114360073-502-r-rev0-1600 braeburn hydrogeo assessment 23feb_13.docx





\golder.gds\gal\Burnaby\CAD-GlS\Bur-Graphics\Projects\2011\1436\11-1436-0073\GlS\Mapping\MXD\Hydrogeology\Braeburn\Figure_01_Key_Plan.mxd



Golder

TITLE

PROJECT No. 11-1436-0073			PHASE No. 1600-1660
DESIGN	CB	20AUG12	SCALE AS SHOWN REV
CADD	TS	24AUG12	
CHECK			FIGURE 2
REVIEW			

SITE PLAN AND CROSS-SECTION LOCATION

PROJECYUKON GOVERNMENT-COMMUNITY SERVICES SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL FACILITY BRAEBURN, YUKON

BUSH





Μv

Ø

7250

a

		LANDFORM OR LANDSCAPE		
	NATURE OF MATERIAL AND ESTIMATED THICKNESS	ORIGIN	TOPOGRAPHY	COMMENT
Gp	gravel, sand, and silt; 5 to 20 m thick	o utwash plains	gently irregular or nearly flat terrain marked by shallow channel patterns or locally pitted surfaces	Extensive outwash occurs along the valleys of Yukon, Big Salmon, and Klusha rivers; some glaciolacustrine deposits may be included.
Gt	silt, sand, and gravel; 5 to 50 m thick	terraces underlain by glacio fuluvial, and/or glacio lacustrine deposits	nearly flat to irregular, pitted surfaces	Terraces occur within abandoned meltwater channels or at higher levels along former meltwater channels occupied by modern streams.
Gr	sand and gravel; 5 to 30 m thick	ice-contact glaciofuluvial deposits	strongly irregular, ridged, and kettled terrain with local relief of 30 m	Surfaces consist mainly of prominent esker-like anastomosing ridges.
Μv	till; silty sand matrix; bo uldery; generally less than 1m thick	ablation and lodgement till	bedrock terrain with discontinuous cover of till	Morainic veneer forms a discontinuous cover where it is typically associated with colluvium and exposed bedrock.
1				
32250 FGFND	0	325000		327500
FA				1 0 1
IVIA				SCALE 1:30,000 KILOMETRES
VV4	NERCOURSE		PROJE	TYUKON GOVERNMENT - COMMUNITY SERVICES SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL FACILITY BRAEBURN, YUKON
			ΠΤΕ	REGIONAL SURFICIAL GEOLOGY
EFERE	NCE			PROJECT No. 11-1436-0073 PHASE No. 1600
ASEDATA OBTAINED FROM GEOGRATIS (NATURAL RESOURCES CANADA). SURFICIAL GEOLOGY DATA OBTAINED FROM THE YUKON OVERNMENT, ENERGY, MINES AND RESOUCES. DATUM: NAD83 PROJECTION: ALBERS				Golder Gis DSC 21 Aug. 2012 Gis DSC 21 Aug. 2012 FIGURE: 3 FIGURE: 3







SOUTHWEST



Α'

LEGEND

TEST HOLE LOCATION SHOWING INFERRED STRATIGRAPHIC DATA. FOR DETAILED STRATIGRAPHY REFER TO RECORD OF TEST HOLE LOGS IN APPENDIX ?).



NOTES

TITLE

SPECIAL NOTE: DATA CONCERNING THE VARIOUS STRATA HAVE BEEN OBTAINED AT TEST HOLE LOCATIONS ONLY. THE SOIL STRATIGRAPHY BETWEEN TEST HOLES HAS BEEN INFERRED FROM GEOLOGICAL EVIDENCE AND MAY VARY FROM THAT SHOWN.





\lgolder.gds\gal\Burmaby\CAD-GIS\Bur-Graphics\Projects\2011\1436\11-1436-0073\GIS\Mapping\MXD\Hydrogeology\Marsh_Lake\Figure_05_Regional_Drainage_Land_Zoning.mxd







FILENAME|LAYOUT|MODIFIED|PLOTTED|OFFICE


FILENAME|LAYOUT|MODIFIED|PLOTTED|OFFICE



\golder gds\ga\Burnaby\CAD-GlS\Bur-Graphics\Projects\2011/1436\11-1436-0073\GlS\Mapping\MXD\Hydrogeology\Braeburn\Figure_09_Stiff_Diagram.mxd





Site Photographs







Photograph 1: A view from the access road at the North side of the Site looking Southeast at waste transfer receptacles and hills to the South and East of the site.



Photograph 2: Photo taken from the West corner of the Site near BN-BH12-02 looking at the Southwest (foreground) and Southeast (background) edges of the Site.







Photograph 3: A view from the South corner of the Site looking North at the entrance, waste transfer receptacles, and wildlife fence.



Photograph 4: Sign at the entrance to the Facility specifying that the Facility is currently serving as a waste transfer facility for household waste only.







Photograph 5: A view of the Waste Transfer Facility taken during the June 2012 monitoring well installation program.

o:\final\2011\1436\11-1436-0073\1114360073-502-r-rev0-1600\appendices\app a - site photos\site photographs.docx







Well Construction Logs



		FIELD B	OREHOLE	ELOC	3		2		Borehole No. BN - BH12-01
Project Na Location: Field Scree Boring Me Casing/Bo	me: $YO Kon Land f Braeborn Su ening Method: 10- thod: Air frehole Diameter: G^{S/S^{11}}$	ills G UTF Slot 2" Zotary 71/4"	PS Coordinates <u>sched -+C</u> Model: Weather:	PV0 M5- 13°	Drilter Buercas	<u>V1</u>	Projec Date: Depth Contra Comp	t No.: : . actor: leted by:	11-1436-0073 (1600) 7-6-12 Time: 08345 1977 to 7877 Midnight Son Drilling Calvin Beebe
DEPTH ELEV.	SOIL STRATIGRAPHY	WELL SKETCH	DEPTH SCALE Cond.	S Type	No. Reco	v PID	(ppm)		SAMPLE DESCRIPTION & BORING NOTES
SAMPLE C	GIV GRAVEL SOME GIV STATES PROJECTS STATES GIV STATES PROJECTS STATES O'=57.5' GW, GRAVEL SOME SEND, Some COBPLES & BOUL TO BED FOCK Fracture Fracture ONDITION DISTUMPED AND STATES		0					0'-4 (2bbl da:k 4'-5' 5'- 5'- 5'- 5'- 5'- 5'- 12'-1 5'- 12'-1 5'- 5'- 5'- 12'-1 5'- 12'-1 5'- 5'- 5'- 5'- 5'- 5'- 5'- 5'-	G.W. GRAVEL and Some Sand Arace silt brown, moist Boulder Boulder Boulder COBBLES and BOUDERS ID' COBBLES and BOUDES ID' COBBLES and BOUDERS ID' COBBLES and BOUDERS ID' COBBLES and BOUDERS ID' COBBLES and BOUDERS ID' COM INTERS ID' COBBLES AND AND AND AND AND AND AND GONE ID' COM INTERS ID' COM INT
	FAIR LC	DST	A.S Auger samp C.S Chunk sam S.S Split spoon	pie (odex)	C.C S D.P E	onic Direct Pus	sh	Est. Volume Depth of H ₂ Drum No.:	e of drill H ₂ D used:L(sonic) 0: Date:Time:
C:\Users\BrM	side casing 7	d Borehole Log.d	locm						Note: this log is double-sided.

		FIELD B	OREHOLE	ELOG	3		-	Borehole No. BN-BH12-02
Project Na Location: Field Scre Boring Me Casing/Bo	ame: $\frac{Y \cup k_{OD}}{B \cap a \cap b \cup b}$ eening Method: $\frac{10 - 5}{4 \cdot 1}$ ethod: $\frac{10}{6 \cdot 5}$	(115 G (154 Z 11 Rotary 7174" or (PS Coordinates School 40 Model: Weather:	PUC M5. Dortly	Oriltech cloudy 13	Proje Date: Depth Contr	nt No.: h: ractor: pleted by:	11-1436-0073 (1600) 15/6/12 Time: 09:30 162' to 152' Midnight Sun Drilling Calvin Becke
DEPTH ELEV.	SOIL STRATIGRAPHY	WELL SKETCH	DEPTH SCALE Cond.	S. Type	AMPLES No. Recov F	PID (ppm)		SAMPLE DESCRIPTION & BORING NOTES
Casing/Bo	SOIL STRATIGRAPHY SOIL STRATIGRAPHY GW (T:1) Bedrock	WELL SKETCH	DEPTH Cond. 0° - 1° - 2° - 3° - 2° - 3° - 2° - 3° - 2° - 3° - 2° - 3° - 10° - 50° - 60° - 10° - 10° - 50° - 60° - 10° - 10° - 10° - 10° - 10° - 10° - 10° - 10° - 10° - 10° - 10° - 10° - 10° - 10° - 10° - 10° - 10° - 150° - - - - - - - - - 10° - 10° - <td>Dartly S. Type</td> <td>Cloudy 134</td> <td>2_ Comt</td> <td>pleted by:</td> <td>Calvin Becke SAMPLE DESCRIPTION & BORING NOTES SOM_ Silly SAND. Some Drangesh brown and white, meint, (Tepseil). GILL. GRAVEL, Some . Some sand, trace silt, (T:11) -3' COBBLESE ROULDERS and GU -9' BOULDER -12' SW-GNW, more sand ' COBBLE -31' SAND Seam, Wet, SM 2' Bedrock</td>	Dartly S. Type	Cloudy 134	2_ Comt	pleted by:	Calvin Becke SAMPLE DESCRIPTION & BORING NOTES SOM_ Silly SAND. Some Drangesh brown and white, meint, (Tepseil). GILL. GRAVEL, Some . Some sand, trace silt, (T:11) -3' COBBLESE ROULDERS and GU -9' BOULDER -12' SW-GNW, more sand ' COBBLE -31' SAND Seam, Wet, SM 2' Bedrock
SAMPLE C			SAMPLE TYPES			970813	SPECIAL NOT	TES:
	DISTURBED GO FAIR LO	DOD DST	A.S Auger sampl C.S Chunk samp S.S Split spoon	le ble (odex)	C.C Sonic D.P Direct	Push	Est. Volume of Depth of H ₂ 0: Drum No.:	f drill H ₂ 0 used:L(sonic)
C:\Users\BrM	acdonald\Desktop\New Forms\Field	d Borehole Log.c	iocm		and the second		ada <u>a ser e e e e e e e e</u> e e e e e e e e e e	Note: this log is double-sided.

23		F	IELD B	OREHO	DLE LOG	i		Borehole No. BN-BH12-02	
	Project Na Location: Field Scree Boring Met Casing/Bo	me: $\frac{\sqrt{k_{D}} - L_{and}f_{i}}{B_{acburn} - s_{w}}$ ening Method: $\frac{(D-S)}{A_{v} - R}$ thod: $A_{v} - R$ rehole Diameter: $6^{\frac{5}{2}/3}$	ot 2 ot 2 otary 7 ^{341°} or	PS Coordir	nates: <u>vicuo</u> P I: <u>Ms</u> - ner: <u>Partly</u>	VC Drillech claudy 30	Project No Date: Depth: Contractor Completed	b:: 11-1436-0073 (1500) 15-6-12 Time: 09:30 162' to 152' Midnight Sun Drilling d by: Calvin Beebe	27
	DEPTH ELEV.	SOIL STRATIGRAPHY	WELL SKETCH	DEPTH SCALE	S/ Cond. Type I	AMPLES No. Recov PI	ID (ppm)	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION & BORING NOTES	
	SAMPLE C	GW - GRAVEL Some sund some boulders and cobbles. trace silt (Till) Bedrock DISTURBED FAIR GOC LOS	DD T	*10 *0 10 10 30 40 50 40 50 40 50 40 50 70 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190 100 100 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 </td <td>PES sample k sample (odex) spoon</td> <td>C.C Sonic D.P Direct P</td> <td></td> <td>CI SYM, SILLY SAND, SOME GREET, Ange DODA, topbeil white ash depsits S BUNKBLANN (OBBLES, track ravel S GNU CRAVEL SOME TO SULCES IN CRAVENERAL TO SULCES IN CRAVEL SOME S GNU CRAVEL SOME TO SULCES IN CRAVEL SOME TO SULCE SOME STARE TO SULCES IN CRAVEL SOME TO SULCES IN CRAVEL SOME TO SULCES IN CRAVEL SOME STARE TO SULCES IN CRAVEL SOME STARE TO SULCES IN CRAVEL SOME STARE TO SUCCE SCOME SCOME STARE TO SUCCE SCOME SCOME STARE TO SUCCE SCOME SCO</td> <td></td>	PES sample k sample (odex) spoon	C.C Sonic D.P Direct P		CI SYM, SILLY SAND, SOME GREET, Ange DODA, topbeil white ash depsits S BUNKBLANN (OBBLES, track ravel S GNU CRAVEL SOME TO SULCES IN CRAVENERAL TO SULCES IN CRAVEL SOME S GNU CRAVEL SOME TO SULCES IN CRAVEL SOME TO SULCE SOME STARE TO SULCES IN CRAVEL SOME TO SULCES IN CRAVEL SOME TO SULCES IN CRAVEL SOME STARE TO SULCES IN CRAVEL SOME STARE TO SULCES IN CRAVEL SOME STARE TO SUCCE SCOME SCOME STARE TO SUCCE SCOME SCOME STARE TO SUCCE SCOME SCO	

DEPTH ELEV. SOIL STRATIGRAPHY WELL DEPTH SAME SAME Loss	Project Na Location: Field Scree Boring Met Casing/Bo	me: <u>Yukon Lank</u> <u>Draeburn Su</u> ening Method: <u>10-Si</u> thod: <u>Air</u> rehole Diameter:	Rotary	OREHOLE LOG PS Coordinates: Schub :	C Drillech St 12°	Borehole No. $BN - BHIZ - 03$ Project No.:II-1436-0073 (1400)Date:I6/6/12Time: 09:30Depth:52toContractor:M: Lought Sup Dr. II. agCompleted by:Calutor Beebe
Sm = Gill AVEL Sime Sand (Sine) (a) $Gill AVEL Sime Sand (Sine) (a) Gill AVEL Sime Sand (Sine) (b) Gill AVEL Sime Sand (Sine) (c) Gill AVEL $	DEPTH ELEV.	SOIL STRATIGRAPHY	WELL SKETCH	DEPTH S SCALE Cond. Type	AMPLES	(ppm) SAMPLE DESCRIPTION & BORING NOTES
SAMPLE CONDITION SAMPLE TYPES DISTURBED SAMPLE TYPES	SAMPLE CC	GW-GRAVEL, Some sand Some (abbles, brown, mois 5'-6' boulder 7'-9' boulder Bedrock Bedrock	The second secon	O		O'-L' SM, sill, SANO, Some actual, Orangish Brown and obite Ary to maist (tessoil) I'-G' Cruy, Chrand, scine sand, Same robbles, brown moist S'-G' Eculdes E-71 Similar Gruy T-9 Boother Q'-57' Similar Gruy ST - 77' Bedrock - Casing Sturk at 57' Could not Cemove.
DISTURBED GOOD A.S Auger sample C.C Sonic Est. Volume of drill H ₂ 0 used:L(sonic)		DISTURBED GOO	OD ST	A.S Auger sample	C.C Sonic	Est. Volume of drill H ₂ 0 used:L(sonic)
LITIT PAIR LOST C.S Chunk sample (odex) D.P Direct Push Depth of H ₂ 0: Drum No.: Date: Time:			51	S.S Split spoon	D.P Direct Put	Sh Depth of H ₂ 0; Drum No.: Date:

Casing 65/8", Shoe 71'4", rodéhammer 6" OD.

Borehole No. BN-BH 12-03



of 6" steel casin in

Calder

Borehole No. BN-BHIZ-02



45' of casing in hole

Colder

Borehole No. BN-BHIZ-02



California California

Borehole No: BN-BH12-0(



Caldan



APPENDIX C

Well Development and Sampling Sheets





Development Purging/Sampling 1/2

II NO.: DI	1- M	212-0	10					Project N	o.:	11-143	6-00	73/1600
athor: 31	ZHEB	MRN	TILAN	Tomp	C SI	VIVI OF		Date:	30	-JUL-1	2	Time: 1600
atrier. <u>00</u>	NUCLEN	01		- Temp	erature.	_10	<u> </u>	Complete	ed by:	H RH) (NER	
IONITORIN me of Measur epth to produc epth to water f epth to Bottom iameter Stand	IG WEL ement: tt: Below To n of Well I pipe:	L INFOR	RMATIC Juct thickr g: of Casing	DN ness: A : B C	0.52 r (00 M r 5] r	netres netres mm	Tidally Influ One well v (B-A)*2.0 = (B-A)*1.1 = Sample int	uenced: olume: : : ake depth:	□ Yes	ENo litres - for a litres - for a metres	a 51 mm (2 a 38 mm (1	.0 inch) diameter we .5 inch) diameter we
QUIPMEN H and Temp. M onductivity Me issolved Oxyg ump:	I LIST Meter: eter: en Meter ne	Madel Model Model : Model Waterra	D Perista	MI a	1 9 (3 C) O Serial No Serial No Serial No sible	·		Calibration Calibration D.O. Che Bailer Ty	Buffers: Solution: emet Ampou pe:	144 1413	57 E 10
VELL DEVE	LOPM	ENT/PUI	RGING								CAUTO	
urge Volume:	Wel	I. Vol. X	3	=	300) litr	es					a fars
vg. Flow Rate			1996			L/r	min.	Start:	162	0	Finish:	
Time	Volume Remove (L)	d Temp. (°C)	. pl (Un	H its)	Cond. (uS/cm)	Redox (mV)	Diss. O ₂ (mg/L) or %	Trank.	Water Level (m)	1	Rem	arks
1625	15	6.0) 7.0	8	5					VER	4 SIL	5-7
1632	30	3.0	3.	03	3					LLE	AR	
16.38	45	2.6	7.	03	3					CLE	472	
16.42	60	2.5	7 7.	02	多	_						
16 49	75	2.7	7.	02	3		-		12.0	9		
16.55	90	2.7	6 7.	50	3		-					
1700	105	2.6	7.	02	3		-	-				
1706	120	2.7	7.	EO	3						_	
ITTL	135	1.6	t.	20	5			-	1.2.10			
1717	120	25	7		3				12.44	1		
1722	100	27	7.	00 -	7		-	-				
17 67	1100	16.6		0-1	L			-				
omments:												
Sheen:			If yes	Hydroc	arbon-like		P Motalli					
Turbidity:	Clear	LILL	IIIII	IIII			IIIIII	I Verv	Silty			
								1 Very	Only		_	
Analysis		Туре		40-1	100	050	Container Siz	e			Filtered	Preservatives
-		D Plastic	Glass	40 ML	100 ml	_ 250 m	500 mL	11	21	4L		10001/00100
		D Plastic	Glass				-					
		D Plastic	D Glass				1					
		The second s	C Glass									
	*	D Plastic	LJ GIGSS		and the second se		-				CS LINO	
	*	Plastic Plastic	Glass									
	*	Plastic Plastic Plastic										
	*	Plastic Plastic Plastic Plastic Plastic	Glass Glass Glass Glass Glass Glass				_				es 🗆 No	
	*	Plastic Plastic Plastic Plastic Plastic Plastic Plastic	Glass Glass Glass Glass Glass Glass Glass								es 🗆 No es 🗆 No es 💷 No	

C:\Users\BrMacdonald\Desktop\New Forms\GW Development and Purging Sampling Data Sheet.docm

A.

The real of the local division in which the	Sec. 4	1			· Carbin S
- Talla	114	6551	101623-		
The second	10000	102.2	-		and the second
	1000	122		-	
	Z 16.			-	
No. of Concession, Name	1000		ingene-	-	

DevelopmentPurging/Sampling

2/2

tion:							Date:				Т	ime:
her:			Tempe	erature:			Complete	ed by:	1.101			
NITORING e of Measurem th to product: th to water Bela th to Bottom of meter Standpip	WELL IN ent: ow Top of C Well Below e:	FORMATI Product thick asing: Top of Casin	cness:A g: BC	m m	etres (etres (m s	Fidally Influe One well vo (B-A)*2.0 = (B-A)*1.1 = Sample inta	enced: lume: ke depth:	□ Yes 	□ No litres - litres - metres	for a 51 for a 38	mm (2.0 mm (1.5	inch) diameter we
UIPMENT L and Temp. Meter ductivity Meter solved Oxygen np:	LIST er: N : N Meter: N U Water	odel odel odel a D Peris	taltic 🗆	Submers	erial No. Serial Ño. Serial No. ible			Calibration I Calibration D.O. Che Bailer Ty	Buffers: Solutior emet An pe:	n: npoule]4 🗆	7 🗆 10
LL DEVEL	OPMENT	PURGING	;								_	
ge Volume: . Flow Rate:	Well. Vol.	x	=		litre	in. :	Start:			Fir	nish:	
Time R	Volume . ternoved (L)	(°C) (U	oH (i nits) (i	Cond. JS/cm)	Redox (mV)	Diss. O ₂ (mg/L) or %	Epocal (Vice)	Water Level (m)			Reman	s
7:32 (95 1	227.	0(3			-					
7 44 1	10	2 7.	00	3								
7:53 2	110 2	3 7	05	1				17.76	-			
7:58	265 1	7 7	00					-				
8:05 1	170 2	2 2	00 1									
18:11 7	185 2	3 6	5									
10:16 3	300 2	.3 6.	98 1					12 71				
19:20								1.0.01	SA	mpi	E (O	LECOED
nments: Odour: Sheen: Turbidity: C	I Yes II I Yes II Gear II	No Ifyes No Ifyes IIIIIIII	Hydroca IIIII	arbon-like IIIII	OR 11111	Metallic	-like □ Very	Silty			- 24 C - 24 C - 24 C	5 010 010 010 010
Analysis		Туре	40 mL	100 mL	250 mL	500 mL	111	21	41	Filte	red	Preservatives
	D Plast	C D Glass					1			D Yes	D No	
	D Plast	c 🛛 Glass								□ Yes	D No	0
	D Plast	c D Glass								□ Yes	D No	
	D Piast	c 🛛 Glass								□ Yes	D No	0
	D Plast	c 🛛 Giass								D Yes	D No	-
			1							□ Yes	D No	
	D Plast	C LI Glass	1									
	Plast Plast Plast	c 🗆 Glass								□ Yes	D No	

C:\Users\BrMacdonald\Desktop\New Forms\GW Development and Purging Sampling Data SheeLdocm

-



Development Purging/Sampling

tion: RD.	MWI	L-(<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			Cale C		Project No	0.: 11	-1430		0073	11600
her: DUS	RCA	5		Tempe	rature:	1506		Complete	d hv	A Pr	1 C		me. <u>11.00</u>
				-		14		ompiete		11 21	MAC	1-21	
e of Measurem th to product: th to water Bel th to Bottom of meter Standpip	WELL ent: ow Top o Well Bel e:	Pro	duct thickr g: of Casing	N 11:0 ness: A C	0 <u>7.77</u> m <u>0.66</u> m	netres (netres (netres (Fidally Influ- One well vo B-A)*2.0 = B-A)*1.1 = Sample inta	enced: lume: 2 > ike depth:	□ Yes	Itres - for metres	a 51 a 38	mm (2.0 mm (1.5	inch) diameter we inch) diameter we
	TZL		LA AN	110 1.0	La.t	991	300						
and Temp. Met	ar:	Mode	FIVIN	10 it	ML	Serial No			Calibration	Dufform	des .	4 mt	
ductivity Meter		Mode	1			Serial No.			Calibration	Solution:	6	1413	
solved Oxygen	Meter:	Mode		to ner land	5	Serial No.		[D.O. Che	emet Ampo	ule		
np: DNone	🗆 Wa	terra	D Perista	iltic 🗆	Submers	sible		1	Bailer Ty	pe: <u>H</u>	AN	0	
np Details:		BA.	LLEIL										
ge Volume:	Well. V	VT/PU	RGING	_ =	12	litre	s	Start					in an anala
	Volume		1		1		Dies O.	Start.	Minter		Fin	isn:	
Time R	emoved	Temp (°C)	b. pł (Uni	ts) (u	Cond. S/cm)	Redox (mV)	(mg/L)		Level	(interest		Remark	s
1.20	6	12.5	7.0	C L	1		01 70		(m)		_		
1200	17	15.1	7.1	06 1	1				49.20	2			
		-		1					1 tage				
No	M	LOR	£ 5	JARE	R	conc	0 3-	EF	ZENO	NOD.			
												-	
										-			
						-							
										-	-		interior
									-			-4	and the second
										1			
										-	-		
mments:										_			
Odour: E	Yes	D No	If ves						A STAR				
Sheen: D	Yes	□ No	If yes	Hydroca	rbon-like	D OR	Metallic	-like 🗆	1.000	and a second			
Turbidity: C	lear	IIII	IIIII		IIIII		IIIIII	Very !	Silty				
						(Container Size						
Analysis	-	Тур	e	40 mL	100 mL	250 mL	500 mL	1L	21	41	Filter	red -	Preservatives
	DF	Plastic	Glass								Yes	D No	
		Plastic	Glass								Yes	DNO	
		Plastic	Glass								Yes	D No	
		Plastic	Glass								Yes	D No	
	DF	Plastic	D Glass								Yes	D No	
		Plastic	Glass							0	Yes	O No	
		lastic	Giass								Yes		
	1000	and the second second	and the state of t						a second s				
		Plastic	D Glass								Yes	D No	

C:\Users\BrMacdonald\Desktop\New Forms\GW Development and Purging Sampling Data Sheet.docm

and and

GRO
PUF

Development Purging/Sampling

No.:	BN-	MUR	-03		1.0	Project 1	F	roject N	o.:	1-1436-0	073	11600
tion: 141	4AC	BNRF		-		1500		ate:	31-	JUL-12	Ti	me: 16.35
her:	VER	CAST		Tempe	erature:	12.0	(complete	ed by:	t BAOG	ER	
e of Measure th to produc th to water E th to Bottom meter Standy	G WE ement: t: Below T n of We pipe:	Pro Op of Casin Below Top	RMATIC 35 oduct thickr ng: o of Casing	DN A [<u>7.76</u> m <u>7.26</u> m m	T etres (etres (m S	Fidally Influe One well vo B-A)*2.0 = B-A)*1.1 = Sample inta	enced: ume: ke depth;	□ Yes 1	No tres - for a 5° tres - for a 30 netres	1 mm (2.0 3 mm (1.5	inch) diameter we inch) diameter we
UIPMENT and Temp. M iductivity Me solved Oxyge np:	Aeter: eter: en Meter ne IE	Mode Mode er: Mode TWaterra	HANN el el Perista		At fi s s Submers	300 Serial No. Serial No. Serial No. ible			Calibration B Calibration S D.O. Cher Bailer Typ	suffers: [solution: met Ampoule be:	34 0	7 🗆 10
ELL DEVE ge Volume:	ELOPI	MENT/PL ell. Vol. X	JRGING 3	=	13.2	litre	s	- 31			X 201	in the
. Flow Rate:	-					L/m	in. S	Start:	16.50) Fi	nish:	
Time	Volur Remo (L)	ved Tem (*C	p. pl) (Un	H its) (I	Cond. uS/cm)	Redox (mV)	Diss. O ₂ (mg/L) or %	12000	Water Level (m)		Remark	KS
16:50	1	7.	Z 7.1	06	6							
16.52	5	Ч.	37.	50	5				19.28			
17-41	10	3.	6 7.0	13 1	26							
19.16	15	11.	2 7.	06	6				19.48	SAMPL	E CO	LECTED
mments:												
Odour:	□ Ye	s 🗆 No	If yes									
Sheen: Turbidity:	Clear	s □No IIII	If yes	Hydroca IIIII	IIII	OR IIIIII	Metallic	like 🗆 Very	Silty			has we
						C	Container Size					
Analysis		Ty	pe	40 mL	100 mL	250 mL	500 mL	1L	2L	4L Filt	ered	Preservatives
_		D Plastic	D Glass			-		-		D Yes	D No	
		D Plastic	Glass			-				D Yes	D No	
		D Plastic	Glass							D Yes	D No	
		D Plastic	Glass							D Yes	D No	
		and the second s	Glass							D Yes	D No	
		Plastic Plastic			-					□ Yes	D No	
		Plastic Plastic Plastic Plastic								Yes Yes		

C:\Users\BrMacdonald\Desktop\New Forms\GW Development and Purging Sampling Data Sheet.docm

and and

Surface Water Sampling Data Sheet

Field CharacterizationSampling

	BN - 5.	ARFAC	E			Project	t No.	11-1	436-	F00-	3/16	00			
ation:	BRAEBUR	~ LANDE	- zu c	REEK		Compl	eted By	: A	BAT	GER					
ther:	OUFILEN	155				Date:		31-	JUL	-12					
perature:	15°C					Time:	Time: 16:00								
						Review	ved By:								
QUIPMEN	IT LIST	HANN	JA H	11	9913	00									
and Temp. N	Meter: Mo	del		S	erial No.			Calibratio	n Buffer	s: D	34 0	7 🗆 10			
nductivity Me	eter: Mo	del		S	erial No.			Calibratio	n Solutio	on:					
solved Oxyg	en Meter: Mo	del		S	erial No.			D.O. C	hemet A	mpoule					
mp: 🗹 No mple Depth:	ne 🗆 Waterra	D Perist	altic 🗆	Submersi	ble	E	Bailer:	□ None	□ Sta	ainless S	teel 🗆	Teflon DPVC			
URFACE	WATER SA	MPLING	1												
Time	Volume	Temp.	pH (Upita)	Cond.	Red	ox (r	Diss. O2	,		R	emarks				
14 1	Hemoved (L)	(-0)	(Units)	(us/cm	(mv	/) (r	ng/L) or %	0							
10.05			7.06						-						
				-				-							
										_					
mments: Odour: Sheen: Turbidity: Other:	□Yes □N □Yes □N Clear ፲፲	o Ifyes o Ifyes	111111	11111	11111	11111	Very S	Silty				3			
mments: Odour: Sheen: Turbidity: Other:	□Yes □N □Yes □N Clear ፲፲	o If yes o If yes	111111	11111	1	I I	Very S	Silty		Eiht	here	Procenyatives			
mments: Odour: Sheen: Turbidity: Other: Analysis	□Yes □N □Yes □N Clear □ □	o If yes o If yes IIIIII	1]]]]]] 40 mL	I I I I I 100 mL	1 1 1 1 Con 250 mL	IIIII Itainer Size 500 mL	Very S	Silty	4 L	Filte	ered	Preservatives			
mments: Odour: Sheen: Turbidity: Other: Analysis	□ Yes □ N □ Yes □ N Clear Ì I I s □ Plastic	o If yes o If yes IIIIII Type	1]]]]]]] 40 mL	I I I I I 100 mL	1 1 1 1 Con 250 mL	IIIII Itainer Size 500 mL	Very S	Silty	4 L	Filte	ered	Preservatives			
mments: Odour: Sheen: Turbidity: Other: Analysis	□ Yes □ N □ Yes □ N Clear]] s □ Plastic □ Plastic	o If yes o If yes IIIIII ype Glass	1]]]]]]] 40 mL	I I I I I 100 mL	1]]] Con 250 mL	IIIII Itainer Size 500 mL	Very S	Silty 2L	4 L	Filte	ered No No	Preservatives			
mments: Odour: Sheen: Turbidity: Other: Analysis	□ Yes □ N □ Yes □ N Clear]]] s □ Plastic □ Plastic □ Plastic	o If yes o If yes IIIIIII fype Glass Glass	1]]]]]]] 40 mL	I I I I I 100 mL	1	IIIII Itainer Size 500 mL	Very S	Silty	4L	Filte	ered No No	Preservatives			
mments: Odour: Sheen: Turbidity: Other: Analysis	Yes N Yes N Yes N Clear I Plastic Plastic Plastic Plastic Plastic Plastic	o If yes o If yes I I I I I I Fype Glass Glass Glass Glass	1 I I I I I 40 mL	I I I I I 100 mL	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	I I I I I I Itainer Size 500 mL	Very S	Silty	4L	Filte	ered No No No No	Preservatives			
mments: Odour: Sheen: Turbidity: Other: Analysis	□ Yes □ N □ Yes □ N Clear □ □ □ □ Plastic □ Plastic □ Plastic □ Plastic □ Plastic □ Plastic	o If yes o If yes I I I I I I Fype Glass Glass Glass Glass Glass	40 mL	I I I I I 100 mL	1	I I I I I I Itainer Size 500 mL	Very S	Silty	4 L	Filte Filte Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	ered No No No No No No	Preservatives			
mments: Odour: Sheen: Turbidity: Other: Analysis	Yes N Yes N Yes N Clear I I Plastic Plas	o If yes o If yes I I I I I I Type Gass Gass Gass Gass Gass Gass	40 mL	I I I I I 100 mL	1 1 1 1 Con 250 mL	IIIII Itainer Size 500 mL	Very S	Silty	4 L	Filte Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	ered No No No No No No No	Preservatives			
omments: Odour: Sheen: Turbidity: Other: Analysis	Ves N Ves N Ves N Clear III Plastic	o If yes o If yes I I I I I I Sype Glass Glass Glass Glass Glass Glass Glass	40 mL	I I I I I 100 mL	250 mL	I I I I I I Itainer Size 500 mL	Very S	Silty	4L	Filte Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Ye	ered No No No No No No No No	Preservatives			



APPENDIX D

Slug Test Data





ata	le-well Re Sheet	esponse	Test			Rising Head Falling Head	•
	Well No.: Location: Project No.: Completed By:	BN-mw	2-01		-		
	Time:	14:20			-		
ONITO	RING WELL INF	ORMATION					
	Depth to water	below top of cas	sing:	10.47	meters	•	
	Depth to botton	m of well below to	op of casing:		meters		
	Distance from	top of pipe to gro	ound surface:		meters		
	Well casing dia	ameter:			meters	(1 inch = 0.025 meters)	
	Borehold diam	eter:			meters		
	Screen length:				meters	(1 foot = 0.3048 meters)	
	Screened unit:		•		(eg: sand,	silt, clay)	
QUIPM	IENT LIST						
	Slug				Bailer		
	Mass:		kilograms	-	Water co	olumn height:	neters
	Length:	1.5	meters		Inside di	ameter:	neters
	Diameter:		meters	and/c	r Volume	of water removed:	tres
	Pressure trans	sducer serial #					
	Sampling Inter	rval:			- seconds	or minutes (circle one)	
INGLE	-WELL RESPON	SE TEST	Finish time:				
	Time	Elapsed Time	Water Level (m)		Co	omments	
	14:25			TXm	200	BRC	
	14:30	Ø	.(0.23	SCUG I	μ		
	13:48	79	10.47	slug ou	17		
					-		



APPENDIX E

Analytical Reports and Chain of Custody Forms



Table E-1Results of Water Analyses - MetalsYTG Landfill Monitoring, Braeburn, Yukon

SCN				L1187882-1	L1187882-2	L1187882-3
Location	Drinking Water	Aquatic Life		BN-SURFACE	BN-MW12-01	BN-MW12-03
QA/QC	CSR-DW	CSR-AW				
Date		(freshwater)		31-JUL-12	30-JUL-12	31-JUL-12
			Notes			
Parameters						
nH (field)				7.02	6.98	7.06
Temperature °C				13.10	15 10	11.06
Conductivity (uS/cm)				15.10	15.10	11.00
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)				-	-	-
Dissolved Oxygen (ing/L)				-	-	-
Laboratory Parameters						
nH (laboratory)				8.02	7.40	7 41
Hardness (as CoCO2)				333	838	660
total dissolved solids				520	1900	1170
total dissolved solids				320	1900	1170
Aggregate Organics						
COD				~20	~20	01
disselved organic carbon				6.96	1.68	14.7
dissolved organic carbon				0.90	1.00	14.7
Bacteriological						
Coliform Bacteria - Fecal				~?	-7	8
Comorni Dacteria - i cedi				~2	~2	0
Dissolved Metals						
aluminum	0.2			<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
antimony	0.006	0.2		<0.00050	0.00070	0.00329
	0.000	0.05		0.00066	0.00047	0.00066
harium	0.025	10		0.035	<0.020	0.048
barium hamilium	1	10		<0.0050	<0.020	<0.040
		0.053		<0.0030	<0.0050	<0.0050
bismuth	-			<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
boron	5	0.0001 0.0006		<0.10	<0.00020	<0.10
	0.005	0.0001 - 0.0006	Н	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020
calcium			1	00.9	151	147
chromium	0.05	0.010 ¹¹ , 0.090 ^m	V	<0.0020	<0.0020	<0.0020
cobalt		0.009		<0.010	<0.010	0.010
copper	1	0.020 - 0.090	Н	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010
iron	0.3		ì	0.061	0.965	<0.030
lead	0.01	0.040 - 0.160	Н	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050
lithium				<0.010	0.191	0.012
magnesium	100			28.2	112	71.5
manganese	0.05		ì	0.0253	0.0527	1.84
mercury	0.001	0.001		<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020
molybdenum	0.250	10		<0.030	<0.030	<0.030
nickel		0.250 - 1.5	Н	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
phosphorus				<0.30	<0.30	<0.30
potassium				1.64	9.68	6.84
selenium	0.01	0.01		<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010
silicon			1	4.64	6.63	4.70
silver		0.0005 - 0.015	Н	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
sodium	200			11.1	202	34.6
strontium			1	1.03	10.7	3.18
thallium		0.003		<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
tin			I	<0.030	<0.030	<0.030
titanium		1		<0.010	<0.010	0.010
uranium	0.1	3		0.00068	0.00028	0.00319
vanadium	_		l	< 0.030	<0.030	< 0.030
zinc	5	0.075 - 2.4	Н	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
Other Inorganics						
bicarbonate (CaCO3)				228	392	237
carbonate (CaCO3)				<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
nyaroxide (CaCO3)				<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
iorar arkannity (CaCO3)		1 21 10 5		220	392	231
		1.31 - 18.5	рн	0.0152	0.092	0.110
promide (Iree)	250			1 52	~10	11 /
cinoriae fluceriae	250		77	0.040		-0.00
	1.5	2 - 3	н	0.042	0.00	<0.20
nitrate (as N)	10	400	~;	<0.0010	<0.10	<0.050
nitrite (as N)	3.2	0.2 - 2	i Cl	<0.0010	<0.020	<0.010

intrite (us 10)	012	0.2 2	01			
total Kjeldahl nitrogen				0.301	0.732	0.94
sulphate	500	1000		164	966	594

Notes:

All concentrations in milligrams per litre (mg/L), unless otherwise noted.

Standards from the Yukon Contaminated Sites Regulation (CSR), from the Environment Act (O.I.C. 2002/171) its associated Schedules.

Land Use abbreviations: AW (Aquatic Life) and DW (Drinking Water).

H = standard is Hardness dependent

CL = standard is chloride dependent

pH = standard is pH dependent

V= Standard is valence dependent VI refers to chromium VI and III refers to chromium III

T = standard varies with temperature

MCS = Most Conservative Standard

FDA = field duplicate available

FD = field duplicate

QA/QC = quality assurance/quality control

SCN = sample control number

Italics indicates standard is below detection limit.

Bold= Exceeds CSR Drinking water (DW) standard.

COC = Chain of Custody

Table E-2Results of Water Analyses - HydrocarbonsYTG Landfill Monitoring, Braeburn, Yukon

SCN				L1187882-1	L1187882-2	L1187882-3
Location	Drinking Water	Aquatic Life		BN-SURFACE	BN-MW12-01	BN-MW12-03
QA/QC	CSR-DW	CSR-AW				
Date		(freshwater)		31-JUL-12	30-JUL-12	31-JUL-12
		No	otes			
Monoaromatic Hydrocarbons						
benzene	0.005	4		<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050
ethylbenzene	0.0024	2		<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050
styrene		0.72		<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050
toluene	0.024	0.390		<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050
ortho-xylene				<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050
meta- & para-xylene				<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050
total xylene	0.3			<0.00075	<0.00075	<0.00075
VHw ₆₋₁₀	15	15		<0.10	<0.10	<0.10
VPHw		1.5		<0.10	<0.10	<0.10
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
acenaphthene				<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050
acenaphthylene				<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050
acridine		0.0005		<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050
anthracene		0.001		<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050
benzo(a)anthracene		0.001		<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050
benzo(a)pyrene	0.00001	0.0001		<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010
benzo(b)fluoranthene				<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050
benzo(g,h,i)perylene				<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050
benzo(k)fluoranthene				<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050
chrysene				<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050
dibenzo(a,h)anthracene				<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050
fluoranthene		0.002		<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050
fluorene		0.12		<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050
indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene				<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050
naphthalene		0.01		<0.000050	<0.000050	0.000056
phenanthrene		0.003		<0.000050	<0.000050	0.000103
pyrene		0.0002		<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050
quinoline		0.034		<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050
Other Hydrocarbons						
EPHw ₁₀₋₁₉		5		<0.25	<0.25	<0.25
EPHw ₁₉₋₃₂				<0.25	<0.25	<0.25
LEPHw		0.5		<0.25	<0.25	<0.25
HEPHw				<0.25	<0.25	<0.25
Miscellaneous Organics						
methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE)				<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050

Notes:

All concentrations in milligrams per litre (mg/L), unless otherwise noted.

Standards from the Yukon Contaminated Sites Regulation (CSR), from the Environment Act (O.I.C. 2002/171) its associated Schedules.

Land Use abbreviations: DW (Drinking Water) and AW (Aquatic Life).

FDA = field duplicate available

FD = field duplicate

QA/QC = quality assurance/quality control

SCN = sample control number

COC = Chain of Custody

 $EPHw_{10-19} = extractable petroleum hydrocarbons, carbon range 10-19$

LEPHw = light extractable petroleum hydrocarbons

Where water use for the protection of aquatic life applies, the standards for EPHw₁₀₋₁₉ is equivalent to LEPHw, when no LEPHw analysis is undertaken.

VPHw = volatile petroleum hydrocarbons

 $VHw_{6-10} =$ volatile hydrocarbons, carbon range 6-10

Where water use for the protection of aquatic life applies, the standards for VHw6-10 equivalent to VPHw, when no VPHw analysis is undertaken.

PAH = polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon

Italics indicates standard is below detection limit.



GOLDER ASSOCIATES LTD. ATTN: Andrea Badger 201B 170 Titanium Way Whitehorse YT Y1A 0G1 Date Received:01-AUG-12Report Date:14-AUG-12 15:47 (MT)Version:FINAL

Client Phone: 867-334-7423

Certificate of Analysis

Lab Work Order #: L1187882

Project P.O. #: Job Reference: C of C Numbers: Legal Site Desc: NOT SUBMITTED 11-1436-0073/1600

1

amber Springer

Amber Springer Account Manager

[This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written authority of the Laboratory.]

ADDRESS: 8081 Lougheed Hwy, Suite 100, Burnaby, BC V5A 1W9 Canada | Phone: +1 604 253 4188 | Fax: +1 604 253 6700 ALS CANADA LTD Part of the ALS Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

L1187882 CONTD.... PAGE 2 of 7 14-AUG-12 15:47 (MT) Version: FINAL

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1187882-1 SURFACE WATE 31-JUL-12 18:00 BN-SURFACE	L1187882-2 GROUND WATE 30-JUL-12 18:30 BN-MW12-01	L1187882-3 GROUND WATE 31-JUL-12 19:20 BN-MW12-03	
Grouping	Analyte				
WATER					
Physical Tests	Hardness (as CaCO3) (mg/L)	333	838	660	
	рН (рН)	8.02	7.40	7.41	
	Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	520	1900	1170	
Anions and Nutrients	Alkalinity, Bicarbonate (as CaCO3) (mg/L)	228	392	237	
	Alkalinity, Carbonate (as CaCO3) (mg/L)	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	
	Alkalinity, Hydroxide (as CaCO3) (mg/L)	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	
	Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3) (mg/L)	228	392	237	
	Ammonia, Total (as N) (mg/L)	0.0152	0.692	0.116	
	Chloride (Cl) (mg/L)	1.52	<10	11.4	
	Fluoride (F) (mg/L)	0.042	0.60	<0.20	
	Nitrate (as N) (mg/L)	<0.0050	<0.10	<0.050	
	Nitrite (as N) (mg/L)	<0.0010	<0.020	<0.010	
	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)	0.301	0.732	0.94	
	Sulfate (SO4) (mg/L)	164	966	594	
Organic / Inorganic Carbon	Dissolved Organic Carbon (mg/L)	6.96	1.68	14.7	
Dissolved Metals	Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD	FIELD	FIELD	
	Aluminum (Al)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	
	Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.00050	0.00070	0.00329	
	Arsenic (As)-Dissolved (mg/L)	0.00066	0.00047	0.00066	
	Barium (Ba)-Dissolved (mg/L)	0.035	<0.020	0.048	
	Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	
	Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	
	Boron (B)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.10	0.44	<0.10	
	Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	
	Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved (mg/L)	86.9	151	147	
	Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.0020	<0.0020	<0.0020	
	Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.010	<0.010	0.010	
	Copper (Cu)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	
	Iron (Fe)-Dissolved (mg/L)	0.061	0.965	<0.030	
	Lead (Pb)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	
	Lithium (Li)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.010	0.191	0.012	
	Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved (mg/L)	28.2	112	71.5	
	Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved (mg/L)	0.0253	0.0527	1.84	
	Mercury (Hg)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	
	Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.030	<0.030	<0.030	
	Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	

* Please refer to the Reference Information section for an explanation of any qualifiers detected.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

L1187882 CONTD.... PAGE 3 of 7 14-AUG-12 15:47 (MT) Version: FINAL

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1187882-1 SURFACE WATE 31-JUL-12 18:00 BN-SURFACE	L1187882-2 GROUND WATE 30-JUL-12 18:30 BN-MW12-01	L1187882-3 GROUND WATE 31-JUL-12 19:20 BN-MW12-03	
Grouping	Analyte				
WATER					
Dissolved Metals	Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.30	<0.30	<0.30	
	Potassium (K)-Dissolved (mg/L)	1.64	9.68	6.84	
	Selenium (Se)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	
	Silicon (Si)-Dissolved (mg/L)	4.64	6.63	4.70	
	Silver (Ag)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	
	Sodium (Na)-Dissolved (mg/L)	11.1	202	34.6	
	Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved (mg/L)	1.03	10.7	3.18	
	Thallium (TI)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	
	Tin (Sn)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.030	<0.030	<0.030	
	Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.010	<0.010	0.010	
	Uranium (U)-Dissolved (mg/L)	0.00068	0.00028	0.00319	
	Vanadium (V)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.030	<0.030	<0.030	
	Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	
Aggregate Organics	COD (mg/L)	<20	<20	91	
Volatile Organic Compounds	Benzene (mg/L)	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	
	Ethylbenzene (mg/L)	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	
	Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE) (mg/L)	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	
	Styrene (mg/L)	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	
	Toluene (mg/L)	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	
	ortho-Xylene (mg/L)	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	
	meta- & para-Xylene (mg/L)	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	
	Xylenes (mg/L)	<0.00075	<0.00075	<0.00075	
	Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (SS) (%)	85.9	84.4	83.6	
	Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene (SS) (%)	85.4	85.2	85.1	
Hydrocarbons	EPH10-19 (mg/L)	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	
	EPH19-32 (mg/L)	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	
	LEPH (mg/L)	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	
	HEPH (mg/L)	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	
	Volatile Hydrocarbons (VH6-10) (mg/L)	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	
	VPH (C6-C10) (mg/L)	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	
	Surrogate: 3,4-Dichlorotoluene (SS) (%)	97.2	83.8	92.8	
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Acenaphthene (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	
	Acenaphthylene (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	
	Acridine (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	
	Anthracene (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	

* Please refer to the Reference Information section for an explanation of any qualifiers detected.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

L1187882 CONTD.... PAGE 4 of 7 14-AUG-12 15:47 (MT) Version: FINAL

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1187882-1 SURFACE WATE 31-JUL-12 18:00 BN-SURFACE	L1187882-2 GROUND WATE 30-JUL-12 18:30 BN-MW12-01	L1187882-3 GROUND WATE 31-JUL-12 19:20 BN-MW12-03	
Grouping	Analyte				
WATER					
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Benz(a)anthracene (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	
	Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/L)	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	
	Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	
	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	
	Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	
	Chrysene (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	
	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	
	Fluoranthene (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	
	Fluorene (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	
	Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	
	Naphthalene (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	0.000056	
	Phenanthrene (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	0.000103	
	Pyrene (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	
	Quinoline (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	
	Surrogate: Acenaphthene d10 (%)	91.1	84.1	93.8	
	Surrogate: Acridine d9 (%)	93.9	86.3	98.8	
	Surrogate: Chrysene d12 (%)	79.4	73.4	81.8	
	Surrogate: Naphthalene d8 (%)	90.2	83.0	93.5	
	Surrogate: Phenanthrene d10 (%)	95.2	86.5	98.2	

* Please refer to the Reference Information section for an explanation of any qualifiers detected.

Reference Information

OC Samples with Qualifiers & Comments:

ao oumpies with				
QC Type Descri	ption	Parameter	Qualifier	Applies to Sample Number(s)
Matrix Spike		Sulfate (SO4)	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Matrix Spike		Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Matrix Spike		Boron (B)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Matrix Spike		Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Matrix Spike		Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Matrix Spike		Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Matrix Spike		Potassium (K)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Matrix Spike		Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Matrix Spike		Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Matrix Spike		Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Matrix Spike		Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Matrix Spike		Potassium (K)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Matrix Spike		Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Matrix Spike		Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Matrix Spike		Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Matrix Spike		Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Matrix Spike		Dissolved Organic Carbon	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Matrix Spike		Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Matrix Spike		Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1187882-1, -2, -3
Qualifiers for In	ndividual Parameters	s Listed:		
Qualifier	Description			
MS-B	Matrix Spike recover	y could not be accurately calculated du	e to high analyte	background in sample.
Fest Method Re	eferences:			
ALS Test Code	Matrix	Test Description		Method Reference**
ALK-SCR-VA	Water	Alkalinity by colour or titration		EPA 310.2 OR APHA 2320
This analysis is colourimetric me OR This analysis is pH 4.5 endpoint	carried out using prod ethod. carried out using prod	cedures adapted from EPA Method 310.	2 "Alkalinity". To 20 "Alkalinity". To red from phenolol	tal Alkalinity is determined using the methyl orange otal alkalinity is determined by potentiometric titration to a
ANIONS-CL-IC-V	VR Water	Chloride by Ion Chromatography		FPA 300.1
This analysis is 1.0, April 1999 a Dionex 2003.	carried out using proc and from "Determinati	cedures adapted from EPA Method 300. on of Inorganic Anions in Environmenta	.1, "Determination I Waters Using a	n of Inorganic Anions by Ion Chromatography", Revision Hydroxide-Selective Column", Application Note 154 v.19,
ANIONS-F-IC-WI	R Water	Fluoride by Ion Chromatography		EPA 300.1

ANIONS-F-IC-WR Water Fluoride by Ion Chromatography

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from EPA Method 300.1, "Determination of Inorganic Anions by Ion Chromatography", Revision 1.0, April 1999 and from "Determination of Inorganic Anions in Environmental Waters Using a Hydroxide-Selective Column", Application Note 154 v.19, Dionex 2003.

ANIONS-NO2-IC-WR

Water Nitrite Nitrogen by Ion Chromatography

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from EPA Method 300.1, "Determination of Inorganic Anions by Ion Chromatography", Revision 1.0, April 1999 and from "Determination of Inorganic Anions in Environmental Waters Using a Hydroxide-Selective Column", Application Note 154 v.19, Dionex 2003. Nitrate is detected by UV absorbance.

ANIONS-NO3-IC-WR Water Nitrate Nitrogen by Ion Chromatography

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from EPA Method 300.1, "Determination of Inorganic Anions by Ion Chromatography", Revision 1.0, April 1999 and from "Determination of Inorganic Anions in Environmental Waters Using a Hydroxide-Selective Column", Application Note 154 v.19, Dionex 2003. Nitrate is detected by UV absorbance.

ANIONS-SO4-IC-WR Water Sulphate by Ion Chromatography

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from EPA Method 300.1, "Determination of Inorganic Anions by Ion Chromatography", Revision	
1.0, April 1999 and from "Determination of Inorganic Anions in Environmental Waters Using a Hydroxide-Selective Column", Application Note 154 v.19	,
Dionex 2003.	

CARBONS-DOC-VA Water

Dissolved organic carbon by combustion

APHA 5310 TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON (TOC)

EPA 300.1

EPA 300.1

EPA 300.1

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 5310 "Total Organic Carbon (TOC)". Dissolved carbon (DOC) fractions are determined by filtering the sample through a 0.45 micron membrane filter prior to analysis.

Reference Information

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 5220 "Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)". Chemical oxygen demand is determined using the closed reflux colourimetric method.

EPH-SF-FID-VA

EPH in Water by GCFID Water

This analysis is carried out in accordance with the British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks (BCMELP) Analytical Method for Contaminated Sites "Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Water by GC/FID" (Version 2.1, July 1999). The procedure involves extraction of the entire water sample with dichloromethane. The extract is then solvent exchanged to toluene and analysed by capillary column gas chromatography with flame ionization detection (GC/FID). EPH results include Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) and are therefore not equivalent to Light and Heavy Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (LEPH/HEPH).

HARDNESS-CALC-VA Water Hardness

Hardness (also known as Total Hardness) is calculated from the sum of Calcium and Magnesium concentrations, expressed in CaCO3 equivalents. Dissolved Calcium and Magnesium concentrations are preferentially used for the hardness calculation.

HG-DIS-CVAES-VA Water Dissolved Mercury in Water by CVAFS

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" published by the American Public Health Association, and with procedures adapted from "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste" SW-846 published by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The procedures may involve preliminary sample treatment by filtration (EPA Method 3005A) and involves a cold-oxidation of the acidified sample using bromine monochloride prior to reduction of the sample with stannous chloride. Instrumental analysis is by cold vapour atomic fluorescence spectrophotometry (EPA Method 245.7).

LEPH/HEPH-CALC-VA Water LEPHs and HEPHs

Water

Light and Heavy Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons in water. These results are determined according to the British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Lands, and Parks Analytical Method for Contaminated Sites "Calculation of Light and Heavy Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Solids or Water". According to this method, LEPH and HEPH are calculated by subtracting selected Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon results from Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbon results. To calculate LEPH, the individual results for Acenaphthene, Acridine, Anthracene, Fluorene, Naphthalene and Phenanthrene are subtracted from EPH(C10-19). To calculate HEPH, the individual results for Benz(a)anthracene, Benzo(a)pyrene, Fluoranthene, and Pyrene are subtracted from EPH(C19-32). Analysis of Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons adheres to all prescribed elements of the BCMELP method "Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Water by GC/FID" (Version 2.1, July 20, 1999).

Dissolved Metals in Water by ICPOES MET-DIS-ICP-VA This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" published by the American Public Health Association, and with procedures adapted from "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste" SW-846 published by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The procedure involves filtration (EPA Method 3005A) and analysis by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrophotometry (EPA Method 6010B).

Water Dissolved Metals in Water by ICPMS(Low) EPA SW-846 3005A/6020A MET-DIS-LOW-MS-VA This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" published by the American Public Health Association, and with procedures adapted from "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste" SW-846 published by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The procedures involves preliminary sample treatment by filtration (EPA Method 3005A). Instrumental analysis is by inductively coupled plasma - mass spectrometry (EPA Method 6020A).

NH3-F-VA Water Ammonia in Water by Fluorescence

This analysis is carried out, on sulfuric acid preserved samples, using procedures modified from J. Environ. Monit., 2005, 7, 37 - 42, The Royal Society of Chemistry, "Flow-injection analysis with fluorescence detection for the determination of trace levels of ammonium in seawater", Roslyn J. Waston et aL

PAH-SF-MS-VA Water PAH in Water by GCMS

The entire water sample is extracted with dichloromethane, prior to analysis by gas chromatography with mass spectrometric detection (GC/MS). Because the two isomers cannot be readily chromatographically separated, benzo(j)fluoranthene is reported as part of the benzo(b)fluoranthene parameter.

PAH-SURR-MS-VA PAH Surrogates for Waters Water

Analysed as per the corresponding PAH test method. Known quantities of surrogate compounds are added prior to analysis to each sample to demonstrate analytical accuracy.

PH-MAN-VA Water pH by Manual Meter

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 4500-H "pH Value". The pH is determined in the laboratory using a pH electrode.

It is recommended that this analysis be conducted in the field.

PH-MAN-VA pH by Manual Meter Water

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 4500-H "pH Value". The pH is determined in the laboratory using a pH electrode.

It is recommended that this analysis be conducted in the field.

Water

Water Total Dissolved Solids by Gravimetric

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 2540 "Solids". Solids are determined gravimetrically. Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) are determined by filtering a sample through a glass fibre filter, TDS is determined by evaporating the filtrate to dryness at 180 degrees celsius.

TDS-VA

APHA 4500-NORG D.

APHA 2540 C - GRAVIMETRIC

EPA 3510, 8270

APHA 4500-H pH Value

APHA 4500-H "pH Value"

EPA 3510, 8270

EPA SW-846 3005A/6010B

BCMOE EPH GCFID

EPA SW-846 3005A & EPA 245.7

BC MOE LABORATORY MANUAL (2005)

J. ENVIRON. MONIT., 2005, 7, 37-42, RSC

APHA 2340B

Reference Information

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 4500-Norg D. "Block Digestion and Flow Injection Analysis". Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen is determined using block digestion followed by Flow-injection analysis with fluorescence detection.

VH-HSFID-VA	Water	VH in Water by Headspace GCFID	B.C. MIN. OF ENV. LAB. MAN. (2009)							
The water sample, with add Compounds eluting between	ed reagents, n n-hexane a	is heated in a sealed vial to equilibrium. The headspac nd n-decane are measured and summed together using	e from the vial is transfered into a gas chromatograph. g flame-ionization detection.							
VH-SURR-FID-VA	Water	VH Surrogates for Waters	B.C. MIN. OF ENV. LAB. MAN. (2009)							
VOC7-HSMS-VA	Water	BTEX/MTBE/Styrene by Headspace GCMS	EPA8260B, 5021							
The water sample, with add Target compound concentra	The water sample, with added reagents, is heated in a sealed vial to equilibrium. The headspace from the vial is transfered into a gas chromatograph. Target compound concentrations are measured using mass spectrometry detection.									
VOC7/VOC-SURR-MS-VA	Water	VOC7 and/or VOC Surrogates for Waters	EPA8260B, 5021							
VPH-CALC-VA	Water	VPH is VH minus select aromatics	BC MOE LABORATORY MANUAL (2005)							
These results are determine Volatile Petroleum Hydroca Ethylbenzene, Xylenes and hexane (nC6) and n-decane	ed according rbons in Solic , in solids, Sty e (nC10).	to the British Columbia Ministry of Environment Analytic Is or Water". The concentrations of specific Monocyclic yrene) are subtracted from the collective concentration	cal Method for Contaminated Sites "Calculation of Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Benzene, Toluene, of Volatile Hydrocarbons (VH) that elute between n-							
XYLENES-CALC-VA	Water	Sum of Xylene Isomer Concentrations	CALCULATION							
Calculation of Total Xylenes	6									
Total Xylenes is the sum of the concentrations of the ortho, meta, and para Xylene isomers. Results below detection limit (DL) are treated as zero. The DL for Total Xylenes is set to a value no less than the square root of the sum of the squares of the DLs of the individual Xylenes.										
* ALS test methods may incorporate modifications from specified reference methods to improve performance.										
The last two letters of the above test code(s) indicate the laboratory that performed analytical analysis for that test. Refer to the list below:										

Laboratory Definition Code	Laboratory Location
VA	ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - VANCOUVER, BC, CANADA
WR	ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - WHITEHORSE, YUKON, CANADA

Chain of Custody Numbers:

1

GLOSSARY OF REPORT TERMS

Surrogate - A compound that is similar in behaviour to target analyte(s), but that does not occur naturally in environmental samples. For

applicable tests, surrogates are added to samples prior to analysis as a check on recovery.

mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram based on dry weight of sample.

mg/kg wwt - milligrams per kilogram based on wet weight of sample.

mg/kg lwt - milligrams per kilogram based on lipid-adjusted weight of sample.

mg/L - milligrams per litre.

< - Less than.

D.L. - The reported Detection Limit, also known as the Limit of Reporting (LOR).

N/A - Result not available. Refer to qualifier code and definition for explanation.

Test results reported relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory.

UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED, ALL SAMPLES WERE RECEIVED IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION.

Analytical results in unsigned test reports with the DRAFT watermark are subject to change, pending final QC review.



Quality Control Report

		Workorder:	L1187882	2 F	Report Date:	14-AUG-12	Pa	ge 1 of 15
Client:	GOLDER ASSOCIATES L 201B 170 Titanium Way Whitehorse YT Y1A 0G1 Andrea Badger	TD.						
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
	Water							
ALK-SCK-VA	Walei							
WG1520956-2 Alkalinity, Tota	CRM al (as CaCO3)	VA-ALKL-CON	NTROL 101.7		%		85-115	03-AUG-12
WG1520956-5 Alkalinity, Tota	CRM al (as CaCO3)	VA-ALKM-CO	NTROL 102.5		%		85-115	03-AUG-12
WG1520956-9 Alkalinity, Tota	DUP al (as CaCO3)	L1187882-1 228	227		mg/L	0.6	20	03-AUG-12
WG1520956-1 Alkalinity, Tota	MB al (as CaCO3)		<2.0		mg/L		2	03-AUG-12
WG1520956-4 Alkalinity, Tota	MB al (as CaCO3)		<2.0		mg/L		2	03-AUG-12
WG1520956-7 Alkalinity, Tota	MB al (as CaCO3)		<2.0		mg/L		2	03-AUG-12
ANIONS-CL-IC-W	R Water							
Batch R	2411871							
WG1520928-3 Chloride (CI)	DUP	L1187882-1 1.52	1.52		mg/L	0.4	20	02-AUG-12
WG1520928-2 Chloride (CI)	LCS		99.0		%		85-115	02-AUG-12
WG1520928-1 Chloride (Cl)	MB		<0.50		mg/L		0.5	02-AUG-12
WG1520928-4 Chloride (Cl)	MS	L1187882-1	99.0		%		75-125	02-AUG-12
ANIONS-F-IC-WR	Water							
Batch R	2411871							
WG1520928-3 Fluoride (F)	DUP	L1187882-1 0.042	0.049		mg/L	16	20	02-AUG-12
Fluoride (F)	LCS		93.6		%		85-115	02-AUG-12
Fluoride (F)	MD		<0.020		mg/L		0.02	02-AUG-12
WG1520928-4 Fluoride (F)	MS	L1187882-1	89.1		%		75-125	02-AUG-12
ANIONS-NO2-IC-	WR Water							
Batch R	2411871							
WG1520928-3 Nitrite (as N)	DUP	L1187882-1 <0.0010	<0.0010	RPD-NA	∖ mg/L	N/A	20	02-AUG-12
WG1520928-2 Nitrite (as N)	LCS		106.1		%		85-115	02-AUG-12



Quality Control Report

		Workorder:	L118788	2 Re	port Date: 1	14-AUG-12	Pa	ge 2 of 15
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
ANIONS-NO2-IC-WR	Water							
Batch R24118	71							
WG1520928-1 MB Nitrite (as N)			<0.0010		mg/L		0.001	02-AUG-12
WG1520928-4 MS Nitrite (as N)		L1187882-1	97.9		%		75-125	02-AUG-12
ANIONS-NO3-IC-WR	Water							
Batch R24118	71							
WG1520928-3 DUI Nitrate (as N)	5	L1187882-1 <0.0050	<0.0050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	02-AUG-12
WG1520928-2 LCS Nitrate (as N)	3		100.6		%		85-115	02-AUG-12
WG1520928-1 MB Nitrate (as N)			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	02-AUG-12
WG1520928-4 MS Nitrate (as N)		L1187882-1	103.1		%		75-125	02-AUG-12
ANIONS-SO4-IC-WR	Water							
Batch R24118	71							
WG1520928-3 DUI Sulfate (SO4)	5	L1187882-1 164	164		mg/L	0.1	20	02-AUG-12
WG1520928-2 LCS Sulfate (SO4)	6		103.1		%		85-115	02-AUG-12
WG1520928-1 MB Sulfate (SO4)			<0.50		mg/L		0.5	02-AUG-12
WG1520928-4 MS Sulfate (SO4)		L1187882-1	N/A	MS-B	%		-	02-AUG-12
CARBONS-DOC-VA	Water							
Batch R24138	17							
WG1523471-2 CRI Dissolved Organic Ca	VI arbon	VA-DOC-C-C	AFFEINE 92.2		%		80-120	08-AUG-12
WG1523471-4 CRI Dissolved Organic Ca	VI arbon	VA-DOC-C-C	AFFEINE 93.5		%		80-120	08-AUG-12
WG1523471-6 CRI Dissolved Organic Ca	M arbon	VA-DOC-C-C	AFFEINE 96.3		%		80-120	08-AUG-12
WG1523471-1 MB Dissolved Organic Ca	arbon		<0.50		mg/L		0.5	08-AUG-12
WG1523471-3 MB Dissolved Organic Ca	arbon		<0.50		mg/L		0.5	08-AUG-12
WG1523471-5 MB Dissolved Organic Ca	arbon		<0.50		mg/L		0.5	08-AUG-12


		Workorder:	L118788	2	Report Date: 1	4-AUG-12	Pa	ge 3 of 15
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
CARBONS-DOC-VA	Water							
Batch R24138	17							
WG1523471-8 MS Dissolved Organic Ca	arbon	L1187882-3	N/A	MS-B	%		-	08-AUG-12
WG1523471-9 MS Dissolved Organic Ca	arbon	L1189257-5	109.8		%		70-130	08-AUG-12
COD-COL-VA	Water							
Batch R24138	25							
WG1523427-10 LCS COD	6		103.5		%		85-115	09-AUG-12
WG1523427-2 LCS COD	6		103.5		%		85-115	09-AUG-12
WG1523427-6 LCS COD	3		103.9		%		85-115	09-AUG-12
WG1523427-1 MB COD			<20		mg/L		20	09-AUG-12
WG1523427-5 MB COD			<20		mg/L		20	09-AUG-12
WG1523427-9 MB COD			<20		mg/L		20	09-AUG-12
WG1523427-4 MS COD		L1190063-2	103.9		%		75-125	09-AUG-12
WG1523427-8 MS COD		L1190065-14	104.8		%		75-125	09-AUG-12
EPH-SF-FID-VA	Water							
Batch R24100	47							
WG1520830-1 MB EPH10-19			<0.25		mg/L		0.25	05-AUG-12
EPH19-32			<0.25		mg/L		0.25	05-AUG-12
HG-DIS-CVAFS-VA	Water							
Batch R24110	28							
WG1520232-17 DUI Mercury (Hg)-Dissolv	P red	L1187882-1 <0.00020	<0.00005	0 RPD-N	IA mg/L	N/A	20	03-AUG-12
WG1520932-2 LCS Mercury (Hg)-Dissolv	S red		100.2		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
WG1520232-1 MB Mercury (Hg)-Dissolv	red		<0.00005	0	mg/L		0.00005	03-AUG-12
WG1520932-1 MB Mercury (Hg)-Dissolv	red		<0.00005	0	mg/L		0.00005	03-AUG-12
WG1520232-10 MS		L1188330-3						



Workorder: L1187882		32	Report Date: 1	Page 4 of 15				
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
HG-DIS-CVAFS-VA	Water							
Batch R241102	28							
WG1520232-10 MS		L1188330-3						
Mercury (Hg)-Dissolve	ed		80.0		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
WG1520232-18 MS Mercurv (Ha)-Dissolve	ed	L1187882-2	91.7		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
WG1520232-6 MS		l 1188408-3	• • • •				10 100	00710012
Mercury (Hg)-Dissolve	ed		91.9		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
MET-DIS-ICP-VA	Water							
Batch R241096	59							
WG1520232-2 CRM	Λ	VA-HIGH-WA	TRM		0/			
Beryllium (Be)-Dissol	/ed		95.8		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Bismuth (BI)-Dissolve	a		100.0		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Lobalt (Co)-Dissolved	1		95.4		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Lithium (Li) Dissolved			97.0		% 0/		80-120	03-AUG-12
Lithium (Li)-Dissolved			101.6		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dis	solved		97.1		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Nickei (NI)-Dissolved	al card		97.4		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Phosphorus (P)-Disso	Dived		100.3		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Silicon (SI)-Dissolved			103.9		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Sliver (Ag)-Dissolved			98.0		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Sodium (Na)-Dissoive	ed		97.7		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Strontium (Sr)-Dissol	/ed		95.2		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Thallium (TI)-Dissolve	d		98.3		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved			98.2		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
	ed		101.8		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Vanadium (V)-Dissolv	/ed		97.7		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
WG1520232-1 MB Beryllium (Be)-Dissolv	/ed		<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	03-AUG-12
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolve	d		<0.20		mg/L		0.2	03-AUG-12
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved	ł		<0.010		mg/L		0.01	03-AUG-12
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved			<0.030		mg/L		0.03	03-AUG-12
Lithium (Li)-Dissolved			<0.010		mg/L		0.01	03-AUG-12
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dis	ssolved		<0.030		mg/L		0.03	03-AUG-12
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved			<0.050		mg/L		0.05	03-AUG-12
Phosphorus (P)-Disso	blved		< 0.30		ma/L		0.3	03-AUG-12
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved			<0.050		mg/L		0.05	03-AUG-12
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved			<0.010		mg/L		0.01	03-AUG-12



		Workorder:	L118788	2 F	Report Date:	14-AUG-12	Pa	age 5 of 15
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-DIS-ICP-VA	Water							
Batch R24109	69							
WG1520232-1 MB	i							
Sodium (Na)-Dissolv	red		<2.0		mg/L		2	03-AUG-12
Strontium (Sr)-Disso	lved		<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	03-AUG-12
Thallium (TI)-Dissolv	red		<0.20		mg/L		0.2	03-AUG-12
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved			<0.030		mg/L		0.03	03-AUG-12
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolv	ved		<0.010		mg/L		0.01	03-AUG-12
Vanadium (V)-Dissol	lved		<0.030		mg/L		0.03	03-AUG-12
Batch R24137	21							
WG1520232-10 MS	5	L1188330-3			0/			
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved			91.1		%		70-130	08-AUG-12
Sodium (Na)-Dissolv	red		104.1		%		70-130	08-AUG-12
Titanium (TI)-Dissoiv	/ed		104.2		%		70-130	08-AUG-12
WG1520232-6 MS Iron (Fe)-Dissolved		L1188408-3	97 2		%		70-130	08-4110-12
Sodium (Na)-Dissolv	red		106.4		%		70-130	08-411G-12
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolv	ved		109.1		%		70-130	08-406-12
	40		100.1		,,,		10100	00-A00-12
Batch R24138	64Z D	1 1197992-1						
Beryllium (Be)-Dissol	lved	<0.0050	<0.0050	RPD-NA	a mg/L	N/A	20	09-AUG-12
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolve	ed	<0.20	<0.20	RPD-NA	∖ mg/L	N/A	20	09-AUG-12
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolve	ed	<0.010	<0.010	RPD-NA	a mg/L	N/A	20	09-AUG-12
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved		0.061	0.060		mg/L	0.6	20	09-AUG-12
Lithium (Li)-Dissolve	d	<0.010	<0.010	RPD-NA	∖ mg/L	N/A	20	09-AUG-12
Molybdenum (Mo)-Di	issolved	<0.030	<0.030	RPD-NA	a mg/L	N/A	20	09-AUG-12
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	1	<0.050	<0.050	RPD-NA	a mg/L	N/A	20	09-AUG-12
Phosphorus (P)-Diss	solved	<0.30	<0.30	RPD-NA	a mg/L	N/A	20	09-AUG-12
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	b	4.64	4.72		mg/L	1.8	20	09-AUG-12
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	ł	<0.010	<0.010	RPD-NA	a mg/L	N/A	20	09-AUG-12
Sodium (Na)-Dissolv	ved	11.1	11.2		mg/L	1.1	20	09-AUG-12
Strontium (Sr)-Disso	lved	1.03	1.04		mg/L	0.7	20	09-AUG-12
Thallium (TI)-Dissolv	red	<0.20	<0.20	RPD-NA	a mg/L	N/A	20	09-AUG-12
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved		<0.030	<0.030	RPD-NA	a mg/L	N/A	20	09-AUG-12
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolv	red	<0.010	<0.010	RPD-NA	∖ mg/L	N/A	20	09-AUG-12
Vanadium (V)-Dissol	lved	<0.030	<0.030	RPD-NA	∖ mg/L	N/A	20	09-AUG-12
WG1520232-18 MS Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	;	L1187882-2	91.5		%		70-130	09-AUG-12



Test Matrix Reference Result Qualifier Units RPD Limit Analyzed	
MET-DIS-ICP-VA Water	
Batch R2413842	
WG1520232-18 MS L1187882-2	
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved N/A MS-B % - 09-AUG-1	2
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved 102.1 % 70-130 09-AUG-1	2
Batch R2414246	
WG1520232-20 MS L1188753-4	-
Non (Ne)-Dissolved 32.9 70 70-130 09-AUG-1 Sodium (Na)-Dissolved N/A MS R % 00-AUG-1	<u> </u>
Solidini (Na)-Dissolved NA NS-D - 09-AUG-1 Titapium (Ti) Dissolved 104.7 9/ 70.420 00.410.4	<u> </u>
Tranulin (T)-Dissolved 101.7 % 70-150 09-AUG-1.	<u> </u>
Batch R2414709	
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved 97.8 % 70-130 09-AUG-1	>
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved 105.1 % 70-130 09-AUG-1	2
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved 104.6 % 70-130 09-AUG-1	2
Ratch P2/16611	
WG1520232-4 MS L1186483-4	
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved 101.9 % 70-130 13-AUG-1	2
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved 105.3 % 70-130 13-AUG-1	2
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved 110.2 % 70-130 13-AUG-1.	2
MET-DIS-LOW-MS-VA Water	
Batch R2411052	
WG1520232-1 MB	
Aldmindin (A)-Dissolved <0.0030 mg/L 0.003 03-AUG-1.	2
Anumony (Sb)-Dissolved <0.00010 mg/L 0.0001 03-AUG-1.	2
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved <0.00010 Hig/L 0.0001 03-AUG-1.	<u>′</u>
Baron (B) Dissolved c0.000050 mg/ 0.01 0.00005 03-AUG-1.	<u> </u>
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved <0.00050 mg/l 0.01 0.3-AUG-1.	<u> </u>
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved <	<u>-</u>
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved < 0.020 mg/L 0.02 03-AUG-1.	<u> </u>
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved <0.00050 mg/L 0.0005 03-AUG-1.	<u> </u>
Copper (Cd)-Dissolved C0.00050 mg/L 0.0005 03-AUG-1.	<u>-</u>
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved <0.0050 mg/l 0.005 03-AUG-1	<u>-</u> ว
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved <0.00050 mg/l 0.005 03-AUG-1.	<u>.</u> ว
Potassium (K)-Dissolved co.000000 IngrE 0.00005 03-AUG-1.	<u>.</u> ว
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved <0.000 mg/l 0.001 02.4UG.4	<u>.</u> ว
Uranium (U)-Dissolved <0.00010 mg/L 0.0001 03-AUG-1	-



		Workorder:	L1187882	2 1	Report Date: 7	14-AUG-12	Pa	age 7 of 15
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-DIS-LOW-MS-VA	Water							
Batch R2411	052							
WG1520232-1 M	В							
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved			<0.0030		mg/L		0.003	03-AUG-12
Batch R2411	906							
WG1520232-2 CF	RM	VA-HIGH-WA	TRM					
Aluminum (Al)-Diss	olved		99.0		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Antimony (Sb)-Diss	olved		102.0		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Arsenic (As)-Dissol	ved		99.8		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Barium (Ba)-Dissolv	ved		100.6		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Boron (B)-Dissolved	d		90.3		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Cadmium (Cd)-Diss	solved		101.1		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Calcium (Ca)-Disso	lved		98.5		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Chromium (Cr)-Diss	solved		99.5		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Copper (Cu)-Dissol	ved		94.8		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	d		100.0		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Magnesium (Mg)-Di	issolved		97.5		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Manganese (Mn)-D	issolved		100.7		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Potassium (K)-Diss	olved		98.7		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Selenium (Se)-Diss	olved		99.5		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Uranium (U)-Dissol	ved		102.8		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved	I		98.2		%		80-120	03-AUG-12
Batch R2412	115							
WG1520232-17 DU	UP	L1187882-1						
Aluminum (Al)-Diss	olved	<0.010	<0.0030	RPD-N/	4 mg/L	N/A	20	03-AUG-12
Antimony (Sb)-Diss	olved	<0.00050	<0.00010	RPD-N/	4 mg/L	N/A	20	03-AUG-12
Arsenic (As)-Dissol	ved	0.00066	0.00069		mg/L	4.3	20	03-AUG-12
Barium (Ba)-Dissolv	ved	0.035	0.0341		mg/L	1.8	20	03-AUG-12
Boron (B)-Dissolved	d	<0.10	0.016		mg/L	0.7	20	03-AUG-12
Cadmium (Cd)-Diss	solved	<0.00020	<0.000050	RPD-N/	4 mg/L	N/A	20	03-AUG-12
Calcium (Ca)-Disso	lved	86.9	88.6		mg/L	2.0	20	03-AUG-12
Chromium (Cr)-Diss	solved	<0.0020	<0.00050	RPD-N/	4 mg/L	N/A	20	03-AUG-12
Copper (Cu)-Dissol	ved	<0.0010	<0.00050	RPD-N/	4 mg/L	N/A	20	03-AUG-12
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	d	<0.00050	<0.000050	RPD-N	4 mg/L	N/A	20	03-AUG-12
Magnesium (Mg)-Di	issolved	28.2	27.9		mg/L	0.8	20	03-AUG-12
Manganese (Mn)-D	issolved	0.0253	0.0247		mg/L	2.5	20	03-AUG-12
Potassium (K)-Diss	olved	1.64	1.62		mg/L	1.6	20	03-AUG-12



		Workorder:	L1187882	2 Re	eport Date:	14-AUG-12	Pa	age 8 of 15
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-DIS-LOW-MS-VA	Water							
Batch R2412	115							
WG1520232-17 DU	JP	L1187882-1	0.0040					
Selenium (Se)-Diss	oived	<0.0010	<0.0010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	03-AUG-12
Uranium (U)-Dissol	ved	0.00068	0.000671		mg/L	1.5	20	03-AUG-12
ZINC (ZN)-DISSOIVED	_	<0.050	<0.0030	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	03-AUG-12
Aluminum (Al)-Diss	S olved	L1187882-2	91.1		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Antimony (Sb)-Diss	olved		105.4		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Arsenic (As)-Dissol	ved		111.5		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Barium (Ba)-Dissolv	/ed		142.3	MS-B	%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Boron (B)-Dissolved	ł		N/A	MS-B	%		-	03-AUG-12
Cadmium (Cd)-Diss	olved		98.2		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Calcium (Ca)-Disso	lved		N/A	MS-B	%		-	03-AUG-12
Chromium (Cr)-Diss	solved		85.1		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Copper (Cu)-Dissol	ved		86.7		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	d		93.2		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Magnesium (Mg)-Di	issolved		N/A	MS-B	%		-	03-AUG-12
Manganese (Mn)-D	issolved		N/A	MS-B	%		-	03-AUG-12
Potassium (K)-Diss	olved		N/A	MS-B	%		-	03-AUG-12
Selenium (Se)-Diss	olved		113.4		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Uranium (U)-Dissol	ved		100.3		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved			86.0		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
WG1520232-6 M	S	L1188408-3						
Aluminum (Al)-Disse	olved		95.7		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Antimony (Sb)-Diss	olved		110.2		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Arsenic (As)-Dissol	ved		114.3		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Barium (Ba)-Dissolv	ved		N/A	MS-B	%		-	03-AUG-12
Boron (B)-Dissolved	ł		91.4		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Cadmium (Cd)-Diss	olved		103.1		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Calcium (Ca)-Disso	lved		N/A	MS-B	%		-	03-AUG-12
Chromium (Cr)-Dise	solved		90.5		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Copper (Cu)-Dissol	ved		90.2		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	b		97.6		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Magnesium (Mg)-Di	issolved		N/A	MS-B	%		-	03-AUG-12
Manganese (Mn)-Di	issolved		N/A	MS-B	%		-	03-AUG-12
Potassium (K)-Diss	olved		N/A	MS-B	%		-	03-AUG-12



		Workorder:	L118788	32	Report Date: 1	4-AUG-12	Pa	ge 9 of 15
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-DIS-LOW-MS-VA	Water							
Batch R24121	115							
WG1520232-6 MS	3	L1188408-3						
Selenium (Se)-Disso	blved		113.2		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Uranium (U)-Dissolv	red		103.4		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved			90.7		%		70-130	03-AUG-12
Batch R2413	555							
WG1520232-10 MS Aluminum (Al)-Disso	5 blved	L1188330-3	125.1		%		70-130	08-AUG-12
Antimony (Sb)-Disso	blved		116.8		%		70-130	08-AUG-12
Barium (Ba)-Dissolv	ed		N/A	MS-B	%		-	08-41/G-12
Boron (B)-Dissolved			117.6		%		70-130	08-411G-12
Cadmium (Cd)-Diss	olved		121.0		%		70-130	08-4116-12
Calcium (Ca)-Dissol	ved		N/A	MS-B	%		-	08-41/G-12
Chromium (Cr)-Diss	olved		116.5		%		70-130	08-AUG-12
Copper (Cu)-Dissolv	ved		107.9		%		70-130	08-4116-12
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved			104 1		%		70-130	08-400-12
Magnesium (Mg)-Di	ssolved		N/A	MS-B	%		-	08-AUG-12
Manganese (Mn)-Di	ssolved		N/A	MS-B	%		_	08-AUG-12
Potassium (K)-Disso	blved		117.2	ino B	%		70-130	
Uranium (U)-Dissolv	red		109.3		%		70-130	08-AUG-12
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved	eu -		108.0		%		70-130	08-AUG-12
			100.0		,0		70-130	00-400-12
NH3-F-VA	Water							
Batch R24138	366							
Ammonia, Total (as	N)	VA-NH3-F	103.1		%		85-115	09-AUG-12
WG1523592-12 CR	M	VA-NH3-F					00 110	
Ammonia, Total (as	N)		101.1		%		85-115	09-AUG-12
WG1523592-14 CR	M	VA-NH3-F						
Ammonia, Total (as	N)		104.0		%		85-115	09-AUG-12
WG1523592-2 CR	M	VA-NH3-F						
Ammonia, Total (as	N)		106.7		%		85-115	09-AUG-12
WG1523592-4 CR Ammonia, Total (as	R M N)	VA-NH3-F	105.1		%		85-115	09-AUG-12
WG1523592-6 CR	M	VA-NH3-F						
Ammonia, Total (as	N)		101.7		%		85-115	09-AUG-12
WG1523592-8 CR Ammonia, Total (as	R M N)	VA-NH3-F	103 1		%		85-115	09-4110-12
· ····, · · ···· (uo	,						00 110	00 / 00 12

WG1523592-1 MB



		Workorder:	Workorder: L1187882		Report Date: 14-AUG-12		Page 10 of 15	
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
NH3-F-VA	Water							
Batch R241386	6							
WG1523592-1 MB Ammonia, Total (as N)			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	09-AUG-12
WG1523592-11 MB Ammonia, Total (as N)			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	09-AUG-12
WG1523592-13 MB Ammonia, Total (as N)			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	09-AUG-12
WG1523592-3 MB Ammonia, Total (as N)			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	09-AUG-12
WG1523592-5 MB Ammonia, Total (as N)			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	09-AUG-12
WG1523592-7 MB Ammonia, Total (as N)			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	09-AUG-12
WG1523592-9 MB Ammonia, Total (as N)			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	09-AUG-12
WG1523592-16 MS Ammonia, Total (as N)		L1188686-1	96.2		%		75-125	09-AUG-12
Batch R241393	1							
WG1523185-10 CRM Ammonia, Total (as N)		VA-NH3-F	102.1		%		85-115	09-AUG-12
WG1523185-2 CRM Ammonia, Total (as N)		VA-NH3-F	107.5		%		85-115	09-AUG-12
WG1523185-4 CRM Ammonia, Total (as N)		VA-NH3-F	101.4		%		85-115	09-AUG-12
WG1523185-6 CRM Ammonia, Total (as N)		VA-NH3-F	104.2		%		85-115	09-AUG-12
WG1523185-8 CRM Ammonia, Total (as N)		VA-NH3-F	102.7		%		85-115	09-AUG-12
WG1523185-1 MB Ammonia, Total (as N)			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	09-AUG-12
WG1523185-3 MB Ammonia, Total (as N)			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	09-AUG-12
WG1523185-5 MB Ammonia, Total (as N)			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	09-AUG-12
WG1523185-7 MB Ammonia, Total (as N)			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	09-AUG-12
WG1523185-9 MB Ammonia, Total (as N)			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	09-AUG-12
WG1523185-12 MS Ammonia, Total (as N)		L1187799-1	100.4		%		75-125	09-AUG-12



		Workorder: L1187882		Report Date: 14-AUG-12		Page 11 of 15		
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
NH3-F-VA	Water							
Batch R2413931								
WG1523185-14 MS Ammonia, Total (as N)		L1188620-6	96.0		%		75-125	09-AUG-12
PAH-SF-MS-VA	Water							
Batch R2410939								
WG1520830-2 LCS								
Acenaphthene			82.6		%		60-130	08-AUG-12
Acenaphthylene			82.0		%		60-130	08-AUG-12
Acridine			95.7		%		60-130	08-AUG-12
Anthracene			91.5		%		60-130	08-AUG-12
Benz(a)anthracene			84.6		%		60-130	08-AUG-12
Benzo(a)pyrene			84.5		%		60-130	08-AUG-12
Benzo(b)fluoranthene			85.0		%		60-130	08-AUG-12
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene			81.4		%		60-130	08-AUG-12
Benzo(k)fluoranthene			94.1		%		60-130	08-AUG-12
Chrysene			93.8		%		60-130	08-AUG-12
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene			90.5		%		60-130	08-AUG-12
Fluoranthene			91.6		%		60-130	08-AUG-12
Fluorene			85.5		%		60-130	08-AUG-12
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene			82.3		%		60-130	08-AUG-12
Naphthalene			81.5		%		50-130	08-AUG-12
Phenanthrene			90.5		%		60-130	08-AUG-12
Pyrene			91.1		%		60-130	08-AUG-12
Quinoline			84.1		%		60-130	08-AUG-12
WG1520830-1 MB								
Acenaphthene			<0.00005	50	mg/L		0.00005	08-AUG-12
Acenaphthylene			<0.00005	50	mg/L		0.00005	08-AUG-12
Acridine			<0.00005	50	mg/L		0.00005	08-AUG-12
Anthracene			<0.00005	50	mg/L		0.00005	08-AUG-12
Benz(a)anthracene			<0.00005	50	mg/L		0.00005	08-AUG-12
Benzo(a)pyrene			<0.00001	10	mg/L		0.00001	08-AUG-12
Benzo(b)fluoranthene			<0.00005	50	mg/L		0.00005	08-AUG-12
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene			<0.00005	50	mg/L		0.00005	08-AUG-12
Benzo(k)fluoranthene			<0.00005	50	mg/L		0.00005	08-AUG-12
Chrysene			<0.00005	50	mg/L		0.00005	08-AUG-12
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene			<0.00005	50	mg/L		0.00005	08-AUG-12



		Workorder: L1187882 R		Report Date: 14-AUG-12		Page 12 of 15		
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
PAH-SF-MS-VA	Water							
Batch R24109	39							
WG1520830-1 MB								
Fluoranthene			<0.00005	0	mg/L		0.00005	08-AUG-12
Fluorene			<0.00005	0	mg/L		0.00005	08-AUG-12
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyre	ene		<0.00005	0	mg/L		0.00005	08-AUG-12
Naphthalene			<0.00005	0	mg/L		0.00005	08-AUG-12
Phenanthrene			<0.00005	0	mg/L		0.00005	08-AUG-12
Pyrene			<0.00005	0	mg/L		0.00005	08-AUG-12
Quinoline			<0.00005	0	mg/L		0.00005	08-AUG-12
PH-MAN-VA	Water							
Batch R24111	55							
WG1521136-1 CRI	M	VA-PH7-BUF						
рН			7.08		рН		6.9-7.1	04-AUG-12
TDS-VA	Water							
Batch R24114	95							
WG1520318-11 LCS	6							
Total Dissolved Solid	s		100.8		%		85-115	03-AUG-12
WG1520318-2 LCS	6							
Total Dissolved Solid	S		98.2		%		85-115	03-AUG-12
WG1520318-5 LCS	6							
I otal Dissolved Solid	S		97.1		%		85-115	03-AUG-12
WG1520318-8 LCS	5		100 1		0/.		05 445	02 4110 42
	3		100.1		70		85-115	03-AUG-12
Total Dissolved Solid	s		<10		ma/L		10	03-AUG-12
WG1520318-10 MB							10	00710012
Total Dissolved Solid	s		<10		mg/L		10	03-AUG-12
WG1520318-4 MB								
Total Dissolved Solid	s		<10		mg/L		10	03-AUG-12
WG1520318-7 MB								
Total Dissolved Solid	S		<10		mg/L		10	03-AUG-12
TKN-F-VA	Water							
Batch R24158	98							
WG1523528-2 LCS	6							
i otal Kjeldahl Nitroge	en		100.6		%		75-125	13-AUG-12
WG1523528-5 LCS	3		00 /		0/_		75 405	12 410 42
i olai rijeluarii Nilloge	211		99.4		/0		10-120	13-AUG-12



	Workorder	: L118788	2	Report Date: 14	-AUG-12	Pa	ge 13 of 15
Test Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
TKN-F-VA Water							
Batch R2415898							
WG1523528-1 MB Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen		<0.050		ma/L		0.05	13-AUG-12
WG1523528-4 MB		0.050					
l otal Kjeldani Nitrogen		<0.050		mg/L		0.05	13-AUG-12
VH-HSFID-VA Water							
Batch R2412492							
WG1524009-2 LCS				24			
Volatile Hydrocarbons (VH6-10)		101.6		%		70-130	10-AUG-12
WG1524009-1 MB		-0.10		mg/l		0.1	10 4110 12
		<0.10		iiig/L		0.1	10-AUG-12
VOC7-HSMS-VA Water							
Batch R2412417							
WG1524009-2 LCS		00 5		0/			
Benzene		96.5		%		70-130	09-AUG-12
Etnylbenzene		98.0		%		70-130	09-AUG-12
Methyl t-butyl ether (MIBE)		98.2		%		70-130	09-AUG-12
Styrene		97.0		%		70-130	09-AUG-12
Toluene		94.8		%		70-130	09-AUG-12
meta- & para-Xylene		97.0		%		70-130	09-AUG-12
ortho-Xylene		98.6		%		70-130	09-AUG-12
WG1524009-1 MB							
Benzene		<0.00050)	mg/L		0.0005	09-AUG-12
Ethylbenzene		<0.00050)	mg/L		0.0005	09-AUG-12
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)		<0.00050)	mg/L		0.0005	09-AUG-12
Styrene		<0.00050)	mg/L		0.0005	09-AUG-12
Toluene		<0.00050)	mg/L		0.0005	09-AUG-12
meta- & para-Xylene		<0.00050)	mg/L		0.0005	09-AUG-12
ortho-Xylene		<0.00050)	mg/L		0.0005	09-AUG-12

Workorder: L1187882

Report Date: 14-AUG-12

Legend:

Limit	ALS Control Limit (Data Quality Objectives)
DUP	Duplicate
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
N/A	Not Available
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
SRM	Standard Reference Material
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
ADE	Average Desorption Efficiency
MB	Method Blank
IRM	Internal Reference Material
CRM	Certified Reference Material
CCV	Continuing Calibration Verification
CVS	Calibration Verification Standard
LCSD	Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

Sample Parameter Qualifier Definitions:

Qualifier	Description
J	Duplicate results and limits are expressed in terms of absolute difference.
MS-B	Matrix Spike recovery could not be accurately calculated due to high analyte background in sample.
RPD-NA	Relative Percent Difference Not Available due to result(s) being less than detection limit.

Workorder: L1187882

Report Date: 14-AUG-12

Hold Time Exceedances:

	Sample						
ALS Product Description	ID	Sampling Date	Date Processed	Rec. HT	Actual HT	Units	Qualifier
Physical Tests							
pH by Manual Meter							
	1	31-JUL-12 18:00	04-AUG-12 00:19	0.25	78	hours	EHTR-FM
	2	30-JUL-12 18:30	04-AUG-12 00:19	0.25	102	hours	EHTR-FM
	3	31-JUL-12 19:20	04-AUG-12 00:19	0.25	77	hours	EHTR-FM
Logond & Qualifier Definitio	ne						

Legend & Qualifier Definitions:

EHTR-FM:	Exceeded ALS recommended hold time prior to sample receipt. Field Measurement recommended.
EHTR:	Exceeded ALS recommended hold time prior to sample receipt.
EHTL:	Exceeded ALS recommended hold time prior to analysis. Sample was received less than 24 hours prior to expiry.
EHT:	Exceeded ALS recommended hold time prior to analysis.
Rec. HT:	ALS recommended hold time (see units).

Notes*:

Where actual sampling date is not provided to ALS, the date (& time) of receipt is used for calculation purposes. Where actual sampling time is not provided to ALS, the earlier of 12 noon on the sampling date or the time (& date) of receipt is used for calculation purposes. Samples for L1187882 were received on 01-AUG-12 13:25.

ALS recommended hold times may vary by province. They are assigned to meet known provincial and/or federal government requirements. In the absence of regulatory hold times, ALS establishes recommendations based on guidelines published by the US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, or Environment Canada (where available). For more information, please contact ALS.

The ALS Quality Control Report is provided to ALS clients upon request. ALS includes comprehensive QC checks with every analysis to ensure our high standards of quality are met. Each QC result has a known or expected target value, which is compared against predetermined data quality objectives to provide confidence in the accuracy of associated test results.

Please note that this report may contain QC results from anonymous Sample Duplicates and Matrix Spikes that do not originate from this Work Order.

Hydrocarbon Distribution Report



The EPH Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample. For further interpretation, a current library of reference products is available on www.alsglobal.com or upon request.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products, and three n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples by as much as 0.5 minutes.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor, and the response scale at the left.

A "-L-" in the sample ID denotes a low level sample. A "-S-" denotes a silica gel cleaned sample.

Hydrocarbon Distribution Report



The EPH Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample. For further interpretation, a current library of reference products is available on www.alsglobal.com or upon request.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products, and three n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples by as much as 0.5 minutes.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor, and the response scale at the left.

A "-L-" in the sample ID denotes a low level sample. A "-S-" denotes a silica gel cleaned sample.

Hydrocarbon Distribution Report



The EPH Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample. For further interpretation, a current library of reference products is available on www.alsglobal.com or upon request.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products, and three n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples by as much as 0.5 minutes.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor, and the response scale at the left.

A "-L-" in the sample ID denotes a low level sample. A "-S-" denotes a silica gel cleaned sample.



Chain of Custody / Analytical Request Form Canada Toll Free: 1 800 668 9878 <u>www.alsglobal.com</u>

COC#

	<u>www</u>	alsglobal.com	<u>j</u>									Page		of
Report F	ormat / Dietrib	ution		1.								i ago		
[] Standar		nat / Distribution Service Requested (Rush for routine analysis subject to availability)					ability)							
				Regular (Standard Turnaround Times - Business Days)										
Emoil 4			al 🔄 Fax 🔤	01	riority ((2-4 Bi	usiness	Days)	- 50%	Surcha	rge - Cor	tact ALS	to Confi	m TAT
Email 2:	andrea badge	r@golder.com		O	merger	icy (1-	2 Bus.	Days) -	100%	6 Surch	arge - Co	ntact ALS	to Con	îrm TAT
Email 3	gary hamilton	<u>@golder.com</u>		<u>0</u> s	ame Da	ay or V	Veeken	d Emer	gency	- Conta	ect ALS to	Confirm	TAT	
Client / D	<u>caivin beebec</u>	ugolder.com		-				A	Inaly	sis R	equest			
Joh #	11-1436-0072/	1600		Please indicate below Filtered, Preserved or both (F, P, F/P)										
PO / AFE				<u> </u>	<u> </u>									
ISD.	•													
<u> </u>				4										
				1										
Quote #:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T]				<u>କ</u> ୍ଷ						iet Liet
ALS Contact:		Sampler:		0				d Met						
	Date (dd-mmm-yy)	Time (hh:mm)	Sample Type	lutrient	èneral	g	ΤĒΧ	issolve	AH					mber d
	31-Jul-12	18:00	Surface Water		V			- 🕀 -						Ź
	30-Jul-12	18:30	Orner de la		<u>^</u>	<u>^</u>	_	<u>~</u>	<u> </u>					_
		10.00	Groundwater	X	_X	X	X	X	X	_				
	31-JUI-12	19:20	Groundwater	X	X	Х	X	X	X					
						Ī								
	,							- +					┞──┦	
										+				
₩₩₩			 					-						
									- 1					
									- †			-		
			<u> </u>		- +	-+-			_		_	+		
		·····									_		_	
	- Erophystor												ſ	
	-mesnwater A	quatic Life/BC	CSR - Commerci	al/AB	Tier	<u>1 - N</u>	atura	l, etc)) / Ha	zardo	ous Det	tails		
ortions of	this form may o	lelav analysis	Please fill in this	form			_							_
			Conditions on pr	o ium ovida	ו נבכים הייה הייה	IDL Y	•	Eve	51 fab					
wledges an	d agrees with t	ne terms and	OVIIUIUOUS AS 107	IV II II I						ł.,				
wledges an addresses,	d agrees with t phone number	ne terms and rs and sample	container / prese	rvatic	u on a m / ho	a sep Didina	arate o fim	: EXCE a fahl	e for		Mor			
wledges an addresses, SHIPM	d agrees with t phone number ENT RECEPTIC	ne Terms and rs and sample DN (lab use only	container / prese	rvatic	n / ho	idin SHII	g tim PMFI		e for	COM	mon ar	alyses	in	(1. and an
wledges an addresses, SHIPM y:	d agrees with t phone number ENT RECEPTIC Date:	ne terms and rs and sample DN (lab use only Time:	container / prese y) Temperature:	vatio Verifie	n / ho	olding SHII	g tim PMEN	e tabl	e for RIFI	COMI	mon ar DN (lab	use on	iy)	(
	Report F	Www Report Format / Distribution Standard Other PDF ✓ Excel Email 1: andrea_badge Email 2: gary_hamilton Email 3: calvin beebe(calvin be	www.alsglobal.com Report Format / Distribution I Standard Other I PDF I Excel Digital Email 1: andrea_badger@golder.com Email 2: gary_hamilton@golder.com Email 3: calvin beebe@golder.com Email 3: calvin beebe@golder.com Client / Project Information Job #: Job #: 11-1436-0073/1600 PO / AFE: LSD: Quote #: ALS Quote #: Sampler: ALS Contact: Date Time (dd-mmm-yy) (hh:mm) 31-Jul-12 18:00 30-Jul-12 18:30 31-Jul-12 19:20 Image: State of the	Www.aisglobal.com Report Format / Distribution Standard Other PDF Excel Digital Fax Email 1: andrea_badger@golder.com Email 2: gary_hamilton@golder.com Email 2: gary_hamilton@golder.com Email 2: gary_hamilton@golder.com Email 3: calvin beebe@golder.com Client / Project Information Job #: 11-1436-0073/1600 PO / AFE: LSD:	Report Format / Distribution Ser I standard Other Image: Standard Other Image: Standard Other I por I standard Other Image: Standard Other Image: Standard Other Email 1: andrea_badger@golder.com Image: Standard Other Image: Standard Other Email 1: andrea_badger@golder.com Image: Standard Image: Standard <td>Www.aisglobal.com Service I Report Format / Distribution Service I I Standard Other Image: Regular I PoF I Excel Digital Fax Priority in the service I I PoF I Excel Digital Fax Priority in the service I Email 1: andrea_badger@golder.com Image: Service I Same Digital Fax Priority in the service I Email 2: gary hamilton@golder.com Image: Service Information Please in I Same Digital Please in I Job #: 11-1436-0073/1600 Image: Service I Please I Image: Service I Image: Service I Quote #: ALS Sampler: Service I Service I Image: Service I Image: Service I ALS Contact: Sampler: Service I Service I Image: Service I<!--</td--><td>WWW.alsglobal.com Report Format / Distribution Service Require (Standard Other PDF Excel Digital Fax Priority (2-4 B) Email 1: andrea_badger@golder.com Cenergency (1-24 B) Email 2: gary_hamilton@golder.com Same Day or W Email 3: calvin beebe@golder.com Same Day or W Email 3: calvin beebe@golder.com Same Day or W Client / Project Information Please indica Job #: 11-1436-0073/1600 PO / AFE: LSD: </td><td>WWW.alisq10DBal.com Report Format / Distribution Service Requested 2 Standard Other</td><td>WWW.alsglobal.com Report Format / Distribution Service Requested (Rust Image: Standard Other Image: Regular (Standard Turnarou Image: PDF Excel Digital Fax Priority (2-4 Business Days) Email 1: andrea badger@golder.com O Emergency (1-2 Bus. Days) Email 2: gary hamilton@golder.com O Same Day or Weekend Emergency (1-2 Bus. Days) Email 2: gary hamilton@golder.com O Same Day or Weekend Emergency (1-2 Bus. Days) Image: Plasse indicate below Fi Client / Project Information Please indicate below Fi Please indicate below Fi Job #: 1-1436-0073/1600 Image: Plasse indicate below Fi Quote #: ALS Sampler: Please indicate below Fi Quote #: ALS Sampler: Please indicate below Fi ALS Sampler: Plass Plasse Gontact: Sampler: Plass Plasse 31-Jul-12 18:00 Surface Water X X X 31-Jul-12 19:20 Groundwater X X X X Image: Plass Plass Image: Plasse Plasse Image: Plasse</td><td>WWW.alsgripbal.com Report Format / Distribution Service Requested (Rush for r Image: Standard Other Image: Regular (Standard Tumaround Time) Image: Port Image: Excel Digital Pax Priority (2-4 Business Days) - 50% Email 1: andrea badger@golder.com Image: Excel Image</td><td>WWW.alsgiobal.com Report Format / Distribution Service Requested (Rush for routine @ Regular (Standard Turnaround Turnes - Bu @ Pop @ Excel Digital Pax @ Pop @ Excel Digital Digital Pax Priority (2-4 Business Days) - 50% Surch Email 1: andrea_badger@golder.com © Emergency (1-2 Bus. Days) - 100% Surch Email 2: gary hamilton@golder.com Email 1: andrea_badger@golder.com © same Day or Weekend Emergency - Contact Email 3: calvin beebe@golder.com © same Day or Weekend Emergency - Contact Elient / Project Information Plots #: 11-1436-0073/1800 Please indicate below Filtered, Pre- Uoto #: Please indicate below Filtered, Pre- Bary Bary Bary Bary Bary Bary Bary Bary</td><td>WWW.elisglobal.com Report Format / Distribution Service Requested (Rush for routine analysis Standard Urber @ Regular (Standard Turnaround Times - Business D Por Excel Digital Fax Priority (2-4 Business Days) - 50% Surcharge - Col Email 1: andrea badger@golder.com O Emergency (1-2 Bus. Days) - 100% Surcharge - Col Email 2: gary, hamilton@golder.com O Same Day or Weeked Energency - Contax As to Email 3: calvin beeb@golder.com O Same Day or Weeked Energency - Contax As to Email 3: calvin beeb@golder.com Analysis Request Client / Project Information Please Indicate below Filtered, Preserved Job #: 11-1436-0073/1600 PO / AFE: V</td><td>WWW.atisd/obal.com Service Requested (Rush for routine analysis subject I standard Other Image: Standard Other Image: Regular (Standard Turnaround Turnes - Business Days) I por Image: Docat Digital Fax Priorby (2+ Business Days) - 50% Surcharge - Contact ALS Email 1: andrea. badger@golder.com Image: Standard Turnaround Turnes - Business Days) - 50% Surcharge - Contact ALS Email 2: gary.hamilton@golder.com Image: Standard Turnaround Turnes - Outset ALS to Contact ALS Email 3: calvin beebe@golder.com Image: Standard Turnaround Turnes - Business Days) - 50% Surcharge - Contact ALS Email 3: calvin beebe@golder.com Analysis Request Client / Project Information Please indicate below Filtered, Preserved or both Job #: 11-1436-0073/1600 Image: Standard PO / AFE: Image: Standard Image: Standard Luote #: ALS Sample Type Image: Standard ALS Sample Type Image: Standard Image: Standard Image: Standard 31-Jul-12 18:00 Surface Water X X X X X X X X X X Image: Standard</td><td>Www.aligiopal.com Page Report Format / Distribution Service Requested (Rush for routine analysis subject to avail I standard Other Image: Classical class</td></td>	Www.aisglobal.com Service I Report Format / Distribution Service I I Standard Other Image: Regular I PoF I Excel Digital Fax Priority in the service I I PoF I Excel Digital Fax Priority in the service I Email 1: andrea_badger@golder.com Image: Service I Same Digital Fax Priority in the service I Email 2: gary hamilton@golder.com Image: Service Information Please in I Same Digital Please in I Job #: 11-1436-0073/1600 Image: Service I Please I Image: Service I Image: Service I Quote #: ALS Sampler: Service I Service I Image: Service I Image: Service I ALS Contact: Sampler: Service I Service I Image: Service I </td <td>WWW.alsglobal.com Report Format / Distribution Service Require (Standard Other PDF Excel Digital Fax Priority (2-4 B) Email 1: andrea_badger@golder.com Cenergency (1-24 B) Email 2: gary_hamilton@golder.com Same Day or W Email 3: calvin beebe@golder.com Same Day or W Email 3: calvin beebe@golder.com Same Day or W Client / Project Information Please indica Job #: 11-1436-0073/1600 PO / AFE: LSD: </td> <td>WWW.alisq10DBal.com Report Format / Distribution Service Requested 2 Standard Other</td> <td>WWW.alsglobal.com Report Format / Distribution Service Requested (Rust Image: Standard Other Image: Regular (Standard Turnarou Image: PDF Excel Digital Fax Priority (2-4 Business Days) Email 1: andrea badger@golder.com O Emergency (1-2 Bus. Days) Email 2: gary hamilton@golder.com O Same Day or Weekend Emergency (1-2 Bus. Days) Email 2: gary hamilton@golder.com O Same Day or Weekend Emergency (1-2 Bus. Days) Image: Plasse indicate below Fi Client / Project Information Please indicate below Fi Please indicate below Fi Job #: 1-1436-0073/1600 Image: Plasse indicate below Fi Quote #: ALS Sampler: Please indicate below Fi Quote #: ALS Sampler: Please indicate below Fi ALS Sampler: Plass Plasse Gontact: Sampler: Plass Plasse 31-Jul-12 18:00 Surface Water X X X 31-Jul-12 19:20 Groundwater X X X X Image: Plass Plass Image: Plasse Plasse Image: Plasse</td> <td>WWW.alsgripbal.com Report Format / Distribution Service Requested (Rush for r Image: Standard Other Image: Regular (Standard Tumaround Time) Image: Port Image: Excel Digital Pax Priority (2-4 Business Days) - 50% Email 1: andrea badger@golder.com Image: Excel Image</td> <td>WWW.alsgiobal.com Report Format / Distribution Service Requested (Rush for routine @ Regular (Standard Turnaround Turnes - Bu @ Pop @ Excel Digital Pax @ Pop @ Excel Digital Digital Pax Priority (2-4 Business Days) - 50% Surch Email 1: andrea_badger@golder.com © Emergency (1-2 Bus. Days) - 100% Surch Email 2: gary hamilton@golder.com Email 1: andrea_badger@golder.com © same Day or Weekend Emergency - Contact Email 3: calvin beebe@golder.com © same Day or Weekend Emergency - Contact Elient / Project Information Plots #: 11-1436-0073/1800 Please indicate below Filtered, Pre- Uoto #: Please indicate below Filtered, Pre- Bary Bary Bary Bary Bary Bary Bary Bary</td> <td>WWW.elisglobal.com Report Format / Distribution Service Requested (Rush for routine analysis Standard Urber @ Regular (Standard Turnaround Times - Business D Por Excel Digital Fax Priority (2-4 Business Days) - 50% Surcharge - Col Email 1: andrea badger@golder.com O Emergency (1-2 Bus. Days) - 100% Surcharge - Col Email 2: gary, hamilton@golder.com O Same Day or Weeked Energency - Contax As to Email 3: calvin beeb@golder.com O Same Day or Weeked Energency - Contax As to Email 3: calvin beeb@golder.com Analysis Request Client / Project Information Please Indicate below Filtered, Preserved Job #: 11-1436-0073/1600 PO / AFE: V</td> <td>WWW.atisd/obal.com Service Requested (Rush for routine analysis subject I standard Other Image: Standard Other Image: Regular (Standard Turnaround Turnes - Business Days) I por Image: Docat Digital Fax Priorby (2+ Business Days) - 50% Surcharge - Contact ALS Email 1: andrea. badger@golder.com Image: Standard Turnaround Turnes - Business Days) - 50% Surcharge - Contact ALS Email 2: gary.hamilton@golder.com Image: Standard Turnaround Turnes - Outset ALS to Contact ALS Email 3: calvin beebe@golder.com Image: Standard Turnaround Turnes - Business Days) - 50% Surcharge - Contact ALS Email 3: calvin beebe@golder.com Analysis Request Client / Project Information Please indicate below Filtered, Preserved or both Job #: 11-1436-0073/1600 Image: Standard PO / AFE: Image: Standard Image: Standard Luote #: ALS Sample Type Image: Standard ALS Sample Type Image: Standard Image: Standard Image: Standard 31-Jul-12 18:00 Surface Water X X X X X X X X X X Image: Standard</td> <td>Www.aligiopal.com Page Report Format / Distribution Service Requested (Rush for routine analysis subject to avail I standard Other Image: Classical class</td>	WWW.alsglobal.com Report Format / Distribution Service Require (Standard Other PDF Excel Digital Fax Priority (2-4 B) Email 1: andrea_badger@golder.com Cenergency (1-24 B) Email 2: gary_hamilton@golder.com Same Day or W Email 3: calvin beebe@golder.com Same Day or W Email 3: calvin beebe@golder.com Same Day or W Client / Project Information Please indica Job #: 11-1436-0073/1600 PO / AFE: LSD:	WWW.alisq10DBal.com Report Format / Distribution Service Requested 2 Standard Other	WWW.alsglobal.com Report Format / Distribution Service Requested (Rust Image: Standard Other Image: Regular (Standard Turnarou Image: PDF Excel Digital Fax Priority (2-4 Business Days) Email 1: andrea badger@golder.com O Emergency (1-2 Bus. Days) Email 2: gary hamilton@golder.com O Same Day or Weekend Emergency (1-2 Bus. Days) Email 2: gary hamilton@golder.com O Same Day or Weekend Emergency (1-2 Bus. Days) Image: Plasse indicate below Fi Client / Project Information Please indicate below Fi Please indicate below Fi Job #: 1-1436-0073/1600 Image: Plasse indicate below Fi Quote #: ALS Sampler: Please indicate below Fi Quote #: ALS Sampler: Please indicate below Fi ALS Sampler: Plass Plasse Gontact: Sampler: Plass Plasse 31-Jul-12 18:00 Surface Water X X X 31-Jul-12 19:20 Groundwater X X X X Image: Plass Plass Image: Plasse Plasse Image: Plasse	WWW.alsgripbal.com Report Format / Distribution Service Requested (Rush for r Image: Standard Other Image: Regular (Standard Tumaround Time) Image: Port Image: Excel Digital Pax Priority (2-4 Business Days) - 50% Email 1: andrea badger@golder.com Image: Excel Image	WWW.alsgiobal.com Report Format / Distribution Service Requested (Rush for routine @ Regular (Standard Turnaround Turnes - Bu @ Pop @ Excel Digital Pax @ Pop @ Excel Digital Digital Pax Priority (2-4 Business Days) - 50% Surch Email 1: andrea_badger@golder.com © Emergency (1-2 Bus. Days) - 100% Surch Email 2: gary hamilton@golder.com Email 1: andrea_badger@golder.com © same Day or Weekend Emergency - Contact Email 3: calvin beebe@golder.com © same Day or Weekend Emergency - Contact Elient / Project Information Plots #: 11-1436-0073/1800 Please indicate below Filtered, Pre- Uoto #: Please indicate below Filtered, Pre- Bary Bary Bary Bary Bary Bary Bary Bary	WWW.elisglobal.com Report Format / Distribution Service Requested (Rush for routine analysis Standard Urber @ Regular (Standard Turnaround Times - Business D Por Excel Digital Fax Priority (2-4 Business Days) - 50% Surcharge - Col Email 1: andrea badger@golder.com O Emergency (1-2 Bus. Days) - 100% Surcharge - Col Email 2: gary, hamilton@golder.com O Same Day or Weeked Energency - Contax As to Email 3: calvin beeb@golder.com O Same Day or Weeked Energency - Contax As to Email 3: calvin beeb@golder.com Analysis Request Client / Project Information Please Indicate below Filtered, Preserved Job #: 11-1436-0073/1600 PO / AFE: V	WWW.atisd/obal.com Service Requested (Rush for routine analysis subject I standard Other Image: Standard Other Image: Regular (Standard Turnaround Turnes - Business Days) I por Image: Docat Digital Fax Priorby (2+ Business Days) - 50% Surcharge - Contact ALS Email 1: andrea. badger@golder.com Image: Standard Turnaround Turnes - Business Days) - 50% Surcharge - Contact ALS Email 2: gary.hamilton@golder.com Image: Standard Turnaround Turnes - Outset ALS to Contact ALS Email 3: calvin beebe@golder.com Image: Standard Turnaround Turnes - Business Days) - 50% Surcharge - Contact ALS Email 3: calvin beebe@golder.com Analysis Request Client / Project Information Please indicate below Filtered, Preserved or both Job #: 11-1436-0073/1600 Image: Standard PO / AFE: Image: Standard Image: Standard Luote #: ALS Sample Type Image: Standard ALS Sample Type Image: Standard Image: Standard Image: Standard 31-Jul-12 18:00 Surface Water X X X X X X X X X X Image: Standard	Www.aligiopal.com Page Report Format / Distribution Service Requested (Rush for routine analysis subject to avail I standard Other Image: Classical class

GENF 18.01 Front



Sample Receipt Confirmation

Report Distribution:			Distribution:
Company Name:	GOLDER ASSOCIATES LTD. Andrea Badger	ACCI Name:	Accounts Pavable
Address:	201B 170 Titanium Way,	Address:	# 500 - 4260 Still Creek Drive.
	Whitehorse, YT, Y1A 0G1		Burnaby, BC, V5C 6C6
Phone:	867-334-7423	Phone:	604-298-6623
Fax. Fmail:	andrea badger@golder.com	Fax:	
Lindii	ghamilton@golder.com	Invoice Email:	
Device of News	calvin_beebe@golder.com	Project #:	GOL050-GOL200-VA
Report Name: Digital Type:	CROSSTAB_ALSQC	Account #:	GOL200
Digital Fmail:			
Distribution:	Hard Copy: Email: Y	Fax: N	
Client Information:			
Job Reference #:	11-1436-0073/1600	Date Sampled:	30-JUL-12
Project PO #:	N1/A	Date Received:	01-AUG-12
Legal Site Description: Quote #:	N/A N/A	Sampled By: Chain Of Custody:	1
Workordor Summary	•		44 4420 0072/4000
	- I 1187882	Client Job #: Account Manager:	Amber Springer
Estimated completion date:	13-AUG-12	Estimated sample disposal date:	12-SEP-12
3 Samples received at ALS in	VANCOUVER		
Lab Client	Date	Date Sample F	Priority Sample
Sample ID Sample ID	Sample	d Received Due Date	Flag Type
L1187882-1 BN-SURFACE	31-JUL-12 1	8:00 01-AUG-12 13:25 13-AUG-12	SURFACE WATER
L1187882-2 BN-MW12-01	30-JUL-12 1	3:30 01-AUG-12 13:25 13-AUG-12	GROUND
L1187882-3 BN-MW12-03	31-JUL-12 1	9:20 01-AUG-12 13:25 13-AUG-12	WATER GROUND
			WATER
Analysis Requested:	SF nd server in		
Alkalinity by colour or titration	Dissolved Oxygen Dema BTEX+VPH+MTBE+Sty Water GCMS/FID Dissolved organic carbol by combustion by colorimetric LEPH & HEPH CSR by Dissolved Metals in	Water [DW] + ICP Ammonia in Water by Fluorescence pH by Manual Meter Total Dissolved Solids by Gravimetric Disposal Fee	
BN-SURFACE 🗸	$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$	$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$	
BN-MW12-01 🗸	$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$	$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$	
BN-MW12-03	$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$	$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$	
Hold Time Exceedence Analysis Requested	CES: The following samples have e Lab Sample ID L1187882-1, 2, 3	cceeded recommended holding times pri	or to sample receipt. Date Sampled Date Received

ADDRESS 8081 Lougheed Highway, Burnaby, BC, Canada V5A 1W9 PHONE +1 604 253 4188 FAX +1 604 253 6700 ALS CANADA LTD. Part of the ALS Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company

Environmental 🐊



Hold Time Exceedences:

Analysis Requested	Lab Sample ID	Recommended Hold Time	Date Sampled	Date Received
pH by Manual Meter		0.25 hours	31-JUL-12	01-AUG-12
pH by Manual Meter	L1187882-1, 2, 3	0.25 hours	30-JUL-12	01-AUG-12

Sample Integrity Observations: No observations were identified for this work order submission.

Notice of Sub-contract Laboratory Service

Please be advised that the following tests will be subcontracted to the corresponding laboratory:

Sulphate by Ion Chromatography subcontracted to: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - WHITEHORSE, YUKON, CANADA Nitrite Nitrogen by Ion Chromatography subcontracted to: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - WHITEHORSE, YUKON, CANADA Chloride by Ion Chromatography subcontracted to: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - WHITEHORSE, YUKON, CANADA Fluoride by Ion Chromatography subcontracted to: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - WHITEHORSE, YUKON, CANADA Nitrate Nitrogen by Ion Chromatography subcontracted to: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - WHITEHORSE, YUKON, CANADA

Please contact your Account Manager immediately should you have questions or concerns regarding this arrangement. Approval of this arrangement shall be implied unless otherwise notified by you.

ALS Group strives to deliver on-time results to our clients at all times. However, there are times when due to capacity issues or other unforeseen circumstances we are unable to meet our expected turnaround times. The information above is related to a recent workorder you have submitted to our laboratory. In the event that you have an inquiry, please refer to the Lab Work Order # when calling your Account Manager.

At Golder Associates we strive to be the most respected global company providing consulting, design, and construction services in earth, environment, and related areas of energy. Employee owned since our formation in 1960, our focus, unique culture and operating environment offer opportunities and the freedom to excel, which attracts the leading specialists in our fields. Golder professionals take the time to build an understanding of client needs and of the specific environments in which they operate. We continue to expand our technical capabilities and have experienced steady growth with employees who operate from offices located throughout Africa, Asia, Australasia, Europe, North America, and South America.

Africa Asia Australasia Europe North America South America + 27 11 254 4800 + 86 21 6258 5522 + 61 3 8862 3500 + 356 21 42 30 20 + 1 800 275 3281 + 55 21 3095 9500

solutions@golder.com www.golder.com

Golder Associates Ltd. 500 - 4260 Still Creek Drive Burnaby, British Columbia, V5C 6C6 Canada T: +1 (604) 296 4200

